



<http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3861.4.2>

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:90D58311-C740-4D8B-9F36-98DDF72221E4>

Morphological and molecular evidence for the occurrence of three *Hippocampus* species (Teleostei: Syngnathidae) in Brazil

ROSANA BEATRIZ SILVEIRA^{1,4}, RAQUEL SICCHA-RAMIREZ²,
JOSÉ RODRIGO SANTOS SILVA³ & CLAUDIO OLIVEIRA²

¹Laboratório de Aquicultura Marinha—LABAQUAC/Projeto *Hippocampus*. Rua da Esperança, s.n., Porto de Galinhas, Ipojuca, Pernambuco, Brazil, 55590-000

²Departamento de Morfologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 18618-970, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil. Phone: 55-14-3880-0464. E-mail: rsicchar@gmail.com, claudio@ibb.unesp.br

³Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco—UFRPE, Unidade Acadêmica de Serra Talhada—UAST. Fazenda Saco, s/n, Caixa Postal 063, Serra Talhada, Pernambuco, Brazil. E-mail: rodrigo.ufs@gmail.com

⁴Corresponding author. E-mail: labaquac@yahoo.com

Abstract

For many decades only two species of seahorses were recognized from Brazil: *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg, 1933, the long snout seahorse, and *H. erectus* Perry, 1810, the lined seahorse. The presence of a possible third species, recognized in 2002, brought about the need for a broad revision of the genus in Brazilian waters. A total of 335 specimens of seahorses, obtained from Brazilian and other collections, representing the three putative species from Brazil were analyzed: *H. reidi*, the species of greatest abundance and occurs in estuaries and the sea; *H. erectus*, which occurs only in the sea, and *Hippocampus patagonicus* was also determined to be present based on multiple specimens. Our morphometric / numerical and molecular analysis showed that the species currently identified as *H. erectus* in Brazil is actually *H. patagonicus* Piacentino & Luzzatto, 2004. The existence of a possible third species, was instead based on the true *H. erectus*, as confirmed in the present study by the study of classical systematic and mitochondrial analysis. Thus, we recognize three species of seahorses in Brazil: *H. erectus*, *H. reidi* and *H. patagonicus*.

Key words: Brazilian seahorses, morphometric analysis, COI gene, *Hippocampus erectus* complex

Resumo

Por muitas décadas, somente duas espécies de cavalos-marinhos foram reconhecidas no Brasil: *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg, 1933, o cavalo-marinho do focinho longo, e *H. erectus* Perry 1810, o cavalo-marinho raiado. A presença de uma possível terceira espécie, registrada em 2002, trouxe a necessidade de uma ampla revisão do gênero. Um total de 335 espécimes de cavalos-marinhos obtidos de instituições brasileiras e estrangeiras, representando as três possíveis espécies ocorrentes no Brasil foram analisadas: *H. reidi*, espécie de maior abundância com uma distribuição em estuários e oceanos; *H. erectus*, espécie com distribuição restrita ao mar. A presença de *H. patagonicus* foi avaliada pelo exame de vários animais. Nossas análises revelaram que a espécie identificada como *H. erectus*, no Brasil, é na verdade, *Hippocampus patagonicus* Piacentino & Luzzatto, 2004. As recentes aparições de uma terceira espécie representam a presença do verdadeiro *H. erectus*, como demonstrado no presente estudo, através das análises morfométricas e numéricas e análise mitocondrial. Assim, reconhecemos três espécies de cavalos-marinhos no Brasil: *H. erectus*, *H. reidi* e *H. patagonicus*.

Palavras-chave: cavalos-marinhos brasileiros, análise morfométrica, gene COI, complexo *Hippocampus erectus*

Introduction

The genus *Hippocampus* is composed of 55 species (Froese & Pauly, 2013) with a worldwide distribution. Several studies have pointed out that the taxonomy of the group is very problematic, with many species poorly defined

Hippocampus patagonicus from Brazil and from Argentina do not only share similar morphological characteristics, but also genetic sequences as described by Luzzatto *et al.* (2012) in a study that compared sequences of cytochrome *b* (1144 bp) of *H. patagonicus* collected in San Antonio Bay (type locality) and at the Mar del Plata dock (Argentina) to a single specimen collected in Brazil (GenBank: AF192660). This Brazil sample was used by Casey *et al.* (2004) and named *H. erectus*; the two groups are different by only six base pairs, giving a genetic distance of 0.0053. This value is extremely low when compared to the interspecific distances found in our study (Table 3), confirming that the two groups belong to the same species, as suggested by Luzzatto *et al.* (2012), and probably represent interpopulational differences between two geographic populations.

