Studies on the genus *Atriplex* (Amaranthaceae) in Italy. V. *Atriplex tornabenei*
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Abstract

The typification of the name *Atriplex tornabenei* (a nomen novum pro *A. arenaria*) is discussed. An illustration by Cupani is designated as the lectotype, while a specimen from FI is designated as the epitype. Chorological and morphological notes in comparison with the related species *A. rosea* and *A. tatarica* are also provided. A nomenclatural change (*Atriplex tornabenei* subsp. *pedunculata* stat. nov.) is proposed.
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Introduction

*Atriplex* Linnaeus (1753: 1054) is a genus of about 260 species distributed in arid and semiarid regions of Eurasia, America and Australia (Sukhorukov & Danin 2009).

Several names (at species, subspecies, variety and form ranks) were described related to the high phenotypic variability of this critical genus (Al-Turki et al. 2000). As consequence, misapplication of names and nomenclatural disorders exist and need clarification.

In this paper, the identity of the *A. tornabenei* Tineo ex Gussone (1843: 589) is discussed as part of the treatment of the genus *Atriplex* for the new edition of the Italian Flora (editor, Prof. S. Pignatti) and within the initiative “Italian Loci Classici Census” (Domina et al. 2012) launched in 2010 under the auspices of the Italian Botanic Society (e.g. Iamonico 2010, 2012a, 2012b, 2013, Iamonico et al. 2011, Di Pietro et al. 2012, Gallo et al. 2012, Iamonico & Reveal 2012, Amadei et al. 2013).

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out by an extensive analysis of literature (protologues included), personal field investigations and the examination of the specimens kept in the Herbaria APP, FI, GZU, HFLA, KUFS, LINN, MA, NAP, P, PAL, RO, and W (acronyms according to Thiers 2011). The descriptions are based on personal observations.

Typification of the names

Gussone’s protologue (Gussone 1843: 589) consists of a detailed diagnosis and description with two synonyms cited from Tineo (1827: 276-277) and Cupani (1696: 27), the first one referred to *A. arenaria* Tineo (1827: 276), a later heterotypic synonym of *A. arenaria* Nuttall (1818: 198) and so, an illegitimate name under the art. 53.1 of the ICN (McNeill et al. 2012). Therefore, Gussone (l.c.) proposed a new name pro *A. arenaria*
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Tin., dedicating it to F. Tornabene (1813−1897), author of importan contributors to the Sicilian flora. The habitat and provenance (“In arenosis maritimis; Palermo a Solanto, da Trapani a Catania per la costa meridionale et in saline a Maretimo”) and a reference to iconographies [“Atriplex marina, minor, supina, lanceolato folio incano, semine tricuspidato alato. Cap. Panph. 2. t. 111 – Bon. t. 75” referred to Cupani (1713) and Bonanni (1719), respectively] are also reported. The work by Bonanni (1719) is an incomplete second edition of the Cupani's Panphyton Siculum (A. Managlia, in verbis), and the images published are the same. In every case, this illustration can be considered original material for the name A. tornabenei.

In the Gussone collection at NAP there is only one sheet (not numbered) collected in “Ischia, alla marina di Casamicciola, Aug 1860”). Although the plants beared in this sheet are identifiable as A. tornabenei according to the diagnosis by Gussone (1843), the later date of collection does not allow to consider this material as original and the locality (“Ischia...”) is not cited in the protologue, so the exsiccatum is not useful for typification purposes (art. 9.3 of the ICB).

In the Herbarium Panormitanum (PAL) there is one exsiccatum (not numbered) collected in locality “Solanto” bearing a plant that matches the diagnosis by Gussone (1843).

Finally, in the Herbarium Universitatis Florentiae (FI) there is one sheet (Barcode FI002557, image available from parlatore.msn.unifi.it/types/search.php) that bears a plant collected by V. Tineo in “Catania spiaggi”.

Both the specimens from PAL and FI do not bear the date of collection, so we cannot sure that the plants were collected ante 1843 (year of the original publication). As consequence these exsiccatata cannot be considered original material.

