

## Correspondence



https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5306.3.8

### http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:310F1272-5391-43C7-9101-B2B7D30010BB

# The scaffold web spider *Nesticus helenensis* Hubert, 1977, a junior synonym of *Howaia mogera* (Yaginuma, 1972) rest. comb., with revalidation of *Howaia* Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980 (Araneae: Nesticidae)

DANNIELLA SHERWOOD<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, RUDY JOCQUÉ<sup>4</sup>, ARNAUD HENRARD<sup>4</sup> & LIZA FOWLER<sup>3,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Arachnology Research Association, London, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Fundación Ariguanabo, San Antonio de Los Baños, Cuba.

<sup>3</sup> IUCN Species Survival Commission, Mid-Atlantic Islands Invertebrate Specialist Group.

<sup>4</sup> Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium.

*AH*: **(**) *https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3270-7193* 

RJ: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1776-0121

<sup>5</sup> Saint Helena National Trust, Jamestown, Saint Helena.

https://o.rcid.org/000-0002-4893-2267

\* Corresponding author: 🖃 danni.sherwood@hotmail.com; 💿 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8170-9529

Hubert (1977) described the spider *Nesticus helenensis* Hubert, 1977 based on both sexes from Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena. Lehtinen & Saaristo (1980: 53) transferred *N. helenensis* to *Howaia* Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980, noting it may be a junior synonym of the type species—*Howaia mogera* (Yaginuma, 1972)—but not making a formal synonymy as no material from the island, including the types, could at the time be examined. Wunderlich (1986) synonymised *Howaia* with *Nesticella* Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980. The justification of the synonymy was not extensive and *Howaia* would have been a more suitable senior synonym, as noted by Marusik & Guseinov (2003) who knew about the synonymy but did not recognise it, listing *Howaia* as a valid genus and illustrating the type species. However, Marusik & Guseinov (2003) did not include the term "comb. rest." nor included a sentence formally stating they were revalidating the genus. The synonymy was also ignored by Gray (1989) and Saaristo (2010) when they dealt with particular *Howaia* species. Importantly, Lehtinen & Saaristo (1980) placed the genera *Nesticella* and *Howaia* into separate tribes, indicating they thought they were not closely related. Recently, we examined the type material of *Nesticus helenensis* in the Royal Museum for Central Africa (RMCA) and concur with Lehtinen & Saaristo (1980) that it is a junior synonym of *H. mogera*. Furthermore, we agree with both Lehtinen & Saaristo (1980) and Marusik & Guseinov (2003) that *Howaia* is a valid genus, *contra* Wunderlich (1986).

In this work, we revalidate *Howaia*, transferring eight species into the genus. Furthermore, we make the formal synonymy of *N. helenensis* with *H. mogera*. Abbreviations: RMCA = Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren; WSC = World Spider Catalog. Photographs were taken at the RMCA using a Leica DMC500 digital camera mounted on a Leica MZ16A and stacked using the Leica Application Suite (LAS) v. 4.13. Photographs of the RMCA specimens are accessible through the RMCA Virtual Collection website (https://virtualcol.africamuseum.be).

The Species Recovery Trust and St. Helena National Trust supported the development of this paper. This paper has been produced as part of the St. Helena Cloud Forest Project 'Restoring St Helena's Internationally Important Cloud Forest for Wildlife, Water Security and People', funded by the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO). The senior author also thanks the authors AH and RJ and Didier Van den Spiegel and Christophe Allard (all RMCA) for assistance during her visit to Tervuren, RJ and Elisabeth Tybaert for their generous hospitality in Flanders, and Vicky Wilkins (Species Recovery Trust) for support of the research. Yuri Marusik (University of Turku) and Francesco Ballarin (Tokyo Metropolitan University) whose comments improved the manuscript are also thanked.

Taxonomy Nesticidae Simon, 1894 *Howaia* Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980 gen. rest. *Nesticus*: Yaginuma (1972): 390 (in part). *Howaia* Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980: 53.

Accepted by G. Hormiga: 1 Jun. 2023; published: 21 Jun. 2023

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-N.C. 4.0 International https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

*Nesticella*: Wunderlich (1986): 93. (synonymy of *Howaia* with *Nesticella*) *Howaia*: Marusik & Guseinov (2003): 37. (considered valid but not explicitly revalidated) For full synonymy list, see WSC, 2023.



FIGURES 1–6. Holotype female (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.129084) and paratype male (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.133336) of *Nesticus helenensis* Hubert, 1977 [= *Howaia mogera* (Yaginuma, 1972) **n. syn.**]. **1**, Male habitus, dorsal. **2**, Male palp, ventral. **3**, Female habitus, dorsal. **4**, Epigynum, ventral. **5**, Male palp, retrolateral (modified from Hubert, 1977). **6**, Epigynum, ventral (modified from Hubert, 1977).

