# Revision of the genus Eumorphobotys with descriptions of two new species (Lepidoptera, Crambidae, Pyraustinae) 

KAI CHEN ${ }^{1}$, DANDAN ZHANG ${ }^{1,3}$ \& MIHAI STĂNESCU ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Institute of Entomology/ State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol/The Museum of Biology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510275, China.<br>${ }^{2 \prime}$ "Grigore Antipa" National Museum of Natural History, Sos. Kiseleff 1, RO-011341, Bucharest, Romania<br>${ }^{3}$ Corresponding author. E-mail: zhangdd6@mail.sysu.edu.cn


#### Abstract

The genus Eumorphobotys Munroe \& Mutuura (1969) comprises two species that have been found in southern China. Two new species, E. concavuncus sp. n. and E. horakae sp. n., are described from southwest China. In appearance, this genus also resembles Calamochrous Lederer, 1863, Sclerocona Meyrick, 1890, Prodasycnemis Warren, 1892, and Loxoneptera Hampson, 1896. In order to evaluate the generic placement of the new taxa, the phylogeny of Eumorphobotys species and several species representing the potential related genera based on sequence data of $C O I, 16 S r R N A, E F-1 \alpha$ and $28 S r R N A$ gene regions were reconstructed and the taxonomy of these genera based on morphological characters was re-assessed. The results are as follows: (i) the monophyly of Eumorphobotys is well supported; (ii) Loxoneptera is paraphyletic. Two species of Calamochrous were recovered as terminal lineages within Loxoneptera; (iii) the clade comprising species of Loxoneptera and Calamochrous is in the sister position to Eumorphobotys with a robust support; (iv) species of Loxoneptera and Calamochrous resemble Eumorphobotys in the wing shape and the porrect labial palpus but differ in genitalia structures. The relationships of these genera are discussed; (v) two new species, E. concavuncus sp. n. and E. horakae sp. n., are described, Calamochrous obscuralis (Caradja, 1925) syn. n. is synonymized with E. eumorphalis (Caradja, 1925) based on the male genitalia and the adults and genitalia of all species (except the female of E. horakae $\mathbf{s p}$. n.) are illustrated.
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## Introduction

The genus Eumorphobotys was established by Munroe \& Mutura in 1969. Calamochrous eumorphalis Caradja, 1925 was designated as the type species and the generic description was mainly based on this species. Calamochrous obscuralis Caradja, 1925 was also transferred to Eumorphobotys by Munroe \& Mutura (1969) but no detailed description was given. In the original description, Caradja (1925) distinguished these two species based on the differences in wing colour. For C. eumorphalis, he described the forewing as "monotonously very isabell pale pink" and the hindwing as "yellow"; for C. obscuralis, he described both wings as "single-colored dark smoky slightly playing lead-gray". Zhang (2003) first mentioned the sexual dimorphism in wing colour of E. eumorphalis and suggested that E. eumorphalis and E. obscuralis might be conspecific. Externally, species of Eumorphobotys can be best recognized by the relatively large wingspan, the long, porrect labial palpus, the usually concolorous forewing with no obvious pattern and the weakly curved to straight forewing termen.

To date the genus has only been reported from southern China (Caradja 1925; Wang 1980; Shen \& Tang 1992; Song 1993; Song \& He 1997; Song 2001; Wang et al. 2003; Zhang 2009). According to Tiansen (1985), the larvae of Eumorphobotys obscuralis have been reported as attacking several species of bamboo (Phyllostachys sp., Bambusa sp. and Dendrocalamus sp.), feeding individually in leaf cases or inside the stem. The infestation can result in blight and breaking of the hosts (Wang 1980). Hundreds of adults of E. eumorphalis appeared at a light trap during one night at Luoxiao Mountain. There is little doubt that large numbers of larvae of E. eumorphalis occur in this area and cause serious damage to bamboos.

While examining the collections of Pyraustinae deposited at the Museum of Biology, Sun Yat-sen University (SYSBM), we came across seven species superficially similar to Eumorphobotys. Among these species, two are undescribed and five are identified as Calamochrous carnealis (Swinhoe, 1895), C. medialis Caradja, 1925, Loxoneptera albicostalis Swinhoe, 1906, L. carnealis Hampson, 1896 and Sclerocona acutella (Eversmann, 1842) (the genus Loxoneptera Hampson, 1896 is recorded for the first time from China). Further studies based on the genitalia morphology and the genetic data suggest that the two undescribed species are closer to $E$. eumorphalis rather than the five species mentioned above.

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the generic placement of the new taxa, to re-assess the taxonomic boundary of Eumorphobotys and to provide a preliminary phylogenetic hypothesis of the relationships between Eumorphobotys and potentially related genera using selected genetic markers.

## Material and methods

Molecular phylogenetic analysis. All species of the genus Eumorphobotys, two species of the genus Loxoneptera, two species of Calamochrous and one species of Sclerocona Meyrick, 1890 were included for molecular phylogenetic analyses (Table 1). Loxoneptera, Calamochrous and Sclerocona were chosen as the related genera of Eumorphobotys because they resemble Eumorphobotys in appearance. Pseudebulea fentoni Butler, 1881 was chosen as the outgroup because it was considered as a basal lineage of the Chinese Pyraustinae (Zhang 2003). According to Munroe and Mutuura (1969), Prodasycnemis inornata (Butler, 1879) was considered to be closely related to Eumorphobotys. In the present study, this species was not included in the phylogenetic analyses because no specimen with confirmed identification was collected in China. Prodasycnemis inornata was recorded in central China and subsequently identified as Pionea inornata by Caradja (1925). However, examination of the male genitalia of a specimen collected by Caradja revealed that it was misidentified and should be placed in Sitochroa Hübner, 1825.

Total DNA was extracted from a hindleg and a midleg, or occasionally from the abdomen (Knölke et al. 2005) of dried adult specimens using the TIANGEN DNA extraction kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The nucleotide sequences of two mitochondrial genes, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and $16 S$ ribosomal RNA ( $16 S$ SRNA), and two nuclear genes, Elongation factor-1 alpha (EF-1人), 28S ribosomal RNA (28S rRNA) were selected for study. Primers used in this study were chosen according to Wahlberg \& Wheat (2008), Simon et al. (2006), Hundsdoerfer et al. (2009) and Lee \& Brown (2008). PCR cycle conditions were an initial denaturation of 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(C O I$ and $16 \mathrm{~S} r R N A)$ or $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(E F-1 \alpha, 28 S r R N A)$, and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 35 cycles, and a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . PCR products were confirmed with $1.5 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer and were direct-sequenced at Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd (Guangzhou), utilizing the same primers used for PCR amplification.