All things stated, the illustration by Cupani (or Bonanni) is the only element available for the lectotypification. Fortunately, it matches the diagnosis and agree with the current application of the name A. tornabenei (e.g. Pignatti 1982, Castroviejo 1990, Akeroyd 1993). So, it is designated as the lectotype (Fig. 1). However, according to Jarvis (2007: 21-22), the exsiccatata have potential ability to provide large number of additional characters (micromorphological, chemical, molecular, etc.) that cannot be matched by images, so we also prefer to designate an epitype, the specimen at FI that appears better preserved than the exsiccatum at PAL.

Taxonomic relationships

On the basis of the original materials and the protologue, A. tornabenei can be included in the sect. Obionopsis Lange (1856–1959: 635) (= sect. Sclerocalymma Ascherson 1864: 578), the taxa of which are characterized by the presence of hardened fruiting bracts connate at the half of their length (e.g. Aellen 1961, Pastor & Juan 1990; Sukhorukov 2006). However, after Kadereit et al. (2010), it is united under C4-group distributed worldwide, and the sectional division should be clarified. Although A. tornabenei is currently accepted as a distinct species (see e.g. Uotila 2011), the usage of the name changed over time, showing disagreement among the authors. Just four years later the publication by Gussone (1843), De Candolle (1849: 104-105) included A. tornabenei in the list of “Species non satis notæ” and provided a short description plus the locality “in Sicilia” and doubtfully proposed the varietal rank under A. laciniata (“An A. laciniatæ Linn. varietas?”). Bertoloni (1854: 414) synonymized A. tornabenei with A. laciniata Linnaeus (1753: 1053). Caroli (1893) listed only the Chenopodiaceae genera agreeing with Bertoloni (1854). Arcangeli (1882, 1894) accepted it as a distinct species, recording it in “Sicilia e ad Ischia” and “Nell’Italia mer., nelle isole maggiori e ad Ischia” (“In southern Italy, in the greatest islands and in Ischia island”), respectively, so extending its distribution area. Fiori & Paoletti (1986–1898) indicated A. tornabenei as variety of A. laciniatum (“A. laciniatum L. β-tornabenei (Tin.)”) in the same locality cited by Arcangeli (1894). Fiori (1923) agrees with Fiori & Paoletti (1898). Pignatti (1982: 168) indicates A. tornabenei in note under A. rosea Linnaeus (1763: 1493). Castroviejo (1987, 1990) accepted it as separate species. Akeroyd (1993) included A. tornabenei in A. tatarica Linnaeus (1753: 1053).
FIGURE 1. Lectotype of the name *Atriplex tornabenei* (*Atriplex marina minor supina lanceolato foliola incano semine tricuspidae alata*, T. III from Cupani 1713).
*Atriplex tornabenei* appears to be related to *A. rosea* (neotype designated by McNeill et al. 1983: 553) on a Haller’s specimens kept in P) and *A. tatarica* (lectotype designated by Hedge 1997: 75). On the basis of the analysis of the protologue and the comparison among the types and the herbarium materials, the three taxa can be distinguished by the inflorescence and the fruiting bracts-like cover (Table 1, Fig. 2).

**TABLE 1.** Morphological diagnostic features in *Atriplex tornabenei*, *A. rosea* and *A. tatarica*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Atriplex tornabenei</em></th>
<th><em>Atriplex rosea</em></th>
<th><em>Atriplex tatarica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>Flowers arranged in axillary glomerules and in spike-like inflorescences (at the end of the branches). Lateral spikes leafy, the terminal leafless.</td>
<td>Flowers arranged in axillary glomerules and in spike-like inflorescences (at the end of the branches). All spike leafy.</td>
<td>Flowers arranged spike-like or panicle-like inflorescences usually leafless.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruiting bract-like cover slightly longer than wider.</td>
<td>Fruiting bract-like cover wider than longer (sometimes about longer than wider).</td>
<td>Fruiting bract-like cover longer than wider.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruiting bract-like cover with smooth dorsal surface or with 1–2 appendixes and cup-shaped base.</td>
<td>Fruiting bract-like cover with tuberculate dorsal surface (appendixes always more than 2) and cuneate base.</td>
<td>Fruiting bract-like cover with smooth or ± tuberculate dorsal surface and usually cuneate base.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2.** Fruiting bracts in *Atriplex tornabenei* (A), *A. rosea* (B) and *A. tatarica* (C) (images of *A. tornabenei* and *A. rosea* from Castroviejo 1990, that of *A. tatarica* from Zhu et al. 2003). Scale bar: 3 mm.


