Microtomographic study on the anatomy of adult male eyes of two mayfly species
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Abstract

Here I present the results obtained by scanning male adults of two mayfly (Ephemeroptera) species with a high resolution micro-tomographic scanner, allowing observation of external structures, with similar results to those obtained under scanning electron microscopy. Moreover, this non-destructive technique permits investigation of the internal structures, and to “navigate” inside them, in a way never before imagined. Moreover by using different transfer functions and in accordance with the X-ray transparency, it is possible to assign different colours to highlight different anatomical parts, and to obtain “aesthetic” images. Results are compared and discussed with previous findings. It is postulated that differences in the diameter sizes of the ommatidia, when comparing dorso-frontal and ventro-lateral parts of the compounds eyes, represent an evolutionary advantage enabling increased accuracy in movement displacement detection of competing males within the swarm.
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Introduction

The eyes of mayflies (Ephemeroptera) have attracted the attention of researchers for a long time. In fact, François J. Pictet gave a complete recompilation of old authors, including references to the eyes and particularly the existing differences in size and shape between sexes (Pictet 1843). Descriptions of the general shape of the eyes have been published by different authors (e.g. Horridge & Mclean 1978). However, one of the most detailed studies of the structure at microscopic level was done at the end of 19th century in a classic study including the turbinate eyes of some Baetidae species (Zimmer 1898). During the 20th century a number of studies were published giving different levels of detail (e.g. Wolburg-Buchholz 1977; Wolburg-Buchholz 1976; Horridge et al. 1982; Horridge & Mclean 1978; Horridge 1976; Burghause 1981), and more recently a SEM study of the eyes of Epeorus pellucidus was published by Lee et al. (2001). Most Ephemeroptera, and insects in general, possess hexagonal ommatidia. However, in mayflies of the family Leptophlebiidae, the existence of square faceted ommatidia have been reported (Gillies 1951; Edmunds 1963; Peters & Edmunds Jr 1970; Barling et al. 2014; Horridge & Mclean 1978; Savage 1986; Peters & Gillies 1995; Godunko et al. 2015)

Material and methods

Adults of two mayfly species, collected in southern Spain, and having different types of developed eyes in males, were studied: Epeorus assimilis Eaton, 1885, a heptageniid species with well-developed, but non turbinate eyes and Cloeon simile Eaton 1870, a common baetid species with well-developed turbinate eyes (Fig.1).
To get volume rendering images, we followed the procedure schematically explained in Fig. 1, as follows: (1) Specimens, already preserved in 70% ethanol, were dehydrated in an ethanol series (from 80% to absolute), transferred into Hexamethyldisilazane for several hours and air dried for 24 hours. No stain was used. (2) Specimens were scanned with a SkyScan 1172 microtomograph. To scan the whole insect the following parameters were used, for *E. assimilis*: Source Voltage=78KV, Source Current=76µA, and image Voxel Size=6.35µm. Rotation step=0.3°, and 180° of rotation scan; and for *C. simile*: Source Voltage=50KV, Source Current=74µA, and image Voxel Size=1.47µm, Rotation step=0.3°, and 360° of rotation scan. For a detailed study of the eyes, scans of heads at higher magnifications were undertaken, for *E. assimilis*: Source Voltage=64KV, Source Current=100µA, and image Voxel Size=2.5µm. Rotation step=0.3°, 180° of rotation scan; and for *C. simile*: Source Voltage=45KV, Source Current=69µA, and image Voxel Size=0.8µm. Rotation step= 0.5°, 360° of rotation scan. (3) The reconstructions of raw images dataset and “cleaning” was performed using the Bruker-Skyscan free software (NRecon, CTAn, DataViewer, and CTvox) to reconstruct and process the images, permitting not only reconstruction, but also virtual slices used to achieve the final volume rendering reconstructions (4). A detailed description of the procedure was published previously (Alba-Tercedor 2014).

Results

Figures 2–17 represent views from different perspectives, showing the external and internal details of the anatomy of the eyes. The relative size of compound eyes in mayfly males when compared with the rest of the body is evidenced in Fig. 2. The eyes represent most of the head (Figs. 3, 4, 11 & 12). In *E. assimilis* the compound eyes are clearly divided into two portions (front-dorsal and hind-lateral), clearly differentiated by
the size of the facets, with their external visible corneas (facets) larger in the front-dorsal part, when compared with the post-lateral parts (Figs. 3b, 3c, 6). When the corneal surface is removed by CTAn software, the crystalline lenses are visible and the differences in diameter between the front-dorsal and post-lateral parts are very conspicuous (Fig. 4). The crystalline lenses appear cone-shaped with the sharper tip pointing basally (Figs. 5–7, 9, 10)

FIGURE 2. CTVox’s volume rendering reconstructions of male adults, in dorsal and right-lateral view, of *E. assimilis* (left) and *C. simile* (right). See the extraordinary development of the eyes, occupying most of the head and representing a large proportion of the whole animal. On the right, the distance between marks represents a scale distance of 250 µm.