The sequences were aligned using Clustal W (Thompson et al. 1994) in MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013) under default settings. The aligned matrix was corrected by eye. Gaps were treated as missing data in all analyses. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using Bayesian inferring (BI) method and Maximum likelihood (ML). BI analysis was run in MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with independent parameters for COI under the GTR + I model, $16 \mathrm{~S} r R N A$ under the GTR +G model, for $E F-1 \alpha$ under the $\mathrm{K} 80+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ model and for $28 \mathrm{~S} r R N A$ under the HKY +G model as suggested by jModel Test 0.1 .1 (Posada, 2008). Four chains were run simultaneously for 10 million generations sampled every 1000th generation. The first $25 \%$ trees were discarded as burn-in, and posterior probabilities (PP) were determined from remaining trees. ML analysis was executed in RAxML 8.2.10 (Stamatakis 2014) under the GTR + I + G model and with 1000 iterations for bootstrap test. The pairwise Kimura 2-Parameter (K2P) distances between species were calculated from the COI gene using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013).

Morphological analysis. The studied specimens, including the types of the newly described species, are all deposited at the Museum of Biology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou (SYSBM), except for those held at the following institutions: the Forest Canopy Ecology Lab, Yunnan (FCEL) and the Insect Collection of the College of Life Sciences, Nankai University (NKU). Types of the previously known species were examined in the "Grigore Antipa" National Museum of Natural History, Bucharest (MGAB). Several specimens of Loxoneptera and Calamochrous were loaned from NKU. Slides of dissected genitalia were prepared according to the protocols of Robinson (1976) and Li \& Zheng (1996). Terminology of genitalia follows Klots (1970), Munroe (1976), Maes
(1995) and Kristensen (2003). Images of the specimens were taken using a Canon EOS 1DX camera provided with a Canon 100 mm macro lens; the genitalia pictures were taken using Zeiss Axio Scope.A1 in combination with a Zeiss AxioCam camera and the Axio Vision SE64 programme on a Windows PC; source images were then aligned and stacked with Helicon Focus to obtain composite image.

## Results

Phylogenetic relationships. The concatenated dataset of four genes consisted of 2505 nucleotide positions (658 for COI, 463 for $16 S r R N A, 771$ for $E F-1 \alpha$ and 613 for $28 S r R N A$, respectively). Pairwise distances of the barcoding region (COI) are given in Table 2. The genetic distances between Eumorphobotys and other genera ranged from $9.2 \%$ (Calamochrous) to $15.7 \%$ (Pseudebulea). Interspecific genetic distances within Eumorphobotys ranged from $7.0 \%$ (E. concavuncus to E. horakae) to $8.3 \%$ (E. eumorphalis to E. horakae) while intraspecific genetic distances ranged from $0 \%$ (E. eumorphalis) to $0.6 \%$ (E. concavuncus).


FIGURE 1. Phylogenetic hypotheses combined from results of Bayesian inference and Maximum likelihood, which are congruent topologically. Numbers at the branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (Values larger than 0.8 are labelled) and ML bootstrap values probabilities (Values larger than $50 \%$ are labelled), respectively.
TABLE 1. Species sampled for the molecular phylogenetic analysis.

| Genus | Species | Voucher | Locality |  | Genbank accession number |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Hunan | MG739570 | MG739582 | MG739594 |
| Calamochrous | carnealis | SYSULEP0044 | Guizhou | MG739573 | MG739585 | MG739597 | MG739605 |
| Calamochrous | medialis | SYSULEP0096 | Hainan | MG739576 | MG739588 | MG739600 | MG739611 |
| Eumorphobotys | eumorphalis | SYSULEP0046 | Fujian | MG739574 | MG739586 | MG739598 | MG739609 |
| Eumorphobotys | eumorphalis | SYSULEP0047 | Fujian | MG739575 | MG739587 | MG739599 | MG739610 |
| Eumorphobotys | concavuncus | SYSULEP0042 | Yunnan | MG739571 | MG739583 | MG739595 | MG739606 |
| Eumorphobotys | concavuncus | SYSULEP0175 | Guangxi | MG739581 | MG739593 | MG739604 | MG739616 |
| Eumorphobotys | horakae | SYSULEP0043 | Sichuan | MG739572 | MG739584 | MG739596 | MG739607 |
| Eumorphobotys | horakae | SYSULEP0172 | Sichuan | MG739580 | MG739592 | missing | MG739615 |
| Loxoneptera | albicostalis | SYSULEP0162 | Yunnan | MG739578 | MG739590 | MG739602 | MG739613 |
| Loxoneptera | carnealis | SYSULEP0166 | Hainan | MG739579 | MG739591 | MG739603 | MG739614 |
| Sclerocona | acutella | SYSULEP0152 | Macau | MG739577 | MG739589 | MG739601 | MG739612 |

TABLE 2. Pairwise distances of the COI barcoding region based on Kimura-2-parameter.

|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0074 Pseudebulea fentoni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0152 Sclerocona acutella | 0.148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0046 Eumorphobotys eumorphalis | 0.130 | 0.106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0047 Eumorphobotys eumorphalis | 0.130 | 0.106 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0042 Eumorphobotys concavuncus | 0.157 | 0.123 | 0.076 | 0.076 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0175 Eumorphobotys concavuncus | 0.152 | 0.123 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0043 Eumorphobotys horakae | 0.157 | 0.129 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.072 | 0.070 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0172 Eumorphobotys horakae | 0.157 | 0.129 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.072 | 0.070 | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0044 Calamochrous carnealis | 0.148 | 0.135 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.111 | 0.116 | 0.128 | 0.128 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0096 Calamochrous medialis | 0.145 | 0.114 | 0.092 | 0.092 | 0.100 | 0.098 | 0.107 | 0.105 | 0.070 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0162 Loxoneptera albicostalis | 0.159 | 0.116 | 0.093 | 0.093 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.115 | 0.111 | 0.085 | 0.081 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0166 Loxoneptera carnealis | 0.157 | 0.116 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.121 | 0.115 | 0.129 | 0.129 | 0.121 | 0.096 | 0.093 |



FIGURE 2. Distribution map of Eumorphobotys spp.
Both the BI and ML analyses of the concatenated dataset obtained congruent topologies with only subtle differences in posterior probability and bootstrap values probability (Fig. 1). The results robustly support the monophyly of Eumorphobotys ( $\mathrm{PP}=1.00, \mathrm{BS}=97$ ). Among the Eumorphobotys species included in the analysis, $E$. concavuncus and $E$. horakae form a sister group with a robust support ( $\mathrm{PP}=1.00, \mathrm{BS}=100$ ), while $E$. eumorphalis takes a sister position to E. concavuncus + E. horakae. Loxoneptera albicostalis appears to be sister of Calamochrous carnealis + C. medialis with a robust support in BI but with a relatively low support in ML (PP = $0.95, \mathrm{BS}=69$ ). Loxoneptera carnealis is associated with the clade L. albicostalis $+(C$. carnealis $+C$. medialis $)$ ( $\mathrm{PP}=0.93$, $\mathrm{BS}=0.75$ ).

