



<http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3936.1.4>

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:0E9B41A5-B557-4CBF-943A-EC082666B79F>

## Two new species of Lysianassidae Dana, 1849 from Australia: *Riwo zeidleri* and *Socarnella delectabilis* (Crustacea: Peracarida: Amphipoda)

L.E. HUGHES & J.K. LOWRY

Australian Museum Research Institute, 6 College Street, Sydney, New South Wales, 2010, Australia.

E-mail: [lauren.hughes@austmus.gov.au](mailto:lauren.hughes@austmus.gov.au); [jim.lowry@austmus.gov.au](mailto:jim.lowry@austmus.gov.au)

### Abstract

The new species *Riwo zeidleri* and *Socarnella delectabilis* are described. Prior to this study *Riwo* Lowry & Stoddart, 1995 was a monotypic genus, while *Socarnella* Walker, 1904 consisted of only two described species. The distribution of *Riwo* is expanded southwards from northern Papua New Guinea and the Great Barrier Reef to the south coast of Australia and the distribution of *Socarnella* is expanded further southward from Sri Lanka and the South China Sea, to the west coast of Australia.

**Key words:** Amphipoda, Lysianassidae, *Riwo*, *Socarnella*, taxonomy, Australia

### Introduction

*Riwo mizeui* Lowry & Stoddart, 1995 was described from the Madang Lagoon on the north coast of Papua New Guinea. Lowry & Stoddart (2009) subsequently reported the species from Ashmore Reef, at the northern end of the Great Barrier Reef. In this paper we describe the second species in the genus, *Riwo zeidleri* **sp. nov.**, from South Australia.

*Socarnella bonnieri* Walker, 1904 was described from Galle on the south coast of Sri Lanka. Recently Ren (2012) recorded a second species, *S. cavipalmata* from Hainan Province in the South China Sea. We describe a third species in the genus, *Socarnella delectabilis* **sp. nov.** from the north-western coast of Australia, expanding the distribution of the genus to the southeastern Indian Ocean.

### Material and methods

Material is lodged in the Australian Museum, Sydney (AM), Museum Victoria (NMV) South Australian Museum (SAMA), the British Museum of Natural History (BMNH) and Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences at Qingdao (CA). Setal terminology follows Watling (1989). Diagnoses are provided in **bold** text within the descriptions. Standard abbreviations on the plates are: A, antenna; EP, epimeron; G, gnathopod; H, head; Md, mandible; Mx, maxilla; Mxp, maxilliped; P, pereopod; T, telson; U, uropod; l, left; r, right.

### Systematics

#### Lysianassidae Dana, 1849

#### *Riwo* Lowry & Stoddart, 1995

subrectangular, length  $1.9 \times$  width, tapering distally, **posterior margin** smooth, straight, posterior margin lined with short robust setae, **without long robust setae**, palm absent; dactylus weakly recurved, without subterminal spine. **Gnathopod 2** subchelate; coxa large, 0.9 times coxa 3 length; ischium length  $2.5 \times$  width; **carpus long, length  $3 \times$  width**, posterior margin straight; **propodus** subovate, **short, length  $1.8 \times$  width, palm subacute, excavate,  $0.5 \times$  propodus posterior margin**, defined by posterodistal corner without robust setae; dactylus reaching corner of palm, posterior margin smooth. *Pereopod 3* coxa large; merus weakly expanded anteriorly; merus and carpus posterior margin lined with slender setae; propodus with 3 long slender setae and 2 distal locking setae along posterior margin; dactylus weakly recurved. *Pereopod 4* coxa deeper than wide, with large posteroventral lobe, anterior margin subquadrate, posterior margin straight; merus weakly expanded anteriorly; merus and carpus posterior margin lined with slender setae; propodus with 1 distal locking seta along posterior margin; dactylus weakly recurved. *Pereopod 5* coxa equilobate; basis expanded with posterior margin smooth. *Pereopod 6* coxa small, not lobate posteriorly; basis expanded posteriorly with posterior margin weakly concave, smooth; merus expanded; propodus with 1 distal locking seta; dactylus weakly recurved. *Pereopod 7* basis expanded posteriorly, posterior margin weakly tapering distally, smooth, posteroventral corner rounded.