The interspecific distance of *H. hippocampus* and *H. erectus* was similar between our data and those reported by Casey *et al.* (2004), namely 0.032 and 0.038, respectively. Due to the low interspecific genetic distance among *H. erectus*, *H. hippocampus* and *H. patagonicus*, the high bootstrap level (100%) that supported each monophyletic clade, confirmed that *H. patagonicus* belongs to the *H. erectus* complex and that they possibly have a more recent common ancestor (Casey *et al.*, 2004; Boehm *et al.*, 2013). However, our data could not separate our samples of *H. reidi* from *Hippocampus algiricus* and *Hippocampus capensis* (Figure 4). The distance between *H. reidi* and *H. algiricus* was only $d = 0.016 \pm 0.003$ and that between *H. reidi* and *H. capensis* was $d = 0.018 \pm 0.004$. Casey *et al.* (2004) in a study employing cytochrome *b* found similar distance values between *H. reidi* and *H. algiricus* and a value two times higher between *H. reidi* and *H. capensis*. On the other hand, comparisons between other species pairs were about ten times higher (Table 3) in our study.

Most intraspecific pairwise distances reached a maximum of 0.018, in agreement with intraspecific values observed in other fish species (Waters & Burrige, 1999). In the BOLD database sequences are clustered using an algorithm called Barcode Index Number (BIN) that provide operational taxonomic units that closely correspond to species (Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2013). An analysis of BIN numbers in BOLD reveals that all species have just one BIN number, with the exception of *H. reidi* which has two. Several studies have shown that most fish species can be separated from closely related species by a genetic distance of about $d = 0.02$, although there are several exceptions (Hubert *et al.*, 2008; Ward, 2009; Ward *et al.*, 2009; April *et al.*, 2011; Mabrugaña *et al.*, 2011; Pereira *et al.*, 2013). Almost all species analyzed herein showed genetic distance values higher than $d = 0.02$; but for three species pairs (involving *H. reidi*, *H. capensis* and *H. algiricus*) the values were lower than this. Some possible explanations for this low genetic divergence are: (1) the recent speciation of some species groups (Ward *et al.*, 2009), (2) a possible variation in the COI mutation rate between different taxa (Krieger & Fuerst, 2002; Frézal & Leblois, 2008); and (3) possibly underlying problems.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Trustees and/or researchers in charge of the collections examined: Marco Aurélio Azevedo-FZBRS, Roberto Esser dos Reis-PUCRS, Marlise Benvenuti—FURG, José Lima de Figueiredo—MZUSP; Paulo Buckup—MNRJ, Wolmar Benjamin Wosiacki—MPEG, Angela Zanata—UFBA, Jorge Eduardo Lins de Oliveira—UFRN, Karsten E. Hartel and Andrew Williston—MCZ, Sara Lourie—RMMU, Sandra J. Raredon—USNM, Kevin Conway and Heather L. Prestridge—TCWC, and Patrick Campbell and James Maclaine—NHM. We are also grateful to Suzana Ramineli for collecting the sample of *H. reidi* from Parati, Lara Vidon, Brenda Tusi Barcelos, Rodrigo Machado and João Otávio Silveira for sample collection of *H. patagonicus* from Angra dos Reis and Rio Grande do Sul, respectively. Tanks José Lima de Figueiredo, Diego Luzzatto and Ernesto Ron for a critical reading of this manuscript and to PETROBRAS, CAPES, CNPq and FAPESP for financial support. A. Leyva helped with English translation and editing in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- April, J., Mayden, R.L., Hanner, R. & Bernatchez, L. (2011) Genetic calibration of species diversity among North America's freshwater fishes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108 (26), 10602–10607.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016437108>
- Boehm, J.T., Woodall, L., Teske, P.R., Louries, S.A., Baldwin, C., Waldman, J. & Hickerson, M. (2013) Marine dispersal and barriers drive Atlantic seahorse diversification. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40 (10), 1839–1849.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12127>