Due to the fact that the monophyly of clade Eumorphobotys is well-supported and the two undescribed species within the clade are morphologically and molecularly distinct from the potential sister groups, we concluded that these two undescribed species should be placed in the genus Eumorphobotys. The taxonomic details are given below.

## Taxonomic accounts

## Eumorphobotys Munroe \& Mutuura, 1969

Eumorphobotys Munroe \& Mutuura, 1969: 303. Type species: Calamochrous eumorphalis Caradja, 1925, by original designation.

Diagnosis. Species of Eumorphobotys can be superficially recognized by the long, porrect labial palpus, the usually concolorous forewing with no obvious pattern and the weakly curved to straight forewing termen. The male genitalia are characterized by a short and stout uncus, usually bearing few hair-like setae laterally; a distinct, strongly sclerotized and expanded joint at the base of costa; a finger-like, tapering editum with sparse setae; a pointed process extended from ventral margin of the valva and a large shield-shaped juxta with a broad base. In the female genitalia, the sinus vaginalis is densely spinulose and the signum is narrowly rhombic.

In appearance, species of Eumorphobotys resemble Calamochrous and Sclerocona through the long, porrect labial palpus and Loxoneptera through the long, porrect labial palpus and the usually concolorous forewing with no obvious pattern and a straight termen. However, they can be distinguished from Calamochrous and Sclerocona species by the straighter forewing termen, and from Loxoneptera by the smoky brown underside of forewing with a pale yellow area, the absence of the scales tuft on the inner margin of forewing and mid-lateral abdomen in males. In male genitalia, it differs from Calamochrous, Sclerocona and Loxoneptera through the short and stout uncus, the finger-like editum and the process extended from the ventral margin of the valva. Eumorphobotys is close to Prodasycnemis Warren, 1892 according to Munroe and Mutura (1969). It differs from Prodasycnemis through the straighter forewing costa and termen, the brown to black fringe of the forewing at apex and tornus and in the male genitalia by the stout, distally blunt uncus and the basally expanded sacculus (not obvious in E. horakae). In males of Prodasycnemis, the basal mid-tibia with dense scales and forewing with weakly concave costa are differentiators from Eumorphobotys.

Description. Head. Frons oblique, smoothly scaled, with white lateral bands. Vertex with moderately raised scales projecting between antennae. Labial palpus brown, porrect, exceeding frons by about 2 times the length of head; first segment with white scales at the base; second segment obliquely upward; third segment long, porrect, somewhat slightly downward. Maxillary palpus brown, prominent, weakly broadened distally with scales. Proboscis well developed, with creamy white scales at base. Antennae yellowish brown, with cilia shorter than antennal diameter. Thorax. Dorsal side yellowish brown to smoky brown with appressed, yellowish to dark brown or reddish brown scales; ventral side white to pale yellow. Legs unmodified, white to pale yellow, outer spur $1 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ the length of inner spur. Wings. Forewing elongated triangular, costa straight to arched apex, white except in $E$. eumorphalis, apex sharp, termen slightly curved to straight, tornus obtuse; upperside with no pattern except the obscure reniform stigma, underside usually pale smoky brown except pale yellow inner margin; fringe yellowish with dark brown base, entirely brown at apex and from $\mathrm{CuA}_{2}$ to tornus; frenulum hook in male well developed, retinaculum made up a tuft of curved bristles from below base of discal cell; discal cell about half the length of wing; $R_{1}$ free from about $2 / 3$ of anterior margin of cell, $R_{2}$ free but adjacent to stem of $R_{3}+R_{4}$ for most of the basal half, $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ stalked to about $2 / 3, R_{4}$ to just before apex, $R_{5}$ from anterior angle of cell, parallel to stalked $R_{3}+R_{4}$ at base, then diverging; $M_{1}$ moderately close to $R_{5}$ at base, $M_{2}$ widely separated from $M_{1}$, closing vein concavely curved, angled medially; $M_{2}, M_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}_{1}$ from inner angle of cell, $M_{3}$ closer to $M_{2}$ at base than to $C u A_{1}$, then diverging; $\mathrm{CuA}_{2}$ from $1 / 4$ of the inner margin of cell; 1A faintly sinuate to tornus; 2 A forming complete loop and distally recurved before joining 1 A . Hindwing broad, fan-shaped, termen rounded; upperside smoky brown, sometimes with a pale yellow patch at central area; underside paler, distal fifth smoky brown from apex to about $\mathrm{CuA}_{2}$; fringe yellowish, with yellowish or dark brown base; frenulum single in male, with 2 acanthae in female; discal cell less than half length of wing, $\mathrm{Sc}+\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and Rs anastomosed for $1 / 3$ beyond end of discal cell, Rs and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ short-stalked, closing vein concave; $M_{3}$ closer to $M_{2}$ at base than to $C u A_{1}$, parallel with $M_{2}$ at base, then diverging; 3A present. Abdomen. Pale brown to smoky or reddish brown, sometimes with first two segments paler than other segments. Male genitalia. Uncus short and stout, distally rounded or concave in the middle, with few hair-like setae at ventro-lateral sides. Tegumen trapezoid. Vinculum U-shaped. Saccus short-triangular. Valva tongueshaped, slightly narrow or tapering to rounded apex, ventral margin extended, usually forming pointed process; transtilla nearly triangular, usually with ventral process weakly sclerotized; costa straight to moderately curved, broad, with distinct, strongly sclerotized and expanded joints (connecting to vinculum and tegumen) at base; editum finger-like, upcurved, sparely setose; sella only present in E. eumorphalis; sacculus with a indistinct process at middle. Juxta large shield-shaped with broad base. Phallus broad, vesica distinct, rough-textured, with various strongly sclerotized cornuti in distal half. Female genitalia. Ovipositor lobes flat, densely setose. Anterior apophysis two times longer than posterior apophysis. Lamella postvaginalis densely spinous. Antrum sclerotized. Ductus seminalis arising from anterior end of antrum. Ductus bursae long and slender, wrinkled longitudinally near antrum, sinuate near corpus bursae. Corpus bursae globular, accessory bursae present, signum narrowly rhomboid.

Biology. The larvae of E. eumorphalis (= obscuralis Caradja, 1925, see E. eumorphalis) feed on Bambusoideae species (Wang 1980, Wang et al. 2003).

Distribution. China (Fig. 2).

## Eumorphobotys eumorphalis (Caradja, 1925)

Figs 2, 3-7, 11, 14

Calamochrous eumorphalis Caradja, 1925: 362, pl. 2 fig. 60.
Calamochrous obscuralis Caradja, 1925: 363, syn. n.
Eumorphobotys eumorphalis (Caradja, 1925): Munroe \& Mutuura, 1969: 304, figs 3, 7.
Eumorphobotys obscuralis (Caradja, 1925): Munroe \& Mutuura, 1969: 304.
Diagnosis. Eumorphobotys eumorphalis is best distinguished from other Eumorphobotys species as follows: forewing smoky brown (male) or yellowish brown, usually tinged with rose pink (female) and termen slightly curved. In the male genitalia, it can be distinguished by a distally rounded uncus, the dorsally divided juxta, the triangular sella (reduced in E. concavuncus and E. horakae), as well as broadly expanded sacculus. In the female genitalia, the ductus bursae is broader than in E. concavuncus, the length of ductus bursae is about two and a half times as long as the diameter of corpus bursae; arms of signum bearing carina are rounded distally.