Type (lectotype here designated):—ITALY. [Icon]: *Atriplex marina minor supina lanceolato foliola incano semine tricuspid alata*, T. III in Cupani (1713; Fig. 1 image on the right).

Type (epitype here designated):—ITALY. Sicily: Catania, Spiaggia, *sine die*, V. Tino F1002557 (FI!).

≡ *Atriplex laciniata var. tornabenei* (Tino ex Guss.) Fiori & Paol. (1896–1898: 306)


**Description:**—Annual herb (therophyte), (1–)3–7–10 cm tall. Stem erect, branched with diffuse-ascending branches. Lower leaves opposite, upper leaves alternate, usually subsessile, grey to silvery the abaxial surface, with blade rhomboidal, ovate (0.5–1.5 × 0.7–2.5 cm), margins usually irregularly sinuate-lobed; base cuneate, apex acute to obtuse. Floral glomerules arranged in terminal and/or lateral spike-like (lateral spikes with bract-leaves, the terminal one leafless); flowers monomorphic, unisexual, the males with 5 perianth segments and 5 stamens, the females, with 4–5 tepals and 2 stigmas; fruiting bract-like cover rhombic [(4–)6–8 × (5–)7–
9 mm], slightly longer than the wide, connate to the half-way, with entire margins (or with 1–2 obtuse lateral teeth) and dorsal surface smooth or with 1–2 appendages, base rounded cup-shaped; one seed, vertical, black.

**Chromosome number:**—$2n = 54$ (Pastor & Juan 1990, Pastor et al. 1990).

**Ecology:**—the species grows on coasts on sandy or gravel substrates, at the sea level. It is a member of some psammophilous and halophilous communities and characteristic species of *Cakilo aegyptiacae-Atriplicetum tornabenei* Géhù 1984, a phytosociological association currently known only for Sardegna and Puglia region (Central and Southern Italy). The communities with *Salsola soda* Linnaeus (1753: 223) was described at subassociation level as *Cakilo aegyptiacae-Atriplicetum tornabenei* Géhù 1984 subass. *Salsoletosum sodae* Géhù 1984.

**Distribution:**—*A. tornabenei* is a western-mediterranean species (Spain, France, Italy, Algeria and Tunisia) with a disjuntion in the east (Turkey) (Uotila 2011). As concerns Italy, it is recorded in Lazio, Sicilia, Sardegna (Conti et al. 2005, 2007), recently rediscovered in Puglia (Iamonico & Buono 2013) and historically recorded in Campania (Iamonico & Del Guacchio 2012).

**Variability:**—The var. *pedunculata* Castroviejo (1987: 475) was described from populations mainly observed in the Balearic islands (holotype available from http://plants.jstor.org/specimen/ma29221). The taxon differs from the nominal variety in having flowers with peduncles up to 11 mm. Our field surveys and examination of herbaria specimens allow to verify that plants collected out of the sites studied by Castroviejo (1987) have always flower sessile or nearly so. The distribution area of the two taxa does not overlap. Hence, we here propose the subspecies level for the var. *pedunculata*.

---

**Atriplex tornabenei** Tineo ex Guss. subsp. *tornabenei*


Holotype:—SPAIN. Baleares, Arenales maritimos, 06.X.1947, P. Ferrer s.n. (MA!).

Selected specimens examined


* Atriplex tornabenei* Tineo ex Guss. subsp. *pedunculata* (Castrov.) *Iamonico*:—SPAIN. Baleares: Arenales maritimos, 6 October 1947, P. Ferrer s.n. (MA!).


---
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