- Casey, S.P., Hall, H.J., Stanley, H.F. & Vincent, A.C.J. (2004) The origin and evolution of seahorses (genus *Hippocampus*): a phylogenetic study using the cytochrome b gene of mitochondrial DNA. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 30, 261–272.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2003.08.018>
- Dias, T., Rosa, I. & Baum, J. (2002) Threatened fishes of the world: *Hippocampus erectus* Perry, 1810 (Syngnathidae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 65, 326.
- Figueiredo, J.L. & Menezes, N.A. (1980) *Manual de peixes marinhos do sudeste do Brasil. III. Teleostei (2)*. Museu de Zoologia da Universidade São Paulo, São Paulo, 90 pp.
- Frézal, L.E. & Leblois, R. (2008) Four years of DNA barcoding: current advances and prospects. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 8, 727–736.
- Froese, R. & Pauly, D. (2013) FishBase. Available from: <http://www.fishbase.org> (accessed 1 October 2013)
- Ginsburg, I. (1933) Description of five new species of seahorse. *Journal of the Washington Academy of Science*, 23 (12), 560–563.
- Ginsburg, I. (1937) Review of seahorses (*Hippocampus*) found on the coasts of the American continents and Europe. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum*, 83 (2997), 497–594.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00963801.83-2997.497>
- González, R., Dinghi, P., Corius, C., Medina, A., Maggioni, M., Storero, L. & Gosztonyi, A. (2014) Genetic evidence and new morphometric data as essential tools to identify the Patagonian seahorse *Hippocampus patagonicus* (Pisces; Syngnathidae). *Journal of Fish Biology*, 84, 459–474.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12299>
- Günther, A.C.L.G. (1880) Report on the scientific results of the voyage of H. M. S. Challenger during the years 1873–76. Report on the shore fishes procured during the voyage of H. M. S. Challenger in the years 1873–1876. *Zoology*, 1 (6), 1–82, 1–32. [reprinted: electronic edition by David C. Brossard from original documents in the library holdings of Dartmouth College, Hanover New Hampshire. 2004]
- Hajibabaei, M., deWaard, J.R., Ivanova, N.V., Ratnasingham, S., Dooh, R.T., Kirk, S.L., Mackie, P.M. & Hebert, P.D. (2005) Critical factors for assembling a high volume of DNA barcodes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 360, 1959–1967.
- Hall, T.A. (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*, 41, 95–98.
- Hercos, A.T. & Giarrizzo, P. (2007) Pisces, Syngnathidae, *Hippocampus reidi*; Filling distribution gaps. *Check List*, 3, 287–290.
- Hubert, N., Hanner, R., Holm, E., Mandrak, N.E., Taylor, E., Burrige, M., Watkinson, D., Dumont, P., Curry, A., Bentzen, P., Zhang, J., April, J. & Bernatchez, L. (2008) Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. *PLoSOne*, 3, e2490.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002490>
- Ivanova, N.V., Dewaard, J.R. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2006) An inexpensive, automation-friendly protocol for recovering high-quality DNA. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6, 998–1002.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01428.x>
- Kimura, M. (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 16, 111–120.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01731581>
- Krieger, J. & Fuerst, P.A. (2002) Evidence for a slowed rate of molecular evolution in the order Acipenseriformes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 19, 891–897.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004146>
- Lourie, S.A., Foster, S., Cooper, E. & Vincent, A. (2004) *A guide to the identification of seahorses. Project seahorses & Traffic North America*. University of British Columbia and World Wildlife Fund, Washington, D.C., 120 pp.
- Lourie, S.A., Vincent A.C. & Hall, H.J. (1999) *Seahorses: An identification guide to the world's species and their conservation*. Project Seahorse, London, 214 pp.
- Luzzatto, D.C., Sieira, R., Pujol, M.G. & Diaz de Astarloa, J.M. (2012) The presence of the seahorse *Hippocampus patagonicus* in the Argentine Sea based on the cytochrome b sequence of mitochondrial DNA. *Cybium*, 36, 329–333.
- Mabragaña, E., Astarloa, J.M.D., Hanner, R., Zhang, J. & Castro, M.G. (2011) DNA Barcoding Identifies Argentine Fishes from Marine and Brackish Waters. *PLoSOne*, 6, e28655.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028655>
- Pereira, L.H.G., Hanner, R., Foresti, F. & Oliveira, C. (2013) Can DNA barcoding accurately discriminate megadiverse Neotropical freshwater fish fauna? *BMC Genetics*, 14, 14–20.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-14-20>
- Perry, G. (1810) Genus *Syngnathus*; or, *Hippocampus*. Species *erectus*. *Arcana*, May, 328–330.
- Piacentino, G.L.M. & Luzzatto, D.C. (2004) *Hippocampus patagonicus* sp. nov., nuevo caballito de mar para la Argentina (Pisces, Syngnathiformes). *Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales*, 6, 339–349.
- Ratnasingham, S. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2013) A DNA-based registry for all animal species: the barcode index number (BIN) system. *PLoS ONE*, 8 (8), e66213.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066213>
- Rosa, I., Dias, T. & Baum, J. (2002) Threatened fishes of the world: *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg, 1933 (Syngnathidae).