Redescription. Head. As for the genus. Thorax. Yellowish brown to smoky brown. Legs with outer tibial spur about half length of the inner one. Wings. Wingspan $30-40 \mathrm{~mm}$. Forewing smoky brown in male (occasionally yellowish brown in some specimens, Figs 4-5), yellowish brown, usually tinged with pink towards termen in female; underside pale smoky brown in male except for the slightly paler inner margin, in female pale yellowish with costal area pale smoky brown; costa somewhat darker than background colour, weakly arched, most arched near apex; termen slightly curved. No obvious wing pattern, reniform stigma rather obscure; fringe yellowish with smoky brown base, entirely smoky brown at apex and from $\mathrm{CuA}_{2}$ to tornus, in some male specimens fringe with pale brown base (Figs 4-5). Hindwing smoky brown in male (occasionally paler in central area and termen), in the female (Fig. 7) usually smoky brown except pale yellow costa, inner margin and termen, pale yellowish brown area from $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ to 1 A (the pale yellowish brown area occasionally reduced in some specimens, Fig. 6); underside pale brown in male, pale yellow in female; fringe yellow with smoky brown base in male and yellowish brown base in female. Abdomen. Smoky brown in male, yellowish brown (sometimes smoky brown except the first three segments) in female dorsally, underside pale yellow. Male genitalia (Fig. 11). Uncus rather flat, distally rounded and smooth. Valva narrowing to a rounded apex, with a spine-shaped, strongly sclerotized process extended from middle of ventral margin; transtilla slightly larger than in E. concavuncus; costa nearly straight; editum broad at base, upcurved; sella triangular, strongly sclerotized, pointed to ventral margin; sacculus broadly expanded, with a semi-circular process at middle and a relatively small semi-circular process distally. Juxta large, with its dorsal quarter divided into two arms. Phallus strong and thick, weakly narrow basally, length about 4 times as long as width; vesica twisted and densely spinulose, with a group of sharp, straight cornuti of graded size (occasionally fall off, Fig. 11B), basal cornuti followed with two shell-like, strongly sclerotized and densely spinous structures. Female genitalia (Fig. 14). The seventh sternite with a sclerotized band distally. Antrum cupshaped, strongly sclerotized and very broad, about 3-4 times of width of ductus bursae. Ductus bursae long and broad, about two and a half times the diameter of corpus bursae. Corpus bursae relatively large; signum with two arms large and distally rounded bearing carina, other two arms not extended.

Material examined. Type material. Lectotype of Calamochrous eumorphalis: 10, Mokanshan (Prov. Chekiang), CHINA, VII, designated by Caradja, confirmed by Eugen Munroe (1964); allolectotype of Calamochrous eumorphalis: 1q, Mokanshan (Prov. Chekiang), CHINA, VIII, designated by Aurelian PopescuGorj; lectotype of Calamochrous obscuralis: 1才, Amoy, 6.IV., designated by Eugen Munroe (1964); paralectotype of Calamochrous obscuralis: 1才, Amoy, 28.IV., designated by Aurelian Popescu-Gorj, genitalia slide no. 621 (2017). Type specimens in MGAB.

Other material examined. CHINA, Zhejiang: $9 \widehat{\delta}^{\top}, 9$, Mt. Tianmushan, $30.31^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 119.44^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. 295 m , 11.V.2012, Li Jinwei leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0923 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ), 0924 ( ( ) ; 1 1 , Mt. Mokanshan, 30.VIII.1926, genitalia slide no. 619 (2017) (coll. MGAB); 1q, Mt. Mokanshan, 15.VIII.1930, genitalia slide no. 618 (2017) (coll. MGAB); 3q, Mt. Mokanshan, 18, 20 and 21.VIII. 1930 (coll. MGAB); 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, Mt. Mokanshan, genitalia slide No. 620 (2017) (coll. MGAB); $4 \widehat{O}^{\lambda}, 7$, Back gate of Mt. Tianmushan, 16.VIII.1999, alt. 500 m , Li Houhun et al. leg. (coll. NKU); $2{ }^{\top}, 4$, Sanmuping, Mt. Tianmushan, 19.VIII.1999, alt. 800 m , Li Houhun et al. leg. (coll. NKU); $11 \AA^{\lambda}$, 13 , Chanyuan Temple, Mt. Tianmushan, 15-20.VIII.1999, alt. 350 m , Li Houhun et al. leg. (coll. NKU); 1 q, Kaishanlaodian, Mt. Tianmushan, 17.VIII.1999, alt. 1140 m, Li Houhun et al. leg. (coll. NKU); Anhui: $1 \delta^{\top}, 1$, Tangkou Town, Huangshan City, $30.05^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 118.11^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. 580 m , 19.IX.2012, Li Jinwei leg., genitalia slide


FIGURES 3-10. Adults of Eumorphobotys spp. 3. E. eumorphalis, male (Tongmu, Fujian). 4. E. eumorphalis, male (Mt. Tianmushan, Zhejiang). 5. E. eumorphalis, male (Mt. Tianmushan, Zhejiang). 6. E. eumorphalis, female (Chebaling, Guangdong). 7. E. eumorphalis, female (Mt. Jiuwandashan, Guangxi). 8. E. concavuncus sp. n., holotype, male (Mt. Gaoligongshan, Yunnan). 9. E. concavuncus sp. n., paratype, female (Kuankuoshui Tea Factory, Guizhou). 10. E. horakae sp. n., paratype, male (Rangshui Watershed, Guizhou). (Scale bars $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ )


