

## More of the same: a diminutive new species of the *Limnonectes kuhlii* complex from northern Vietnam (Anura: Dicroglossidae)

DAVID S. MCLEOD<sup>1,4</sup>, SCUYLER KURLBAUM<sup>2</sup> & NGOC VAN HOANG<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*James Madison University, Department of Biology, MSC 7801, 951 Carrier Dr., Harrisonburg, VA 22807, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Kansas Biodiversity Institute, 1345 Jayhawk Boulevard, Lawrence, Kansas 66045-7561, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Faculty of Biology and Agricultural Techniques, Thai Nguyen University of Education, Luong Ngoc Quyen Street, Thai Nguyen City, Vietnam*

<sup>4</sup>*Corresponding author. E-mail: mcleodds@jmu.edu*

### Abstract

A new species in the dicroglossid genus *Limnonectes* known only from Ha Giang province, Vietnam is described. Analysis of DNA sequence data from the mitochondrial 12S and 16S gene regions places the species within the *Limnonectes kuhlii* Complex and demonstrates it to be the sister taxon to an Indochinese clade containing *L. isanensis*, *L. jarujini*, *L. megastomias*, and *L. taylori*. The new species occurs in syntopy with *L. bannaensis*. Both molecular and morphological data support the recognition of this lineage as a new species. Notably, the relatively diminutive size of this species distinguishes *Limnonectes nguyenorum* sp. nov. from all other members of the *L. kuhlii* Complex.

**Key words:** dicroglossid, fanged frog, *Limnonectes nguyenorum* sp. nov., mitochondrial DNA, morphology, species complex

### Introduction

*Limnonectes* Fitzinger comprises 63 currently recognized species (Frost 2014). The genus is characterized by the presence of odontoid processes (hence the colloquial name of “fanged frogs”), male-biased size dimorphism, and male parental care (Emerson *et al.* 2000). Frogs of the genus *Limnonectes* are distributed throughout east and Southeast Asia, most are tied to forest stream habitats, and it is not uncommon to observe two or more congeners occurring in syntopy (e.g., McLeod 2008, 2009). The generotype, *Limnonectes kuhlii* Tschudi, historically considered to be a single, broadly distributed species, was the focus of two recent molecular phylogenetic studies (Matsui *et al.* 2010; McLeod 2010) that highlighted a considerable amount of diversity hidden within this species complex.

McLeod (2010) presented a robust molecular phylogeny of the *L. kuhlii* Complex using mtDNA sequences from individuals representing approximately 63 populations across its known distribution. The results corroborated previous phylogenetic treatments of the *kuhlii* Complex (Emerson *et al.* 2000; Evans *et al.* 2003; Matsui *et al.* 2010; Zhang *et al.* 2005). Furthermore, McLeod (2010) demonstrated that *L. kuhlii*, which historically had been recognized as a single species, is a complex of more than 22 well-supported evolutionary lineages (*viz.*, species), many of which remain subsumed under the nominal *L. kuhlii*. Tschudi (1838) designated the island of Java as the type locality for *L. kuhlii*. McLeod (2010) followed this designation and restricted all individuals from Java to retain the name *L. kuhlii*. Additionally, the study also uncovered several cases of sympatric/syntopic lineages, and in no case were co-occurring lineages each other’s closest relatives (McLeod 2010).

In 2000, a series of anuran specimens was collected from Vi Xuyen District, Ha Giang Province, Vietnam by Raoul Bain and Nguyen Quang Truong (Bain & Nguyen 2004). These specimens were identified as *Limnonectes kuhlii* and deposited in the American Museum of Natural History and Institute for Ecology and Biological Resources (Hanoi, Vietnam). At the time of collection, a remarkable difference in the size of two gravid females from the same location was noted in the expedition field notes (R. Bain & T. Nguyen, pers. comm.). In 2008, these

(McLeod 2010), a single sample consistently fell out in the “wrong” place in preliminary trees. When the voucher specimen for this sample (AMNH A-163944) was examined along with a series 16 other specimens of *L. bannaensis* from Vietnam (three from the same specific locality) the morphological differences were obvious. Communication with the collectors (R. Bain and T. Nguyen, pers. comm.) revealed that this small specimen was first considered a juvenile in the field, and that its unique appearance warranted notation in the field records. Upon subsequent investigation it was identified as a gravid female, though notably smaller than other gravid females collected at the same time. The importance of taking both tissue samples and whole voucher specimens during field studies cannot be emphasized enough. In this case, had there been a tissue sample only, it seems likely that the identity of the single AMNH sample would have been impossible to confirm, that the sequence data might have simply been considered to be “poor quality” and discarded, and ultimately that real diversity would have been overlooked.

