





http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.181.4.4

On the disintegration of Molluginaceae: a new genus and family (*Kewa*, Kewaceae) segregated from *Hypertelis*, and placement of *Macarthuria* in Macarthuriaceae

MAARTEN J.M. CHRISTENHUSZ¹, SAMUEL F. BROCKINGTON², PASCAL-ANTOINE CHRISTIN³ & ROWAN F. SAGE⁴

¹Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond TW9 3DS, UK.

² Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK.

³Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK.

⁴Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, 25 Willcocks Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S3B2, Canada.

Abstract

Molecular studies have shown that Molluginaceae in the traditional sense is polyphyletic. Several genera have already been separated into various families (e.g. Caryophyllaceae, Limeaceae, Lophiocarpaceae, Microteaceae), but recent studies have shown that *Macarthuria* and *Hypertelis* also make Molluginaceae polyphyletic if they remain to be included in this family. *Hypertelis* is biphyletic, with its type species found to belong to Molluginaceae *sensu stricto*, but the remainder of the genus is to be placed elsewhere. Therefore a new genus, *Kewa*, is proposed for the rest of *Hypertelis*, and two new family names are coined: Kewaceae and Macarthuriaceae, which are here morphologically characterized.

Key words: Australia, Madagascar, new family, new genus, Saint Helena, South Africa

Introduction

Molluginaceae were originally segregated from Aizoaceae based on anthocyanin presence and several morphological characters that are now known to be plesiomorphic in Caryophyllales (including the old Centrospermae). However, no clear synapomorphies are known for Molluginaceae (Endress & Bittrich 1993) and it is thus unsurprising that the family has been found to be polyphyletic in phylogenetic studies (Cuenoud *et al.* 2002, APG III 2009). Several genera previously placed in Molluginaceae have since been removed to other families, for instance *Corbichonia* Scopoli (1777: 264, now Lophiocarpaceae), *Limeum* Linnaeus (1759: 994, now Limeaceae), and *Corrigiola* Linnaeus (1753: 271) with *Telephium* Linnaeus (1753: 271, now Caryophyllaceae), but not all genera had been sequenced by the time of APG III (2009). Some unsampled genera have recently been included in studies on classification of Caryophyllales (Schäferhoff *et al.* 2009), the evolution of pigments (Brockington *et al.* 2011) and C_3/C_4 metabolism transitions (Christin *et al.* 2011). In these studies, *Microtea* Swartz (1788: 53) was placed in its own family Microteaceae, and *Hypertelis* Fenzl (1836: 352) and *Macarthuria* Hügel ex Endlicher (1837: 11) were found to belong elsewhere in Caryophyllales (see figure 1). We attempt to further resolve the issue of the remaining polyphyly in Molluginaceae by proposing two new family names.

In APG III (2009), *Macarthuria* was placed with *Limeum* in Limeaceae, based on its morphology, but no material of *Macarthuria* had at that time been included in any molecular study. Molecular evidence has shown that *Macarthuria* is sister to all core Caryophyllales (Brockington *et al.* 2011, Christin *et al.* 2011). Because it would be untenable to unite all these into a single family (which would result in Caryophyllaceae and Cactaceae being in the same family), a new family encompassing just this single genus, Macarthuriaceae, is described below.

Hypertelis, with nine species, has been found to be polyphyletic in a recent study (Christin *et al.* 2011). Its type species, *H. spergulacea* E.Mey. ex Fenzl (1839: 263), which was designated by Phillips (1951: 291), was found to be placed inside Molluginaceae *sensu stricto*, and was nested within *Mollugo* Linnaeus (1753: 89), from which it was previously segregated on the basis of its fleshy leaves with large stipules adnate to the leaf base and clasping the stem. The relationship was well-supported based on multiple plastid markers and single-copy nuclear genes, and the position was identical in both datasets (Christin *et al.* 2011). *Mollugo* itself is polyphyletic within Molluginaceae (Arakaki *et al.*,

Name of uncertain position:—*Hypertelis longifolia* Gandoger (1912: 708) was collected from the Cape of South Africa. This taxon may well be the same as *Kewa trachysperma* (over which it would take nomenclatural priority), but the description is not sufficient to place it accurately among the two annual species of *Kewa*. The original description discusses petals and sepals, which cannot be distinguished in this genus (although there are petaloid sepals), so it may be a different genus altogether. We decided against making a combination for this name which has not been in use but for the type specimen (which we failed to locate).