FIGURES 11-13. Male genitalia of Eumorphobotys spp. 11. E. eumorphalis, A: phallus with several sharp, straight cornuti of graded size, genitalia slide no. SYSU0975; B: phallus with no straight cornuti, genitalia slide no. SYSU0972. 12. E. concavuncus sp. n., genitalia slide no. SYSU0974. 13. E. horakae sp. n., genitalia slide no. SYSU0983. (Scale bars = 1 mm )
 Li Jinwei leg.; $5 \widehat{J}^{\lambda}, 3$, Tongmu, Mt. Wuyishan, $27.75^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 117.6^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $759 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . \mathrm{V} .2012$, Li Jinwei leg.; $51 \circlearrowleft^{\lambda}$, 7 ㅇ, Sangang, Tongmu Village, Mt. Wuyishan, $27.75^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 117.68^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $758 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . \mathrm{VIII} .2016$, Chen Kai \& Duan Yongjiang leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0164 ( ${ }^{\wedge}$ ), molecular voucher no. LEP0046; 12§, 7 $\uparrow$, Guadun, Mt. Wuyishan, $27.74^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 117.64^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1220 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 17 . \mathrm{V} .2012$, Li Jinwei leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0608 ( P ); 8 ${ }^{\wedge}, 3 q$, Guadun, Mt. Wuyishan, $27.74^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 117.64^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1220 \mathrm{~m}, 18 . \mathrm{V} .2012$, Li Jinwei leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0606 ( () ; $6 \delta^{\top}, ~ 9$, Guadun, Mt. Wuyishan, $27.74^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 117.64^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1220 \mathrm{~m}, 23 . \mathrm{VIII} .2016$, Chen Kai \& Duan Yongjiang leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0162 (q), molecular voucher no. LEP0047; 1q, Chishui Station, Mt. Daiyunshan, $25.64^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 118.14^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1015 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . \mathrm{V} .2012$, Li Jinwei leg.; 1 , Daiyun Village, Mt. Daiyunshan, $25.64^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $118.21^{\circ}$ E, alt. 902 m, 23.V.2012, Li Jinwei leg.; 1 ¢, Mt. Daiyunshan, Dehua County, 15.IX.2002, alt. 850 m , Wang Xinpu leg.; Jiangxi: $1 \delta^{\AA}, 2$, , Daqishan Forest Farm, Jing'an County, $28.67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 115.07^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $350 \mathrm{~m}, 16 . \mathrm{VII} .2014$, Chen Kai leg.; $9 \widehat{\delta}^{\lambda}, 2$, Guanyinyan, Jing'an County, $29.03^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 115.25^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $195 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . \mathrm{VII} .2014$, Chen Kai leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0921 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ), 0922( $q$ ); 10 ${ }^{\lambda}, 1$, Guanshan National Nature Reserve, Yifeng County,
$28.55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.58^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 394 m ，14．VI．2016，Chen Kai \＆Duan Yongjiang leg．；4 ${ }^{\wedge}$ ， 5 ，Shixi Village，Fengxin County， $28.44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.54^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 506 m ，22．IX．2012，Li Jinwei \＆Yang Lijun leg．； $9 \widehat{\delta}^{\AA}, 1$ ， ，Shangfu Town，Fengxin County， $28.41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 115.00^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $108 \mathrm{~m}, 21 . \mathrm{IX} .2012$ ，Li Jinwei \＆Yang Lijun leg．，genitalia slide no． SYSU0609（ （ ）， $0610\left(\delta^{\wedge}\right) ; 8 \delta^{\wedge}, 4$ ，Mt．Sanqingshan，Shangrao County， $28.93^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 118.09^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $389 \mathrm{~m}, 15 . \mathrm{V} .2012$ ， Li Jinwei leg．； $500^{\wedge}$ ， 67 ，Mt．Wugong，Luxi County， $27.48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 500 m ，23．IX．2016，Chen Kai \＆ Duan Yongjiang leg．； $34 \widehat{ }^{\wedge}$ ， 14 ¢，Mt．Wugong，Anfu County， $27.33^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.23^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $400 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . \mathrm{VII} .2014$ ，Chen Kai leg．；15才，Mt．Bijiashan，Jinggangshan，17．X．2010，Zhang Dan－dan，Zhao Shuang \＆Tong Bo leg．；12才， 9 q， Xiangzhou，Jinggangshan，31．V．2011，Yang Lijun leg．；5才，Xiangzhou，Jinggangshan，26．IV．2011，Yu Yali leg．； 1才，Xiangzhou，Jinggangshan，4．IX．2011，Li Jinwei leg．；1才，Xiaoxidong，Jinggangshan，24．IV．2011，Liu Ping \＆ Mei Yan leg．；2 ${ }^{\top}$ ，Xiaoxidong，Jinggangshan，30．V．2011，Li Jinwei leg．；7 $\widehat{\lambda}$ ，Xiaoxidong，Jinggangshan， 2．VIII．2011，Li Jinwei leg．；1 ，Xiaoxidong，Jinggangshan，29．X．2011，Li Jinwei leg．；15 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ，Sanjizhan Reservoir， Luofu，Jinggangshan，18．IX．2010，Zhang Dan－dan，Zhao Shuang \＆Tong Bo leg．；2才，Luofu，Jinggangshan， 3．III．2011，Li Jinwei leg．；1q，Luofu，Jinggangshan，29．V．2011，Li Jinwei \＆Yang Lijun leg．；1q，Luofu， Jinggangshan，31．V．2011，Yang Lijun leg．；2才，Dajing，Jinggangshan，19．IX．2011，Zhang Dan－dan，Zhao Shuang \＆Tong Bo leg．； $1^{\top}$ ，Nanfengmian Natural Reserve，Qianmo Village，Suichuan County， $26.28^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 816 m，19．VI．2015，Chen Kai leg．；2 ，Nanfengmian Natural Reserve，Qianmo Village，Suichuan County， $26.29^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 820 m ，19．IX．2017，Chen Kai leg．； $3 q$ ，Mt．Guanggushan，Wuzhifeng Village，Shangyou County， $25.92^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.05^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $183 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . V I .2015$ ，Chen Kai leg．，genitalia slide no．SYSU0934；4 q ，Mt． Guanggushan，Wuzhifeng Village，Shangyou County， $25.92^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.05^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $183 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . I X .2016$ ，Chen Kai \＆ Duan Yongjiang leg．；1才，Xiagongtang，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County，alt． 630 m，29．VIII．2007，Zhang Dan－ dan leg．，genitalia slide No．HFX08312；1 ${ }^{\lambda}$ ，Xiagongtang，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County，alt． 500 m ， 11．VII．2008，He Fengxia leg．； $2 \widehat{O}^{\lambda}, 1$（卉）Daqiutian，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County，alt． $500 \mathrm{~m}, 31 . \mathrm{VIII} .2007$ ， Zhang Dan－dan leg．，genitalia slide no．HFX08219（ठ）， 08311 （ （ ）；1 §，Daqiutian，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County，alt． 500 m, 12．VII．2008，Jia Fenglong leg．，genitalia slide no．HFX08220；2 ${ }^{\text {T，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan }}$ County， $24.54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.46^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $625 \mathrm{~m}, 27 . I V .2012$ ，Li Jinwei leg．； 1 \＆，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County， $24.54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $114.46^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 625 m ，28．IV．2012，Li Jinwei leg．； $34 \widehat{\delta}^{\lambda}, 1$ ，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County， $24.58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.43^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ， alt． 620 m, 26．IX．2016，Chen Kai \＆Duan Yongjiang leg．； $4 \delta^{\top}$ ，Mt．Jiulianshan，Longnan County， $24.58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $114.43^{\circ}$ E，alt． $620 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . \mathrm{IX} .2017$ ，Chen Kai leg．；Henan： 2 ，Shuiliandong，Tongbai County，25－26．V．2000，alt． 300 m ，Yu Haili leg．（coll．NKU）；1 ，Lingshan Temple，Luoshan County，22．V．2000，alt． 350 m ，Yu Haili leg． （coll．NKU）；Hubei： $9 \widehat{J}^{\top}, 8$ \＆，Mt．Wujiashan，Yingshan County， $31.05^{\circ}$ N， $115.47^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $880 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . \mathrm{VI} .2014$ ，Chen Xiaohua \＆Pan Chang leg．； $4 \widehat{J}^{\lambda}, 6$ ，Qingtaiguan，Luotian County， $31.11^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 115.41^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $524 \mathrm{~m}, 2 . \mathrm{VII} .2014$ ，Liu Zhenhua \＆Pan Chang leg．；4 $q$ ，Taohuachong，Mt．Dabieshan， $31.00^{\circ}$ N， $115.90^{\circ}$ E，alt． $661 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . V I .2014$ ，Chen Xiaohua \＆Pan Chang leg．；Hunan：4 ${ }^{\lambda}, 1 q$ ，Mt．Mufushan，National Forest Park，Pingjiang County， $28.97^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $113.82^{\circ}$ E，alt． 1062 m，11．VI．2016，Chen Kai \＆Duan Yongjiang leg．；9 ${ }^{\top}$ ， 7 ㅇ，Shennonggu National Forest Park， Yanling County， $26.52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.01^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $379 \mathrm{~m}, 17 . \mathrm{VI} .2016$ ，Chen Kai \＆Duan Yongjiang leg．；4 $\mathrm{J}^{\top}$ ， 3 ， Shennonggu National Forest Park，Yanling County， $26.52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.01^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 379 m ，19．IX．2017，Chen Kai leg．；
 Visitor Center，Taoyuandong， $26.47^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.04^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $870 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . \mathrm{V} .2014$ ，Chen Xiaohua leg．； $6{ }^{\top}, 6$ ，Shigang， Taoyuandong， $26.56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $113.99^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $621 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . \mathrm{V} .2014$ ，Liu Xiaolin leg．； $22{ }^{\top}$ ， 27 ，${ }^{\circ}$ ，Mihua Village， Taoyuandong， $26.50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.07^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $598 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . \mathrm{V} .2014$ ，Lin Renchao leg．；30 ${ }_{3}$ ， 16 ，Mihua Village， Taoyuandong， $26.50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.07^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $598 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . \mathrm{V} .2014$ ，Pan Chang leg．； $633^{\lambda}, 108$ ，Zhulian Waterfall， $26.50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $113.99^{\circ}$ E，alt． $500 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . \mathrm{V} .2014$ ，Liu Xiaolin leg．； $25 \delta^{\wedge}$ ， 81 ¢，Zhulian Waterfall， $26.50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.99^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 500 m ， 26．V．2014，Lin Renchao leg．； $1 \delta^{\top}, 5$ ，Mt．Huilongshan，Zixing City， $26.08^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.39^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $886 \mathrm{~m}, 8 . \mathrm{VI} .2016$ ， Chen Kai \＆Duan Yongjiang leg．； $2^{\top}$ ，Mt．Huilongshan，Zixing City， $26.08^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.39^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 886 m ，17．IX．2017， Chen Kai leg．； $1 \delta^{\lambda}$ ，Jinyinpu，Bamianshan Natural Reserve， $25.97^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.71^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $973 \mathrm{~m}, 16 . \mathrm{VI} .2015$ ，Chen Kai leg．；1 ${ }^{\lambda}$ ，Zhangjiajie，7．VIII．2001，alt． 650 m ，Li Houhun \＆Wang Xinpu leg．（coll．NKU）；Guangdong：8§， 1 ， Chebaling National Nature Reserve，Shixing County， $24.73^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 114.27^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $496 \mathrm{~m}, 29$. V．2017，Chen Kai leg．； $4 ठ^{\lambda}$ ， Chebaling National Nature Reserve，Shixing County， $24.73^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 114.27^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $496 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . \mathrm{V} .2017$ ，Duan Yongjiang leg．； $4 \delta^{\top}$ ，Chebaling National Nature Reserve，Shixing County， $24.73^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 114.27^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $496 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . \mathrm{V} .2017$ ，Zhang Dan－dan leg．； $1 \delta^{\AA}, 2$ ，Mt．Danxiashan，Shaoguan， $25.04^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.64^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $96 \mathrm{~m}, 6 . \mathrm{VI} .2012$ ，Li Jinwei leg．； $2 q$ ， Mt．Danxiashan，Shaoguan， $25.04^{\circ}$ N， $113.64^{\circ}$ E，alt． 96 m，7．VI．2012，Li Jinwei leg．； $1 \delta^{\lambda}, 1 q$ ，Mt．Danxiashan， Shaoguan， $25.04^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.64^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $96 \mathrm{~m}, 6 . V I .2012$ ，Chen Xiaohua leg．； 1 \＆，Mt．Dadongshan，Lianzhou，alt． 650
m, 21.VI.2004, Zhang Dan-dan leg.; 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, Mt. Nankunshan, Huizhou City, 16.VII.2003, Li Zhiqiang \& Zhang Dan-
 Gaozhai, Xing'an County, 28.VIII.2011,Li Jinwei \& Zhang Dan-dan leg.; 2§̧, 2 ㅇ, Mt. Shengtang, Jinxiu County, 25.VIII.2011, Li Jinwei, Yang Lijun \& Zhang Dan-dan leg.; 3才, 2 q, Mt. Shengtang, Jinxiu County, 26.VIII.2011,


