



## Genitives of species and subspecies nomina derived from personal names should not be emended

ALAIN DUBOIS

Vertébrés: Reptiles & Amphibiens, USM 602 Taxonomie & Collections, Département Systématique & Evolution, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CP 30, 25 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France. E-mail: adubois@mnhn.fr

### Table of contents

Abstract	49
Introduction	50
Personal names as labels	51
Article 31.1 of the <i>Code</i> : nomina formed from personal names	52
Article 31.1.2 of the <i>Code</i> : unlatinized names	54
Article 31.1.1 of the <i>Code</i> : Latin and latinized names	54
Latin names	56
Latinized names	57
Problems posed by homonymy	58
Species dedicated to several persons	61
The status of unjustified emendations	63
Conclusion: proposals of changes in the <i>Code</i>	63
Acknowledgements	64
References	64
Table	67

### Abstract

Article 31 of the *Code* allows to form a species or subspecies from a personal name, using a nomen in the genitive case. Some zoologists have construed this rule as meaning that such nomina should always end in *-i* if dedicated to a man, in *-ae* if dedicated to a woman, in *-arum* to several women and in *-orum* to several persons including at least one man. They therefore proposed emendations to those nomina which they considered ill-formed under this interpretation. A detailed analysis shows that the latter is wrong, and that the original spelling (including its ending) of any new nomen based on a personal name should not be modified (except possibly in a few very rare cases). New spellings proposed by some authors for the reasons above are unjustified emendations, with their own authors and dates. Some problems related to homonymy in nomina based on personal names are also discussed. In conclusion, I propose a rewording of a few Articles of the *Code* to remedy some of the ambiguities pointed to by this analysis.

**Key words:** *Code*, nomenclature, spelling, personal name, gender, genitive, stem, ending, emendation, homonymy