



Zoological vs. botanical nomenclature: a forgotten 'BioCode' experiment from the times of the Strickland Code*

ALESSANDRO MINELLI

¹Department of Biology, University of Padova, Via Ugo Bassi 58 B, I 35131 Padova, Italy. E-mail: alessandro.minelli@unipd.it

* In: Minelli, A., Bonato, L. & Fusco, G. (eds) Updating the Linnaean Heritage: Names as Tools for Thinking about Animals and Plants. Zootaxa, 1950, 1–163.

Table of contents

Abstract	21
The Strickland Code	
The divorce between zoological and botanical nomenclature	
The BioCode	
A 'Draft BioCode' dated 1842–43	
Divorce, but with advantageous continuing exchange	
Acknowledgements	24
References	24
Appendix I. Excerpts from Strickland et al. (1842): Series of Propositions for Rendering the Nomen	nclature of Zoology
Uniform and Permanent	26
Appendix 2. Excerpts from Anon. (1843) Proceedings of the Fourth Meeting of Italian Scientists has	eld in Padova, Sep-
tember 1842	35
Appendix 3. Excerpts from Anon. (1844) Proceedings of the Fifth Meeting of Italian Scientists held	in Lucca, September
1843	38

Abstract

A code intended to apply to both zoological and botanical nomenclature was drafted in 1842–43 by a commission appointed by the fourth Congress of Italian Scientists on request of the zoologist Carlo Luciano Bonaparte, as a reaction to the recently published Strickland Code (1842). Large excerpts from the latter document and an English translation of documents related to the Italian initiative are presented in appendices.

Key words: Bonaparte (Carlo Luciano), Congresses of Italian scientists, History of nomenclature, Italian zoology

The Strickland Code

Next to Linnaeus' own principia enumerated in his *Philosophia Botanica* (Linnaeus 1751), and more rightly than that work, the earliest document having the nature of a code ruling the scientific nomenclature for living organisms is the so-called Strickland Code (Strickland *et al.* 1842). Some acquaintance with this document is required to get an adequate historical setting for the little known episode in the history of biological nomenclature I present in this article. As Strickland *et al.*'s document is very frequently cited but, arguably, very seldom read, I present ample excerpts from this publication as Appendix 1 below.