FIGURES 14-15. Female genitalia of Eumorphobotys spp. 14. E. eumorphalis, genitalia slide no. SYSU0971. 15. E. concavuncus $\mathbf{s p} . \mathbf{n}$., genitalia slide no. SYSU0163. (Scale bars $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

Li Jinwei，Cheng Muchun \＆Zhang Dan－dan leg．； 1 ，Dawangling，Baise City， $23.54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 106.37^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 133 m ， 19．VII．2013，Chen Xiaohua leg．；17才， 39 q，Yangmei＇ao，Mt．Jiuwandashan， $25.19^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 108.65^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 1183 m ， 22．VII．2015，Chen Kai leg．；1才，1q，Mt．Pinglongshan，Shangxi County，6．IV．2002，alt． 510 m ，Hao Shulian \＆ Xue Huaijun leg．（coll．NKU）；Hainan：1 ${ }^{\lambda}$ ，Mt．Limushan，5．V．2011，Yang Lijun \＆Zhang Dan－dan leg．；$\delta^{\lambda}$ ，Mt． Limushan，2．XI． $2013,19.17^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 109.73^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． 755 m ，Chen Xiaohua \＆Chen Kai leg．，genitalia slide no． SYSU0972；Chongqing： 1 Q，Shamuyuan，Mt．Jinyunshan，11．IX．2009，Du Xicui leg．；Sichuan： 1 q，Hailuogou， Luding County， $29.33^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 101.59^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $496 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 2 . V I I .2012$ ，Li Jinwei leg．，genitalia slide no．SYSU $0971 ; 1 \delta^{\lambda}$ ， Hailuogou，Luding County， $29.33^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 101.59^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ，alt． $496 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . V I I .2012$ ，Li Jinwei leg．，genitalia slide no． SYSU0970；Guizhou：1才，Maolan Natural Reserve，1．IX．2011，Li Jinwei leg．，genitalia slide no．SYSU0977；1 §， 6q，Linjiang Village，Xishui County，31．V．2000，24－26．IX．2000，alt．500－550 m，Du Yanli \＆Yu Haili leg．（coll． NKU）；5 ${ }^{\lambda}, 10$ ，Suoluo，Chishui Town，29－30．V．2000，22．IX．2000，alt．240－390 m，Du Yanli \＆Yu Haili leg． （coll．NKU）；4 ${ }^{\lambda}$ ，Heiwan，Jiangkou County，28．VII．2001， 600 m ，Li Houhun \＆Wang Xinpu leg．（coll．NKU）．