Environmental Biology of Fishes, 64, 378.

- Silveira, R.B. (2011) Registro de cavalos-marinhos (Syngnathidae: *Hippocampus*) ao longo da costa brasileira. *Oecologia Australis*, 15 (2), 232–241.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4257/oeco.2011.1502.09>
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 28, 2731–2739.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121>
- Vari, R.P. (1982) The seahorses (Subfamily Hippocampinae). In: Fishes of the Western North Atlantic. Memoirs of the Seas Foundation for Marine Research. *Memoir*, 1 (8), 173–189.
- Ward, R.D., Zemlak, T.S., Innes, B.H., Last, P.R. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2005) DNA barcoding Australia's fish species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 360, 1847–1857.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1716>
- Ward, R.D., Hanner, R. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2009) The campaign to DNA barcode all fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 74, 329–356.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2008.02080.x>
- Ward, R.D. (2009) DNA barcode divergence among species and genera of birds and fishes. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 9, 1077–1085.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02541.x>
- Waters, J.M. & BurrIDGE, C.P. (1999) Extreme intraspecific mitochondrial DNA sequence divergence in *Galaxias maculatus* (Osteichthyes: Galaxiidae), one of the world's most widespread freshwater fish. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 11, 1–12.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1998.0554>
- Woodall, L.C., Koldewey, H.J., Santos, S.V. & Shaw, P.W. (2009) First occurrence of the lined seahorse *Hippocampus erectus* in the eastern Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 75, 1505–1512.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02371.x>

APPENDIX 1. Material examined.

Hippocampus erectus: 85 specimens, 59–120 mm Ht. USA, Florida: MCZ 11684; MCZ 51551; RMMU 2337c; RMMU 2337d RMMU 2337a; RMMU 2337b; RMMU 2337e; USNM 233097; USNM 383982; TCWC 7293; TCWC 7294(2); TCWC 7294(2); TCWC 7295, TCWC 7311; TCWC 7312; TCWC 7315; TCWC 7331(2); TCWC 7338; TCWC 7978; TCWC 10649; USNM 84598; USNM 86117; USNM 89786; USNM 107378; USNM 112511; USNM 124849; USNM 155441; USNM 161345 (2); MCZ 51529. CARIBBEAN: TCWC 6675. CUBA: MCZ 11663; MCZ 11664. PORTO RICO: MCZ 51547. BRAZIL, Pará: MZUSP 3213 (2). Rio Grande do Norte: LAPIBE/UFRN S/N. Pernambuco: MCZ 158653; MZUSP 10650; PH 059e; PH 036e; PH 045e; PH 064e; PH 033e; PH 058e; HP 069e; PH 028e; PH 078e; PH 122e; PH 079e; PH 080e; PH 081e; PH 256e; PH 001e; PH 082e; PH 083e; PH 084e; PH 085e; PH 060e; PH 071e; PH 035e; PH 041e; PH 047e; PH 043e; PH 042e; PH 072e; PH 054e; PH 046e; PH 040e; PH 077e; PH 037e; PH 013e; PH 030e; PH 011e; PH 034e; PH 067e, PH 017e; PH 086e; PH 020e; PH 065e; PH 015e; PH 007e; PH 002e; PH 026e; PH 029e; PH 018e; PH 044e; PH 005e; PH 008e; PH 006e; PH 021e; PH 019e; PH 049e; PH 009e; PH 012e; PH 031e; PH 014e; PH 038e; PH 010e; PH 023e; PH 022e; PH 003e; PH 025e; PH 051e; PH 057e. BAHIA: UFBA 1401; MNRJ 22159; Rio de Janeiro: MCZ 11667; MCZ 11668; PH 424e. São Paulo: MZUSP 2791; MZUSP 3215; MZUSP 87221; MZUSP 87228. Santa Catarina: MCZ 11674 (2). Rio Grande do Sul: PH 466e. ARGENTINA: MCZ 11669.

