

ZOOTAXA

3291

Comparison of the morphology of the adult males of the rhizoecine, phenacoccine and pseudococcine mealybugs (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea), with the recognition of the family Rhizoecidae Williams

CHRIS HODGSON

Department of Biodiversity and Systematic Biology, The National Museum of Wales, Cardiff, CF10 3NP, Wales.
Email: hodgsoncj@cardiff.ac.uk.



Magnolia Press
Auckland, New Zealand

CHRIS HODGSON

Comparison of the morphology of the adult males of the rhizoecine, phenacoccine and pseudococcine mealybugs (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea), with the recognition of the family Rhizoecidae Williams

(*Zootaxa* 3291)

79 pp.; 30 cm.

30 Apr. 2012

ISBN 978-1-86977-907-8 (paperback)

ISBN 978-1-86977-908-5 (Online edition)

FIRST PUBLISHED IN 2012 BY

Magnolia Press

P.O. Box 41-383

Auckland 1346

New Zealand

e-mail: zootaxa@mapress.com

<http://www.mapress.com/zootaxa/>

© 2012 Magnolia Press

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted or disseminated, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from the publisher, to whom all requests to reproduce copyright material should be directed in writing.

This authorization does not extend to any other kind of copying, by any means, in any form, and for any purpose other than private research use.

ISSN 1175-5326 (Print edition)

ISSN 1175-5334 (Online edition)

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Abstract | 4 |
| Introduction | 4 |
| Present classification of the Pseudococcidae | 4 |
| History of the classification within Rhizoecinae | 5 |
| Present classification within Rhizoecinae | 6 |
| Aims | 7 |
| Materials and methods | 7 |
| Key to separate known adult males of Pseudococcidae and Rhizoecidae | 7 |
| Macropterous and brachypterous species | 7 |
| Apterous species | 8 |
| Family Rhizoecidae Williams | 8 |
| Subfamily Rhizoecinae Williams | 9 |
| Introduction | 9 |
| Subfamily diagnosis based on adult male morphology | 9 |
| <i>Capitisetella</i> Hambleton | 11 |
| <i>Capitisetella migrans</i> (Green) | 11 |
| <i>Kissrhizoecus</i> Kozár & Konczné Benedicty | 13 |
| <i>Kissrhizoecus hungaricus</i> Kozár & Konczné Benedicty | 13 |
| <i>Pseudorhizoecus</i> Green | 16 |
| <i>Pseudorhizoecus proximus</i> Green | 16 |
| <i>Rhizoecus</i> Künckel d'Herculais (1878) | 18 |
| <i>Rhizoecus caticans</i> (Hambleton) | 18 |
| <i>R. coffeeae</i> Laing | 21 |
| <i>R. dianthae</i> Green | 24 |
| <i>R. falcifer</i> Künckel d'Herculais | 26 |
| <i>R. kazachstanus</i> Matesova | 29 |
| <i>Ripersiella</i> Tinsley | 32 |
| <i>Ripersiella cryphia</i> (Williams) | 32 |
| <i>R. hibisci</i> (Kawai & Takagi) | 34 |
| <i>R. kondonis</i> (Kuwana) | 37 |
| <i>R. malschae</i> (Williams) | 39 |
| <i>R. puhiensis</i> (Hambleton) | 42 |
| <i>Ripersiella</i> sp. A | 44 |
| <i>Ripersiella</i> sp. B | 46 |
| Key to the adult male Rhizoecinae seen during this study | 49 |
| Comment on present generic classification of Rhizoecinae | 50 |
| Subfamily Xenococcinae | 51 |
| Introduction | 51 |
| Subfamily diagnosis based on adult male morphology | 51 |
| Key to genera in Xenococcinae based on adult male morphology | 52 |
| <i>Eumyrmococcus</i> Silvestri | 52 |
| Key to known adult males of <i>Eumyrmococcus</i> species | 52 |
| <i>Neochavesia</i> Williams & Granara de Willink | 58 |
| <i>N. nr. trinidadensis</i> Beardsley | 58 |
| Key to the known adult males of <i>Neochavesia</i> species | 62 |
| <i>Xenococcus</i> Silvestri | 60 |
| Family Pseudococcidae Westwood | 62 |
| Subfamily Phenacoccinae Šulc | 62 |
| Subfamily Pseudococcinae Westwood | 64 |
| Tribe Trabutinini Silvestri | 64 |
| <i>Paraccoccus</i> Ezzat & McConnell | 64 |
| <i>P. glaucus</i> (Maskell) | 64 |
| Tribe uncertain | 67 |
| <i>Maconellicoccus hirsutus</i> (Green) | 67 |
| <i>Asaphococcus agninus</i> Cox | 69 |
| Tribe Allomyrmococcini Williams | 72 |
| Introduction | 72 |
| <i>Promyrmococcus dilli</i> Williams | 72 |
| Discussion | 75 |
| Key for the separation of Pseudococcidae and Rhizoecidae based on adult female morphology | 75 |
| Conclusions | 76 |
| Acknowledgements | 76 |
| References | 76 |