The compound eyes of *C. simile* are divided into dorsal and lateral parts. The turbinate eyes have only facets on the dorsal surface, while in the lateral eyes facets can be observed along their entire external surface (Figs. 11, 12). Facets’ diameter (ca. 15–16 µm) in turbinate eyes is twice the size of the lateral ones (ca. 7–8 µm) (Figs. 13, 14). In the dorsal corneal surface of the turbinate eyes, small nipple shaped structures can be observed (Figs. 11b:Cn, 16d:Cn). The crystalline lenses appear bicapitate-cylindrically shaped (Fig. 16d:Cr). In sagittal section, the turbinate eyes of *C. simile* show a wide clear-zone in between the external corneal-crystalline layer and the thick basal rhabdom zone (Figs. 15, 16:Cz, 17a: Cz). This zone is lacking in the lateral eyes, as well in the eyes of *E. assimilis* (Figs. 5–7, 9–10). Beside external and internal anatomical details of the eye, micro-CT reconstructions give an insight into other anatomical structures, such as the brain and the tentorium (see for example Figs. 5, 7, 15–17). Additional images can be seen in the video https://youtu.be/8HiwkNYDtBM for *C. simile* and https://youtu.be/Tqv9rTQ42aw for *E. assimilis*. 
FIGURE 3. CTvox’s volume rendering reconstructions of the head of the male adult of *E. assimilis* in: lateral (a,b,c), frontal (d,f) and dorsal (e,g) views. Figs. a, d and e correspond to reconstructions by using the “Attenuation” option in the software to obtain a similar appearance to X-ray radiographies.
FIGURE 4. CT Vox’s volue rendering of lateral (a) and dorsal (b) views of the male adult’s head of *E. assimilis*. The external corneal surface layer was “virtually” removed by software permitting to point out the crystalline cones (*Ucr*: upper crystalline cones; *Lcr*: Lower crystalline cones).
Discussion

Images obtained with the non-destructive technique of micro-CT give similar results to those obtained by classic histological or SEM methods. In fact, when comparing our images with the drawings published at the end of the 19th century by Carl Zimmer, the similarities are stunning, even in regard to the coloration of the illustrations (Zimmer 1898). In the same way, more recent images obtained with histological light microscopy, TEM or SEM (Wolburg-Buchholz 1977; Burghause 1981; Lee et al. 2001) show close resemblance to those we got with the micro-CT.

Our results suggest that for micro-CT studies of mayfly eyes, no stain is necessary. In fact, images of *E. assimilis* and *C. simile* show enough contrast and the improvement in sharpness in the images of *C. simile* corresponds to the smaller voxel size during the scan.