Type species. Nesticus mogera Yaginuma, 1972 by original designation (Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980).

**Diagnosis.** (Adapted from Lin *et al.*, 2016) *Howaia* can be distinguished from *Nesticella sensu stricto* (i.e. the *nepalensis*-group of Lin *et al.*, 2016) by a combination of the following characters. In males: (1) paracymbium with presence of a single ventral apophysis without lobed processes, and the squared distal process (*vs.* ventral apophysis with two lobed processes and distal process not squared in *Nesticella*); (2) a basally broad and rugulose terminal apophysis, normally flattened (*vs.* terminal apophysis elongate and not basally broad in *Nesticella*), and (3) absence of a tegular apophysis (*vs.* present in *Nesticella*) In females: (1) copulatory ducts straight and almost parallel (*vs.* copulatory ducts bent in *Nesticella*), and (2) scape quadrate and strongly protruding (*vs.* scape narrow, non-quadrate and short in *Nesticella*).

**Remarks.** In addition to the morphological evidence given above, molecular data also support the validity of *Howaia* as a valid genus (Ballarin & Li, 2018). Therefore, based on the morphological and molecular evidence, we formally revalidate the genus *Howaia* rest. gen. here, restricting it solely to the *mogera*-group *sensu* Lin *et al.* (2016).

Species included. Howaia apiculata Liu & Li, 2013 n. comb., H. fuliangensis Lin, Ballarin & Li, 2016 n. comb., H. huomachongensis Lin, Ballarin & Li, 2016 n. comb., H. mogera (Yaginuma, 1972) comb. rest., H. rongtangensis Lin, Ballarin & Li, 2016 n. comb., H. wanzaiensis Lin, Ballarin & Li, 2016 n. comb., H. yanbeiensis Lin, Ballarin & Li, 2016 n. comb., and H. yintiaoling Wang, Zheng & Zhang, 2022 n. comb.

Distribution. Eurasian, and introduced to Hawaii and Saint Helena

### Howaia mogera (Yaginuma, 1972) rest. comb.

Nesticus terrestris: Yaginuma, 1970: 390, fig. 7 (S, misidentification).

*Nesticus mogera* Yaginuma, 1972: 621, fig. 1 ( $\overset{\wedge}{\bigcirc} \overset{\circ}{\downarrow}$ ).

Nesticus helenensis Hubert, 1977: 153, figs. 67a–h. ( $\mathcal{F}_{+}^{\bigcirc}$ ) n. syn.

Howaia mogera: Lehtinen & Saaristo (1980): 53, figs. 7–9, 22–23, 29b (♂♀, transfer from Nesticus).

Howaia mogera: Marusik & Guseinov (2003): 38, figs. 17–21 (♂♀, not explicitly revalidated).

For full synonymy list, see WSC (2023).

**Material examined.** Type material of *Nesticus helenensis*: holotype  $\bigcirc$ , paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.129084), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 14.XII.1965–15.XII.1965, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\Diamond$  [allotype] (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.133336), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 26.I.1967, coll. J. Decelle and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.129205), The Briars [listed as Prosperous Bay, Bryan's Rock in Hubert (1977)], 1,000ft., 6.I.1966, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.129183), Prosperous Bay, Bryan's Rock, 1,000ft., 14.XI.1965, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.129171), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 6.I.1966, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.129425), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 19.XII.1965, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype imm.  $\Diamond$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.135896), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 14.XII.1965–15.XII.1965, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.135896), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 14.XII.1965, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined; paratype  $\bigcirc$  (BE\_RMCA\_ARA.Ara.135896), Fisher's Valley, Saint Helena, 1,000ft., 14.XII.1965, coll. P. Basilewsky, P. L. G. Benoit and N. Leleup, examined.

**Diagnosis.** (Adapted from Lin *et al.*, 2016) *Howaia mogera* can be distinguished from most known male congeners based on the thin ventral apophysis, and blunted paracymbial process (*vs.* ventral apophysis wider and paracymbial process not blunted in most known congeners, except *H. rongtangensis*). Females can be distinguished by the short, robust and conspicuously protruding scape (*vs.* scape not short, robust and conspicuously protruding in known congeners). *Howaia mogera* is distinguished from *H. rongtangensis* in both sexes by the presence of eyes (*vs.* eyes absent in both sexes of *H. rongtangensis*).

**Description.** For detailed description, see Lin *et al.* (2016). However, it is important to state that all recent works illustrating and/or redescribing *H. mogera* **n. comb.** have focused on non-Japanese material (e.g., Azerbaijan (Marusik & Guisenov, 2003), China (Gong & Zhu, 1982; Liu & Li, 2013), Fiji (Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980), the Hawaiian Islands (Gertsch, 1984), Italy (Pantini *et al.*, 2020), Korea (Kim *et al.*, 1999; Kim & Lee, 2018) and Saint Helena (Hubert, 1977) [the latter as *N. helenensis*]).