Abstract

In the past, the morphology of adult males of Coccoidea has provided strong support for diagnosing the higher taxon status of scale insects (Coccoidea). In particular, studies on adult male morphology have produced some of the strongest evidence for considering the Putoidae and Eriococcidae (as then defined) as separate families from the Pseudococcidae. This paper uses adult male morphology to assess the relationships of the Pseudococcidae and the hypogaeic and myrmecophilous mealybugs. The latter most often are classified as a subfamily (Rhizoecinae) of the Pseudococcidae. In order to diagnose the latter taxa, the adult males of fifteen named species of hypogaeic rhizoecine mealybugs (*Kissrizzoecus hungaricus* Kozár & Konczné Benedicty, *Rhizoecus cacticans* (Hambleton), *Rh. coffeae* Laing, *Rh. dianthi* Green, *Rh. falcifer* Künckel d'Herculais, *Rh. kazachstanus* Matesova, *Ripersiella cryphia* (Williams), *Ri. hibisci* (Kawai & Takagi), *Ri. kondonis* (Kuwana), *Ri. malschae* (Williams), *Ri. puhiensis* (Hambleton), *Capitisetella migrans* (Green) and *Pseudorhizoecus proximus* Green) plus two unidentified *Ripersiella* species are described. In addition, the adult males of a *Xenococcus* sp., three *Eumyrmococcus* spp. and two *Neochavesia* spp. are illustrated from previously published papers and the adult male of another *Neochavesia* sp. is described and illustrated. In order to compare the diagnoses of the above taxa with that of adult males of Pseudococcidae (minus the Rhizoecinae), the adult males of two apterous pseudococcid mealybugs are described or redescribed: *Asaphococcus agninus* Cox and the myrmecophilous *Promyrmococcus dilli* Williams, both belonging to the Pseudococcinae. In addition, three macropterous Pseudococcidae, namely *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Phenacoccinae), *Planococcus glaucus* (Maskell) and *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green) (Pseudococcinae) are also described and/or illustrated. Prior to this study, the hypogaeic and myrmecophilous mealybugs generally were included in the subfamily Rhizoecinae of the Pseudococcidae, with the hypogaeic mealybugs in tribe Rhizoecini and the myrmecophilous mealybugs in Xenococcini. Based on the present study and on phylogenetic data, it is concluded that the rhizoecine mealybugs form a separate family from the Pseudococcidae — Rhizoecidae Williams. This family is considered here to include two subfamilies, Rhizoecinae Williams and Xenococcinae Tang. Based on adult male characters, there is little support for the present generic divisions of the Rhizoecinae. Keys are given for separating the adult males of Rhizoecidae from those of Pseudococcidae, and for separating the known adult males within each subfamily.

Introduction

Present classification of the Pseudococcidae

The mealybugs or Pseudococcidae are the second largest family within the scale insects (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea), with approximately 2000 species (Ben-Dov, 2011). Mealybugs are small and soft bodied, and most species are covered in powdery or mealy wax, thus their common name. The pseudococcids belong to an informal group, referred to as the neococcoids, which contains the "more derived" scale insects, and the mealybugs are generally considered to be sister to most other neococcoids (Gullan & Cook, 2007). The current taxonomy and classification of the pseudococcids is based on the morphology of the adult female, although a few studies have included adult males. Thus, as part of a program to describe the adult males of scale insect families, Afifi (1968) described the adult males of 17 species of mealybugs and seven species of eriococcids (but did not include any hypogaeic or myrmecophilous species). At the time, the status of these two families was still somewhat controversial but it was clear that, on the basis of Afifi's study of adult male morphology, these two groups should be given family status. Since then, phylogenetic studies have supported this view and these two families are now immediately recognisable, although the eriococcids as currently defined are not monophyletic (Cox & Williams, 1987; Cook *et al.* 2002; Cook & Gullan, 2004). The higher classification of the eriococcids requires major revision (Cook & Gullan, 2004) and that of the pseudococcids has not been definitely established (Downie & Gullan, 2004; Hardy *et al.*, 2008). Until recently, the genus *Puto* Signoret also was included within the pseudococcids but there is now general agreement that this genus should be placed in its own family, Putoidae Beardsley (Williams *et al.*, 2011). The adult males of Putoidae are strikingly different from those of the Pseudococcidae. The remaining mealybug species have been variously placed in about five subfamilies: Pseudococcinae, Phenacoccinae, Trabutininae, Rhizoecinae and Sphaerococcinae, although, as stressed by Downie & Gullan (2004), these subfamily names are not in general use and different authors have recognised different subfamilies. Indeed, Downie and Gullan (2004) only recognised three subfamilies (Pseudococcinae, Phenacoccinae and Rhizoecinae), and Hardy *et al.* (2008) rec-