Distribution．China（Jiangsu，Zhejiang，Anhui，Fujian，Jiangxi，Henan，Hubei，Hunan，Guangdong，Guangxi， Hainan，Chongqing，Sichuan，Guizhou）．

Remarks．Examination of the wing colour of E．eumorphalis specimens collected from thirteen provinces of China reveals that E．eumorphalis is a sexually dimorphic species．In most male specimens both wings are smoky brown while in female specimens the forewing is yellowish brown and hindwing smoky brown with pale yellow． However，several male specimens collected in Mt．Tianmushan（the same mountain as where types of $E$ ． eumorphalis were collected，but the exact collection point of types is unknown）have similar wing colour to the females（Figs 4－5）．Variations encountered in wing colour are restricted to few male specimens．It is reasonable to assume that Caradja，Munroe and Mutuura treated E．obscuralis as distinct species only based on the different wing colour between male specimens collected from Amoy and Mokanshan．However，the male genitalia of paratype of the C．obscuralis（genitalia slide no．621，MGAB）shows no difference to those of E．eumorphalis．Consequently， E．obscuralis is synonymized with E．eumorphalis in the present study．

Eumorphobotys eumorphalis is mainly distributed in the south of the Chinese mainland．It is also recorded from Taiwan（see http：／／twmoth．tesri．gov．tw／peo／MothQuery．aspx？F＝Crambidae\＆G＝Eumorphobotys\＆S＝Eumorp hobotys\％20eumorphalis）．

## Eumorphobotys concavuncus Chen \＆Zhang，sp．n．

Figs 3，10，19， 27

Diagnosis．Eumorphobotys concavuncus resembles female specimens of E．eumorphalis through its similar forewing colour of yellowish brown tinged with rose pink to reddish brown．In E．concavuncus，the area of the forewing tinged with rose pink to reddish brown stretches from $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ to the inner margin，whereas in the females of E．eumorphalis only the termen of forewing is tinged with pink．The white costa and the straight termen of the forewing are also differentiators from E．eumorphalis．The smoky brown termen of the hindwing differentiates $E$ ． concavuncus from most female E．eumorphalis．In the male genitalia，E．concavuncus can be distinguished from the other Eumorphobotys species as follows：uncus with stronger sides and a concavity in the middle of its distal margin；a sclerotized editum，except for the dorsal base；valva with a tighter rounded tip，bearing two unequal（the distal one shorter）sclerotized processes on the ventral margin．In the female genitalia，the ductus bursae is more than 5 times the diameter of corpus bursae and the signum＇s arms are more acute than those of E．eumorphalis．

Description．Head．As for the genus．Thorax．Yellowish brown．Legs unmodified with outer tibial spur about $1 / 3$ length of the inner one．Wings．Wingspan $31-37 \mathrm{~mm}$ ．Forewing yellowish brown，tinged with rose pink to reddish brown from about $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ to its inner margin（occasionally diffuse to apex），darkened at the inner margin； underside pale smoky brown except for the inner margin；costa white，straight，weakly arched near apex；apex sharp；termen straight to rounded tornus；reniform stigma obscure，slightly curved；fringe whitish yellow with smoky brown base，entirely smoky brown at apex and at $\mathrm{CuA}_{2}$ to tornus．Hindwing diffuse smoky brown，with the exception of a pale yellow central area and the inner margin（the pale yellow area is reduced in some specimens， Fig．9）；underside pale yellow，termen pale brown；fringe whitish yellow with brown base．Abdomen．Brown dorsally，underside pale yellow．Male genitalia（Fig．12）．Uncus sclerotized with stronger sides，rounded distally， with a concavity in the middle．Valva tapers slightly to rounded apex，ventral margin extended and sclerotized in
the middle with two unequal processes: the proximal one is longer and slightly curved, with ventral surface densely covered of short hairs, while the distal one is shorter and smaller, with a heavily sclerotized, thick spine inside it; transtilla smaller if compared with the other two species; costa weakly curved near base; sacculus broad, moderately expanded, with an indistinct triangular process in the middle; editum finger-like and barely setose, moderately upcurved, sclerotized with the exception of the dorsal base. Juxta large, shield-shaped, with a broad base which quickly narrows, dorsal half with straight sides. Phallus slender, slightly sinuated; vesica with two sclerotized, ovate cornuti in its distal third, appressed to each other, covered with tiny teeth. Female genitalia (Fig. 15). Antrum tubular, narrower than ductus bursae, with its anterior half slightly widened and sclerotized. Ductus bursae more than five times the diameter of corpus bursae, with sclerotized base. Corpus bursae small; signum with two arms bearing a pointed carina, other two arms small and short.

Material examined. Type material. Holotype $\widehat{\jmath}$ (Fig. 8). CHINA, Yunnan: Mt. Gaoligongshan, Natural Park, Baoshan, $24.82^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 98.78^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1700 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . \mathrm{V} .2016$, Duan Yongjiang leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0147, molecular voucher no. LEP0042.

Paratypes: Guangxi: $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, Yangmei'ao, Mt. Jiuwandashan, $25.19^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 108.65^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1183 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . \mathrm{VII} .2015$, Chen Kai leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0989, molecular voucher no. LEP0175; Guizhou: $1 \uparrow$, Kuankuoshui Tea Factory, alt. 1500 m , 16.VIII.2010, Du Xicui leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0163; Yunnan: 9才, Mt. Gaoligongshan, Natural Park, Baoshan, $24.82^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 98.78^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $1700 \mathrm{~m}, 22-23 . \mathrm{V} .2016$, Duan Yongjiang leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0974.

Distribution. China (Guangxi, Guizhou, Yunnan).
Etymology. The specific name derived from the Latin concav- and uncus, referring to the distal uncus concave in the middle.