During the development of this manuscript, two subsequent field trips to Ha Giang province were made to look for additional specimens of this small frog. These follow-up expeditions yielded 18 specimens of *L. bannensis* but only 3 of *L. nguyенorum*. These data suggest that *L. nguyенorum* is either less abundant, more rarely encountered, or both. From a conservation standpoint both “rare” and “rarely encountered” are significant descriptors. Given the rapid loss of tropical Southeast Asian forests (e.g., Sodhi *et al.* 2009), and the threat that this poses to forest biota, it is critical that we attempt to identify and understand the true biodiversity of this region before it is lost. Recognizing diversity is only the first step towards developing informed conservation and management strategies. In the case of *L. nguyенorum*, we have made that first step herein. Unfortunately we do not yet understand this species: we do not know its real geographic distribution, its true natural history, ecology, or the status of this species in nature. Future field studies will be necessary if we are to determine whether *L. nguyенorum* is an endemic species on the brink of extinction and “in need of conservation” or if it is abundant and thriving elsewhere and that our limited knowledge renders it “data deficient”? Serendipity may have led to the discovery of this frog, but our understanding of this species and its future fate should not be left to chance.

## Acknowledgments

This study would not have been possible without the prior efforts of those who gathered specimens from the field and deposited them in accessible museum collections. Nguyen Quang Truong (Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources), Raoul Bain (American Museum of Natural History), and Nguyen Thien Tao (Vietnam National Museum of Nature), provided access to specimens. Nguyen Thien Tao generously conducted additional field expeditions to look for more specimens of this frog. Robert Inger, Tan Fui Lian, and Bryan Stuart are thanked for engaging in hours of helpful conversation about these frogs with DSM. Loans of comparative materials were made possible by R. Brown, D. Kizirian, A. Ohler, A. Resetar, and J. Vindum.

## References

- Alberch, P. & Gale, E. (1985) A Developmental Analysis of an Evolutionary Trend: Digital Reduction in Amphibians. *Evolution*, 39, 8–23.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2408513>
- Bain, R. & Nguyen, T. (2004) Herpetofaunal diversity of Ha Giang Province in northeastern Vietnam, with descriptions of two new species. *American Museum Novitates*, 3453, 1–42.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1206/0003-0082\(2004\)453%3C0001:HDOHGP%3E2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1206/0003-0082(2004)453%3C0001:HDOHGP%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Boulenger, G.A. (1920) A monograph of the South Asian, Papuan, Melanesian and Australian frogs of the genus *Rana*. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 20, 1–223.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.12471>
- de Queiroz, K. (1998) The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process of speciation: a conceptual unification and terminological recommendations. In: Howard, D.J. & Berlocher, S.H. (Eds.), *Endless forms: species and speciation*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 57–75.
- de Queiroz, K. (1999) The General Lineage Concept of Species and the Defining Properties of the Species Category. *Species, New Interdisciplinary Essays*, 49–89.
- Duméril, A.M.C. & Bibron, G. (1841) *Erpetétology Général ou Histoire Naturelle complète des Reptiles*. Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret, Paris, 792 pp.