**Rationale for synonymy.** The palp morphology of the paratype male of *Nesticus helenensis* (Figs. 2, 5) is in agreement with all prior published illustrations of the male palp of *Howaia mogera*. Similarly, the epigynal morphology of the holotype (Figs. 4, 6) fits with previously published illustrations of *H. mogera*. The habitus (Figs. 1, 3) is also congruent with that of preserved specimens of *H. mogera* (DS pers. obs.). The potential for synonymy was already recognised by Lehtinen & Saaristo (1980), but no formal synonymy was made. We hereby formally make the synonymy: *Nesticus helenensis* Hubert, 1977 = Howaia mogera (Yaginuma, 1972) **n. syn.** 

**Distribution.** United Kingdom to Japan, introduced to Hawaii and Saint Helena (Nentwig *et al.*, 2023; WSC, 2023).

#### References

- Ballarin, F. & Li, S.Q. (2018) Diversification in tropics and subtropics following the mid-Miocene climate change: A case study of the spider genus *Nesticella*. *Global Change Biology*, 24 (2), e577–e591. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13958
- Gertsch, W.J. (1984) The spider family Nesticidae (Araneae) in North America, Central America, and the West Indies. *Bulletin* of the Texas Memorial Museum, 31, 1–91.
- Gong, M.X. & Zhu, C.D. (1982) [Description of *Nesticus mogera* Yaginuma (Araneae: Nesticidae) from China]. *Journal of the Bethune Medical University*, 8, 62.
- Gray, M.R. (1989) Cavernicolous spiders (Arancae) [sic] from Undara, Queensland and Cape Range, Western Australia. Helictite, 27, 87–89.
- Hubert, M. (1977) Fam. Nesticidae. In: La faune terrestre de l'île de Sainte-Hélène IV. Annales, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Sciences zoologiques (Zool.-Ser. 8°), 220, 153–156.
- Kim, S.T. & Lee, S.Y. (2018) Spiders IV. Arthropoda: Arachnida: Araneae: Atypidae, Scytodidae, Nesticidae, Anapidae, Ctenidae, Hahniidae, Miturgidae, Liocranidae, Trochanteriidae. *Invertebrate Fauna of Korea*, 21 (45), 1–79.
- Kim, J.P., Yoo, Y.S. & Lee, Y.B. (1999) A newly recorded species of the genus Nesticella (Aranea, Nesticidae) in Korea. Korean Journal of Systematic Zoology, 15, 183–187.
- Lehtinen, P.T. & Saaristo, M. I. (1980) Spiders of the Oriental-Australian region. II. Nesticidae. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 17, 47–66.
- Lin, Y.C., Ballarin, F. & Li, S.Q. (2016) A survey of the spider family Nesticidae (Arachnida, Araneae) in Asia and Madagascar, with the description of forty-three new species. *ZooKeys*, 627, 1–168. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.627.8629
- Liu, J. & Li, S.Q. (2013) New cave-dwelling spiders of the family Nesticidae (Arachnida, Araneae) from China. *Zootaxa*, 3613 (6), 501–547.

https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3613.6.1

- Marusik, Y.M. & Guseinov, E. (2003) Spiders (Arachnida: Aranei) of Azerbaijan. 1. New family and genus records. *Arthropoda Selecta*, 12 (1), 29–46.
- Nentwig, W., Blick, T., Bosmans, R., Gloor, D., Hänggi, A. & Kropf, C. (2023) Spiders of Europe. Version 05.2023. Available from: https://www.araneae.nmbe.ch (accessed 7 May 2023) https://doi.org/10.24436/1
- Pantini, P., Bonelli, D. & Bonacci, T. (2020) I ragni epigei (Arachnida, Araneae) di un ambiente litoraneo della Calabria. *Rivista del Museo Civico di Scienze Naturali "Enrico Caffi", Bergamo*, 32, 25–32.
- WSC (2023) World Spider Catalog. Version 24. Natural History Museum Bern, Bern. Available from: http://wsc.nmbe.ch (accessed 27 March 2023) https://doi.org/10.24436/2
- Wang, L.Y., Zheng, C.B. & Zhang, Z.S. (2022) [Three new species of Nesticella Lehtinen & Saaristo, 1980 from Chongqing (Araneae: Nesticidae)]. Acta Arachnologica Sinica, 31 (1), 11–16.

https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1005-9628.2022.01.002

- Wunderlich, J. (1986) Spinnenfauna gestern und heute: Fossile Spinnen in Bernstein und ihre heute lebenden Verwandten. Quelle & Meyer, Wiesbaden, 283 pp.
- Yaginuma, T. (1970) Two new species of small nesticid spiders of Japan. Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science Tokyo, 13, 385–394.
- Yaginuma, T. (1972) Revision of the short-legged nesticid spiders of Japan. Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science Tokyo, 15, 619–622.