





Orcularia, a segregate from the lichen genera Buellia and Rinodina (Lecanoromycetes, Caliciaceae)

KLAUS KALB^{1,2} & MIREIA GIRALT³

- ¹ Lichenologisches Institut Neumarkt, Im Tal 12, D-92318 Neumarkt, Germany. E-mail: klaus.kalb@arcor.de
- ² Institute for Botany, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany.
- ³Departament de Bioquímica i Biotecnologia (Àrea de Botànica), Facultat d'Enologia de Tarragona, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Marcel·lí Domingo s/n, 43007, Tarragona, Spain. E-mail: mireia.giralt@urv.cat

Abstract

The new lichen genus *Orcularia*, based on *Rinodina* sect. *Orcularia*, is described. It is characterized by *Orcularia*-type ascospores and filiform conidia. So far the newly described *O. elixii* as well as *O. insperata* (type species), *O. placodiomorpha* and *O. placodiomorphoides* are found to belong to this genus. Descriptions, illustrations and a key for them are provided.

Key words: lichenized Ascomycota, Australia, taxonomy

Introduction

Preliminary phylogenetic studies revealed that neither Buellia De Notaris (apothecia without a thalline exciple) (Nordin 2000, Helms et~al.~2003) nor Rinodina (Ach.) Gray (apothecia with a thalline exciple) (Nadyeina et~al.~2010, Sheard 2010) are monophyletic. In both genera, well differentiated species or groups of species were detected, often with a unique spore type. Species or species-groups that shared other diagnostic characters in addition to the spore-type were segregated into new or resurrected genera [e.g. Buellia s. str. (= Hafellia Kalb, H. Mayrhofer & Scheidegger) with Callispora-type ascospores (Elix 2009); Endohyalina Marbach with Dirinaria-type ascospores (Giralt et~al.~2010)]. This is also the case with the four species treated here, now united in the genus Orcularia Kalb & Giralt. However, the importance of spore-types for generic circumscription is at present not fully understood. There are examples for its usability, e.g. Coniarthonia Grube can be separated from Arthonia Ach. by its ellipsoid ascospores and all cells of \pm the same size, while they are obovate with an enlarged endcell in the latter genus (Grube 2001). Young ascospores in Redingeria Frisch, a recent segregation from Ocellularia G. Mey. sensu lato, are microcephalic, while they are macrocephalic in that genus and in Stegobolus, which is otherwise similar to Redingeria (Frisch & Kalb 2006). But there are also examples where different spore-types seem to occur in monophyletic groups (Helms et~al.~2003, Nadyeina et~al.~2010, Sheard 2010).

In phylogenetic trees presented by Helms *et al.* (2003) and Nadyeina *et al.* (2010), *Buellia* species usually go in the Caliciaceae, whereas *Rinodina* species all go in the Physciaceae. In most cases, the classification can be made by spore-type (Caliciaceae: ascospores without distinct wall thickenings, Physciaceae: ascospores with distinct wall thickenings). But there are a few exceptions such as *Rinodinella* H. Mayrhofer & Poelt and *Phaeorhiza* H. Mayrhofer & Poelt which are included in the Physciaceae although they possess ascospores without distinct wall thickenings. *Orcularia* seems to be another exception. Although there are no sequences available due to the rareness of the species and the lack of fresh material, the new genus is placed in Caliciaceae despite of the wall thickenings of the ascospores, because of the pigmented hypothecium and the *Bacidia*-type ascus. For the same reasons the genus *Sculptolumina* (see Marbach 2000, Giralt *et al.* 2009) is also better included in the Caliciaceae.