Hippocampus reidi: 104 specimens, 68 a 188 mm Ht. BRAZIL, Amazonas: MCZ 168387; MCZ 59348; MCZ 59349; MCZ 59350; MZUSP 1174; MZUSP 847 (2). Pará: MPEG 11244; MPEG 3846. Ceará: PH 718r. Rio Grande do Norte: LAPIBE/UFRN S/N (3); UNESP 26013; UNESP 26014; UNESP 26015; UNESP 26016; UNESP 26017; PH 760r; PH 761r; PH 762r; PH 763r; PH 764r; PH 765r; PH 766r; PH 767r; PH 768r; PH 769r; PH, 770r; PH 771r; PH 772r; PH 773r. Pernambuco: MCZ 158435; MZUSP 10651; PH 018r; PH 019r; PH 020r; PH 113r; PH 114r; PH 115r; PH 116r; PH 117r; PH 123r; PH 124; PH 125r; PH 126r; PH 127r; PH 128r; PH 129r; PH 130r; PH 131r; PH 132r; PH 133r; PH 134r; PH 135r; PH 136r; PH 145r; PH 172r; PH 173r; PH 174r; PH 175r; PH 176r; PH 177r; PH 178r; PH 179r; PH 180r; PH 181r; PH 182r. Alagoas: PH 021r; PH 022r; PH 023r; PH 024r; PH 025r; PH 026r; PH 027r; PH 028; PH 029; PH 050r; PH 051r; PH 052r; PH 053r; PH 054r; PH 055r; PH 056r; PH 057r; PH 058r; PH 018r; PH 019r; PH 020r; H 059r; PH 060r; PH 061r; PH 062r; PH 063r; PH 064r; PH 065r; PH 066r; PH 068r; PH 069r; PH 070r; PH 071r; PH 072r; PH 073r; PH 074r; PH 075r; PH 076r; PH 078r; PH 079r; PH 080r; PH 081r; PH 082r; PH 083r; PH 084r; PH 085r; PH 086r; PH 087r; PH 088r; PH 089r; PH 090r; PH 092r; PH 094r; PH 095r; PH 096r; PH 097r; PH 098r; PH 099r; PH 100r; PH 101r; PH 102r; PH 103r; PH 104r; PH 105r; PH 106r; PH 107r; PH 108r; PH 109r; PH 110r; PH 111r; PH 112r. Bahia: UFBA 1401; MCPUCRS 4870 (2); MCZ 11686. Espírito Santo: MNRJ 6844. Rio de Janeiro: MCZ 4721; MCZ 59348; MNRJ 1547; MCZ 11665; MZUSP 850; MZUSP 851 (2). São Paulo: MCPUCRS 7661; MCPUCRS 7662; MZUSP 784; MZUSP 852. Paraná: PH 50r; PH 51r. Santa Catarina: MCPUCRS 1181; MCPUCRS 2869; MCPUCRS 7328; MCPUCRS 7687; PH 105r. Rio Grande do Sul: MCPUCRS 4348.