References

- Adamson, R.S. (1958) The South African species of Aizoaceae, IV. Mollugo, Pharnaceum, Coelanthum and Hypertelis. Journal of South African Botany 24: 11–66.
- Adamson, R.S., Gerstner, J. & Salter, T.M. (1946) Plantae Novae Africanae, series XXV. Journal of South African Botany 12: 35-42.

APG III (2009) An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 161: 105–121.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2009.00996.x

Arakaki, M., Christin, P.A., Nyffeler, R., Lendel, A., Eggli, U., Ogburn, R.M., Spriggs, E., Moore, M.J. & Edwards, E.J. (2011) Contemporaneous and recent radiations of the world's major succulent plant lineages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 108: 8379–8384.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100628108

Baker, J.G. (1884) Contributions to the flora of Madagascar-part I. Polypetalae. Journal of the Linnean Society, Botany 20: 87-159.

- Brockington, S.F., Walker, R.H., Glover, B., Soltis, P.S. & Soltis, D.E. (2011) Complex pigment evolution in the Caryophyllales. *New Phytologist* 190: 854–864.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03687.x
- Burchell, W.J. (1822) Travels in the interior of southern Africa vol. 1. Longman, London, 582 pp.
- Christin, P.-A., Sage, T.L., Edwards, E.J., Ogburn, R.M., Khoshravesh, R. & Sage, R.F. (2011) Complex evolutionary transitions and the significance of C₃-C₄ intermediate forms of photosynthesis in Molluginaceae. *Evolution* 65: 643–660. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01168.x
- Cuenoud, P., Savolainen, V., Chatrou, L.W., Powell, M., Grayer, R.J. & Chase, M.W. (2002) Molecular phylogenetics of Caryophyllales based on nuclear 18S rDNA and plastid *rbcL*, *atpB*, and *matK* DNA sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 89: 132–144. http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.89.1.132
- Ecklon, C.F. & Zeyher, C.L. (1836) Enumeratio Plantarum Africae Australis Extratropicae vol. 2. Hamburg, 145-288 pp.
- Endlicher, S.F.L. (1837) Enumeratio plantarum quas in Novae Hollandiae ora austro-occidentali ad fluvium Cygnorum et in sinu Regis Georgii collegit Carolus Liber Baro de Hügel. Beck, Vienna, 83 pp.
- Endress, M.E. & Bittrich, V. (1993) Molluginaceae. In: Kubitzki, K. (Ed.) The families and genera of vascular plants vol. 2. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 419–426.
- Fenzl, E. (1836) Monographie der Mollugineen und Steudelieen zweier Unterabtheilungen der Familie der Portulaceen (nebst einem Zusätze zur Abhandlung über *Acanthophyllum*). *Annalen des Wiener Museums der Naturgeschichte* 1: 337–384.
- Fenzl, E. (1839) Monographie der Mollugineen (zweiter Artikel). Annalen des Wiener Museums der Naturgeschichte 2: 243-307.
- Friedrich, H.C. (1955) Beiträge zur Kenntnis einiger Familien der Centrospermae. *Mitteilungen der Botanischen Staatssammlung München* 2(12): 56–66.
- Gandoger, M. (1912) Manipulus plantarum novarum præcipue Americæ australioris. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 59: 704–810.
- Harvey, W.H. & Sonder, O.W. (1860) Flora Capensis, being a systematic description of the plants of the Cape Colony, Caffraria, & Port Natal vol. 1. Hodges, Smith & Co, Dublin, 546 pp.
- Hooker, J.D. (1868) Pharnaceum acidum Hook. f. Hooker's Icones plantarum; or, figures, with brief descriptive characters and remarks, of new or rare plants, selected from the Kew herbarium 11: 26.
- Linnaeus, C. (1753) Species plantarum vol. 1. Salvius, Stockholm, 560 pp.
- Linnaeus, C. (1759) Systema naturae, ed. 10, vol. 2. Salvius, Stockholm, 825-1384 pp.
- Müller, K. (1908) Beiträge zur Systematik der Aizoaceen. Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie 42(Beiblatt 97, Heft 2–3): 54–94.
- Philips, E.P. (1951) The genera of South African flowering plants, ed. 2. Cape Times, Cape Town, 923 pp.
- Schäferhoff, B., Müller, K. & Borsch, T. (2009) Caryophyllales phylogenetics: disentangling Phytolaccaceae and Molluginaceae and the description of Microteaceae as a new isolated family. *Willdenowia* 39: 209–228.
- Swartz, O. (1788) Nova genera & species plantarum. Sweder, Stockholm, 152 pp.
- Scopoli, J.A. (1777) Introductio ad historiam naturalem. Gerle, Prague, 506 pp.