**Pleon.** *Pleonites 1–3* dorsally smooth. *Epimeron 1–2* subquadrate. *Epimeron 3* broadly rounded. *Urosomites 1–3* dorsally smooth. *Uropod 1* without long fine setae; peduncle with 7 dorsolateral and 2 apicomedial robust setae; outer ramus subequal to inner ramus length; outer ramus with 4 lateral robust seta; inner ramus with 2 robust setae. *Uropod 2* without fine setae; peduncle with 1 dorsolateral and 2 apicomedial robust setae; rami subequal in length, outer ramus with 4 dorsal robust setae; inner ramus with 1 robust setae, without constriction. *Uropod 3* peduncle long, length  $2 \times$  width, with 3 robust setae, without dorsolateral flange; rami lanceolate, inner ramus reduced,  $0.7 \times$  outer ramus; outer ramus 1-articulate; outer ramus with 1 dorsolateral robust seta, inner rami without robust setae. **Telson** length  $1.4 \times$  width, **cleft (40%), lobes divergent**, with 2 apical robust setae, 2 short dorsal slender setae, and 2 marginal penicillate setae.

**Remarks.** *Socarnella delectabilis* **sp. nov.** has a 4-articulate accessory flagellum on antenna 1 and a 40% cleft in the telson while *S. bonnieri* and *S. cavipalmata* both have a 5-articulate accessory flagellum and a telson which is apically notched to only 10%. *Socarnella delectabilis* is most similar to *S. cavipalmata* with both species having subacute gnathopod 2 propodus with an excavate palm while in *S. bonnieri* the palm is parachelate and entire. The palm margin is 50% of the propodus posterior margin in *S. delectabilis* with the propodus 1.8 times as long as broad, while in *S. cavipalmata* the palm is only 33% with a much longer propodus, being 2.5 times as long as broad.

**Distribution.** Western Australia: North West Shelf. Northern Territory: Port Essington, Lee Point and McCluer Island (current study).

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to Helen Stoddart for dissection and initial identification of fauna to genus. This study was funded by an ABRS grant (RF212-13).

## References

- Barnard, J.L. (1958) Index to the families, genera, and species of the gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea). *Allan Hancock Foundation Publications, Occasional Paper*, 19, 1–145.
- Barnard, J.L. & Karaman, G.S. (1991) The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda (except marine gammaroids). *Records of the Australian Museum*, 13 (Supplement 1&2), 1–866.
- Dana, J.D. (1849) Synopsis of the genera of Gammaracea. *American Journal of Science and Arts, Series 2*, 8, 135–140.
- Lowry, J.K. & Stoddart, H.E. (1995) The Amphipoda (Crustacea) of Madang Lagoon: Lysianassidae, Opisidae, Uristidae, Wandinidae and Stegocephalidae. *Records of the Australian Museum*, 22 (Supplement), 97–174.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0812-7387.22.1995.122>
- Lowry, J.K. & Stoddart, H.E. (2009) Lysianassidae. In: Lowry, J.K. & Myers, A.A. (Eds.), Benthic Amphipoda (Crustacea: Peracarida) of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Zootaxa*, 2260, 561–597.
- Nayar, K.N. (1966) On the gammaridean Amphipoda of the Gulf of Mannar, with special reference to those of the pearl and chank beds. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Crustacea held at Ernakulam from January 12 to 15, 1965, Marine*

- Biological Association of India*, 1, 133–168.
- Rao, K.V.S. (1972) Intertidal amphipods from the Indian Coast. *Proceedings of the Indian National Academy*, 38 (Part B, 3/4), 190–205, 2 figs.
- Ren, X. (2012) Crustacea Amphipoda Gammaridea (II). *Fauna Sinica, Invertebrata*, 43, i–xii, 1–636.
- Sivaprakasam, T.E. (1968) Amphipoda from the east coast of India. Part 1. Gammaridea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India*, 8 (1), 82–90.
- Stebbing, T.R.R. (1906) Amphipoda. I. Gammaridea. *Das Tierreich*, 21, 1–806.
- Thurston, M.H. & Allen, E. (1969) Type material of the families Lysianassidae, Stegocephalidae, Ampeliscidae and Haustoriidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the collections of the British Museum (Natural History). *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)*, Series Zoology, 17, 347–388.
- Walker, A.O. (1904) Report on the Amphipoda collected by Professor Herdman, at Ceylon, in 1902. *Ceylon Pearl Oyster Fisheries -1904- Supplementary Reports*, 17, 229–300, pls. 1–8.
- Watling, L. (1989) A classification system for crustacean setae based on the homology concept. *Crustacean Issues*, 6, 15–27.