- Emerson, S., Inger, R. & Iskandar, D. (2000) Molecular systematics and biogeography of the fanged frogs of Southeast Asia. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 16, 131–142.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0778>
- Evans, B.J., Brown, R.M., McGuire, J.A., Supriatna, J., Andayani, N., Diesmos, A., Iskandar, D., Melnick, D. & Canatella, D. (2003) Phylogenetics of Fanged Frogs: Testing Biogeographical Hypotheses at the Interface of the Asian and Australian Faunal Zones. *Systematic Biology*, 52, 794–819.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150390251063>
- Fouquet, A., Vences, M., Salducci, M. & Meyer, A. (2007) Revealing cryptic diversity using molecular phylogenetics and phylogeography in frogs of the *Scinax ruber* and *Rhinella margaritifera* species groups. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 43, 567–582.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.12.006>
- Frost, D.R. (2014) Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0 (accessed December 2014). Electronic Database. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. Available from: <http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html> (accessed 24 March 2015)
- Hertwig, S., De Sa, R. & Haas, A. (2004) Phylogenetic signal and the utility of 12S and 16S mtDNA in frog phylogeny. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research*, 42, 2–18.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00225.x>
- Inger, R. (1966) The systematics and zoogeography of the amphibia of Borneo. *Fieldiana: Zoology*, 52, 402.
- Inger, R., Stuart, B. & Iskandar, D.T. (2009) Systematics of a widespread Southeast Asian frog, *Rana chalconota* (Amphibia: Anura: Ranidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 155, 123–147.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2008.00440.x>
- Matsui, M., Kuraishi, N., Jiang-Ping, J., Ota, H., Hamidy, A., Orlov, N. & Nishikawa, K. (2010) Systematic reassessments of fanged frogs from China and adjacent regions (Anura: Dic平glossidae). *Zootaxa*, 2345, 33–42.
- Matsui, M., Panha, S., Khonsue, W. & Kuraishi, N. (2010) Two new species of the “kuhlii” complex of the genus *Limnonectes* from Thailand (Anura: Dic平glossidae). *Zootaxa*, 2615, 1–22.
- McLeod, D.S. (2008) A new species of big-headed, fanged dic平glossine frog (Genus *Limnonectes*) from Thailand. *Zootaxa*, 1807, 26–46.
- McLeod, D.S. (2009) *Limnonectes megastomias* (Big-mouthed frog)—Diet and Ornithophagy. *Herpetological Review*, 40 (2), 205–206.
- McLeod, D.S. (2010) Of Least Concern? Systematics of a cryptic species complex: *Limnonectes kuhlii* (Amphibia; Anura: Dic平glossidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 56, 991–1000.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.04.004>
- McLeod, D.S., Horner, S.J., Husted, C., Barley, A. & Iskandar, D. (2011) “Same-Same but Different”: an unusual new species of the *Limnonectes kuhlii* complex from West Sumatra. *Zootaxa*, 2883, 52–64.
- McLeod, D.S., Kelly, J.K. & Barley, A. (2012) “Same-Same but Different”: Another species of the *Limnonectes kuhlii* complex from Thailand (Anura: Dic平glossidae). *Russian Journal of Herpetology*, 19 (3), 261–274.
- Simpson, G.G. (1961) Principles of animal taxonomy. Columbia University Press, New York, xii + 247 pp.
- Sodhi, N.S., Lee, T.M., Koh, L.P. & Brook, B.W. (2009) A meta-analysis of the impact of anthropogenic forest disturbance on Southeast Asia’s biotas. *Biotropica*, 41 (1), 103–109.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2008.00460.x>
- Stuart, B.L., Inger, R.F. & Voris, H.K. (2006) High level of cryptic species diversity revealed by sympatric lineages of Southeast Asian forest frogs. *Biology Letters*, 2, 470–474.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2006.0505>
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2011) MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 28, 2731–2739.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121>
- Taylor, E.H. (1962) The Amphibian Fauna of Thailand. *The University of Kansas Science Bulletin*, 43, 599.
- Tschudi, J.J.V. (1838) Classification der Batrachier, mit Berücksichtigung der fossilen Thiere dieser Abtheilung der Reptilien. *Mémoires de la Société des Sciences Naturelles de Neuchatel*, 2, 1–99.
- Vences, M., Thomas, M., Bonett, R. & Vieites, D. (2005) Deciphering amphibian diversity through DNA barcoding: chances and challenges. *Philosophical Transactions B*, 360, 1859–1868.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1717>
- Vences, M., Thomas, M. & Van der Meijden, A. (2005) Comparative performance of the 16 S rRNA gene in DNA barcoding of amphibians. *Frontiers in Zoology*, 2, 5.
- Vieites, D.R., Wollenberg, K.C., Andreone, F., Köhler, J., Glaw, F. & Vences, M. (2009) Vast underestimation of Madagascar’s biodiversity evidenced by an integrative amphibian inventory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 8267–8272. [USA]  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810821106>
- Wiley, E.O. (1978) The Evolutionary Species Concept Reconsidered. *Systematic Zoology*, 27, 17–26.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2412809>

Zhang, J., Nie, L., Peng, Q., Ge, Y., Wang, Y., Xu, J. & Tang, X. (2005) Relationships among the Chinese group of *Limnonectes* based on mitochondrial 12S and 16S rRNA sequences. *Acta Zoologica Sinica*, 51, 3–54.

**APPENDIX.** Specimens examined (morphology)

*Limnonectes nguyенorum*: VIETNAM: Ha Giang Province: VNMN A.2015.1, A.2015.3, IEBR A.2015.3, AMNH A163944

*Limnonectes bannaensis*: VIETNAM: Ha Giang Province: VNMN A.2015.41–48, IEBR A.2014.32, A.2014.33–40, AMNH A163945, 163953, 163955; Ha Tinh Province: AMNH A 161196, 161204, 161646; Lao Cai province: AMNH A168707–713; Quang Binh Province: AMNH A 161202, 161203; CHINA: Guangxi Province: KU 311786, 311788, 311790–795, LAO PDR: Huaphahn Province: FMNH 255140–149; Phongsaly Province: FMNH 258519, 258520, 258522.

*Limnonectes taylori*: LAO PDR: Bokeo Province: MNHN 1997.3902, 1997.3904, 1997.3916, 1997.4104; Phongsaly Province: FMNH 258517–18, 258521, 258523–26; MYANMAR: Shan State, CAS 230947–48, 235470; THAILAND: Chiang Mai Province: CUMZ(A) 2003.6–8, 2003.13, 2003.29–30, 2003.32–33.