## Eumorphobotys horakae Chen \& Zhang, sp. n.

Figs 2, 10, 13

Diagnosis. The wingshape of Eumorphobotys horakae is similar to E. concavuncus. It can be best distinguished from other Eumorphobotys species by its clean reddish brown forewing. In the male genitalia, it can be differentiated as follows: uncus with a small distal process on its dorsal side, valva with its ventral margin widened and densely spinous in the middle $1 / 3$, juxta with a tapered distal half and spiny cornuti on about half of the phallus vesica.

Description. Head. Frons and vertex reddish brown. Thorax. Smoky brown dorsally, with pale reddish brown scales on the sides. Legs as described for genus, outer tibial spur about $1 / 3$ of the inner one. Wings. Wingspan $30-$ 32 mm . Forewing shape as in E. concavuncus; background colour clean reddish brown; underside as in $E$. concavuncus; reniform stigma faint, stripe-shaped, dark reddish brown; fringe as in E. concavuncus. Hindwing uniform smoky brown; underside pale yellow with pale brown termen; fringe whitish yellow with smoky brown base. Abdomen. Smoky brown dorsally, first two segments paler, underside light yellow. Male genitalia (Fig. 13). Uncus broad, rounded distally, with a small process in the middle of its dorsal side. Valva gradually narrowing towards the rounded apex, ventral margin with its medial third extended with a lamellar structure, densely spinous on its outer margin, with a short thorn at $1 / 3$ of its distal end; a rich layer made up of tufts of relatively longer, stronger sclerotized hairs along the distal half of valva's ventral side; transtilla larger if compared with the other two species; sacculus moderately broad, with a indistinct, small process in its middle. Juxta large, shield-shaped, with the distal half tapered. Phallus slender, slightly downcurved; vesica distally with a long, spine-shaped cornuti, almost half as long as the entire phallus. Female genitalia. Unknown.

Material examined. Type material. Holotype $\delta^{\lambda}$. CHINA, Sichuan: Gongyihai Conservation Station, Liziping Natural Reserve, Shimian County, Ya’an City, $29.03^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 102.38^{\circ}$ E, alt. $2065 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . \mathrm{VII} .2016$, Duan Yongjiang leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0148, molecular voucher no. LEP0043.

Paratypes: Sichuan: 4 ${ }^{\lambda}$, Anzihe Natural Reserve, 4.VIII.2015, Du Xicui leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0988, molecular voucher no. LEP0172; $1^{\lambda}$, Gongyihai Conservation Station, Liziping Natural Reserve, Shimian County, Ya'an City, $29.03^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 102.38^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $2065 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . \mathrm{VII} .2016$, Duan Yongjiang leg.; Guizhou: $1 \widehat{ }^{\AA}$, Rangshui Watershed, Kuankuoshui, 16.VIII.2010, Yang Lin-lin leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0983; Yunnan: 1ठ, Dahaoping, Tengchong, alt. 2020 m, 6.VIII.2007, Zhang Dan-dan leg., genitalia slide no. SYSU0973; 1才, Mt. Ailaoshan, alt. 2200 m, 31.VII.2011, Kitching \& Ashton leg. (coll. FCEL).

Distribution. China (Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan).
Etymology. The name is a patronym dedicated to Dr. Marianne Horak, a major contributor to the systematics of the Lepidoptera.

Remarks. The appearance of E. horakae is somewhat similar to Loxoneptera albicostalis Swinhoe, 1906 in the straight forewing termen and the reddish brown forewing colour. The type specimen of the latter species to which the original description of Swinhoe refers is less reddish. However, the wing colour of specimens collected in Yunnan is indistinguishable from E. horakae. Yet, males of L. albicostalis Swinhoe, 1906 can be distinguished from E. horakae by the presence of a tuft of scales on the inner margin of forewing and a large bundle of scales on the mid-lateral side of abdomen. Where these scales have been worn away, some specimens of L. albicostalis can still be distinguished from E. horakae by the slightly sinuate inner margin and the clean smoky brown underside of forewing, as well as the pale triangular patch in the centre of the hindwing.

## Key to the species of Eumorphobotys based on males

1. Forewing with slightly curved termen; sella triangular, juxta distally divided. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . eumorphalis

- Forewing with almost straight termen; sella reduced, juxta distally not divided . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2

2. Forewing yellowish brown, tinged with rose pink to reddish brown from about $M_{2}$ to its inner margin; uncus with a concavity in the middle of its distal margin, valva with two unequal processes on the ventral margin . . . . . . . . . . E. concavuncus sp. n.

- Forewing reddish brown; uncus with a small process in the middle of its dorsal side, ventral margin of the valva densely spinous in its medial third
E. horakae sp. n.


## Discussion

As is apparent from the tree topology (Fig. 1), Sclerocona is less closely related to Eumorphobotys. In appearance S. acutella (Eversmann, 1842) resembles species of Eumorphobotys in having the long, porrect labial palpus. The male genitalia of $S$. acutella is also quite different from those of Eumorphobotys species. It can be distinguished by the cone-shaped uncus with dense laterally setae, the lamellar editum and the deeply divided, U-shaped juxta. Unexpectedly, the current phylogenetic analyses reveal that Loxoneptera is paraphyletic. Externally Loxoneptera species are distinct in the tuft of scales on the inner margin of the male's forewing and the bundle of scales on the side of their middle abdomen. Examinations of the male genitalia of Loxoneptera species and Chinese Calamochrous species (including several unidentified specimens) suggest that they are probably congeneric. In the male genitalia, all these species share some similar structures such as the cone-shaped uncus, the peculiar long and slender, distally thick hairy extension at the base of the costa and the boat-shaped base of the juxta. The 8th sternite with slender anterolateral processes is another common character shared between Loxoneptera species and Chinese Calamochrous species. However, the pronounced slender editum, distally with few setae, as well as the undivided juxta of Loxoneptera species, makes them different from the Chinese Calamochrous species. To clarify this relationship, more Calamochrous species, including the types, need to be examined.

Prodasycnemis inornata was not included in the phylogenetic analyses. Morphologically, P. inornata is similar to all the Eumorphobotys species through the finger-like, tapering editum, the distinct joint at basal costa, the pointed process extended from ventral valva and the basally bulged juxta, to E. eumorphalis also by the weakly curved termen of forewing, the broad phallus with several sharp, straight cornuti and to E. horakae by the strong but not broad sacculus and the dorsally tapering juxta. In the female genitalia, the spinulose sinus vaginalis is similar to those of E. eumorphalis and E. concavuncus; the shape of signum is similar to E. concavuncus. Prodasycnemis and Eumorphobotys are definitely closely related based on these similar characters. Bearing in mind the differences between Eumorphobotys and Prodasycnemis, noted above within the generic diagnosis of Eumorphobotys, we still consider Eumorphobotys and Prodasycnemis as closely related but distinct genera. Genetic data on $P$. inornata is required to confirm the generic position of Prodasycnemis. Considering the similarity in both male and female genitalia between the two genera, it would not be a surprise if they are proved to be congeneric by future research.
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