In the call description of *Rhinella pombali* (page 67, third paragraph), unfortunately there was a typographical error regarding the duration of the call. In the paper is writing:

“The advertisement call of *R. pombali* (Figure 3) consists of a series of multipulsed notes (mean and standard deviation are show in parentheses). The duration of notes ranges from 3.43 to 4.93 seconds (4.28±0.65, n=7), and call interval between 7.79–15.29 seconds (12.82±2.94, n=6). The number of notes ranges from 51 to 76 (66±9.92, n=7), with duration between 0.025–0.039 seconds (0.031±0.003, n=35), and interval between 0.026–0.041 seconds (0.034±0.004, n=28).”

The term "duration of call" was incorrectly replaced in the third line by "duration of notes". The correct sentence is:

“The advertisement call of *R. pombali* (Figure 3) consists of a series of multipulsed notes (mean and standard deviation are show in parentheses). The duration of call ranges from 3.43 to 4.93 seconds (4.28±0.65, n=7), and call interval…".