The eyes without a clear zone have been mainly considered to have appositional vision, in contrast with those with a clear zone that act as superposition eyes to achieve greater absolute sensitivity to impinging light. However, eyes with a clear zone, in conditions of saturated light, switch to apposition vision by distributing pigments along the walls between ommatidia (i.e. Wolburg-Buchholz 1976, 1977; Burghause 1981; Meyer-Rochow 2015).
FIGURE 6. CT Vox’s volume rendering reconstructions of lateral view sections of the *E. assimilis* ‘eyes seen from inside to outside: in a more external position (a,b) and roughly in the middle (c,d). The software permitted to remove all except the external tegument, so it was possible to get images of the corneal surface viewed from inside (a, c). Colours represent the opacity to X-ray. (*Cl*: corneal lens; *Cr*: crystalline cones; *Lco*: lower corneal lenses; *Rh*: rhabdoms; *Uco*: upper corneal lenses).
FIGURE 7. CTVox’s volume rendering reconstruction of *E. assimilis* ’s head sections: lateral perspective (a) and frontal section(b). Separately were generated two dataset, one containing only external tegument, and another without it. Both were merged with CTVox software to obtain the volume rendering images. (*Cl*: corneal lens; *Cr*: crystalline cones; *Lo*: lateral ocelli; *Rh*: rhabdons; *Te*: tentorium).
FIGURE 8. CT Vox’s volume rendering reconstruction of a latero-frontal view (a) of the head of *E. assimilis*, in which has been extracted a virtual core (b,c,d). (*Cl*: corneal lens; *Cr*: crystalline cones; *Rh*: rhabdoms; *Te*: tentorium).
In a previous study, Wolburg-Buchholz (1976) observed that in the turbinate eyes of Cloeon dipterum, the rhabdom is divided into two parts, a tiny external one, situated apically and touching the basal part of the crystalline lens, and a long basal rhabdom. Both are joined by a fine “thread like” connector which has also been observed in Baetis vernus (Burghause 1981). These descriptions fit with our findings in C. simile (see Figs. 15–17). However the magnifications used did not show external rhabdoms but the internal ones (forming a layer ca. 1/3 of the total length of the turbinate eyes), and the thread like connectors are well visible (Fig. 16c:Ct).
FIGURE 10. CTVox’s volume rendering reconstruction of a dorsal view section of the head of *E. assimilis* male (b), and detail of the right eye (a) (for anatomical details see legends in figures 5–9).
FIGURE 11. CT voxel’s volume renderings micro-CT reconstruction of the head of the male adult of *C. simile* in: lateral (a,b), frontal (c,d)) and dorsal (e,f) views. Figures a, c and e corresponds to reconstructions by using the “Attenuation” option in the software giving a similar appearance to X-ray radiographies. *Cn*: detail of the existing nipples on the corneal surface.
FIGURE 12. CTVox’s volume rendering reconstructions of lateral (a) and dorsal (b) views of the male adult’s head of *C. simile*. The external corneal surface layer was “virtually” removed by software, permitting to point out the crystalline cones, and the existing differences in size in between dorsal (*Dcr*) and lateral parts (*Lcr*) of the turbinate eyes.
FIGURE 13. CTVox’s volume rendering reconstructions of the external wall of the head of C. simile. Virtual cuts permitted the generation of virtual views from inside the head (b,c) in two perspectives as indicate the arrows in Figs. a and c, respectively.
The shape of the crystalline lenses varies in Baetidae. In *B. vernus* they are elongated cone shapes with the tip pointed basally. For *B. fuscatus* (subnom. *Cloe pumila*), Zimmer (1898) represented them as elongated pointed cones in a detailed figure, but in others the drawings can be interpreted either as elongate shaped or even bicapitate cylinders similar to what we found in *C. simile* (compare Fig. 10 in Tafel XII from Zimmer 1898, with Figs. 15a,16d:Cr and 17a:Cr). *Cloeon dipterum* crystalline lenses are cone shaped (twice as long as wide) (Wolburg-Buchholz 1976).

Lateral parts of the turbinate eyes have no clear zone. The rhabdoms layer is very apparent, just below the crystalline lenses, in an external position at ca. 1/3 of the total length of the eye (Figs. 15–17). In *C. dipterum* it occupies an equatorial position (Wolburg-Buchholz 1976).
FIGURE 15. DataViewer’s crossections images of the head of the male adult of *C. simile*: dorsol-ventral (a), frontal (b) and lateral (c). Level of cuts are indicated in d. Colours correspond to X-ray opacity of structures, according with the scale. For anatomical caption details see captions in figure 16.

It has been observed that some insects cover their eye facets with nanostructured nipples or microridges to create a layer that acts as a broadband antireflection coating. They have been studied in *Cloeon* sp. with the conclusion that they are only present in the winged stages (Gupta *et al.* 1989). Nipples structures were evidenced in the micro-CT reconstructions of *C. simile* (See Figs. 11 and 16–17).

The existence of turbinate eyes with clear zones has been classically postulated as an adaptation allowing vision in low intensity light (see Burghausse 1981). So these eyes would have superpositional vision, in contrast to the appositional vision of the lateral ones (without any clear zones); thus giving dual vision depending on the light intensity, as occurs with the vertebrate retina. However, it has been demonstrated that in good light conditions the ommatidia of the dorsal eyes could be separated by pigments, and would thereafter act as appositional eyes (i.e. Wolburg-Buchholz 1976; Wolburg-Buchholz 1977; Burghause 1981; Hateren & Hardie 1989; Meyer-Rochow 2015). On the other hand, there are species that form their swarms in extremely low light conditions, even in the dark (e.g. some Oligoneuriidae) and the eyes of these males have no turbinated structure or clear zone (Verrier 1956).
FIGURE 16. CT Vox’s volume rendering sections of the head from a male adult of *C. simile* : fronto-posterior (a), and dorso-ventral (b). Details of marked parts of b (c, d). (Br: Brain; Cl: corneal lens; Cn: corneal nipple; Cr: bicapitate-cylindrically shaped crystalline; Ct: connecting thread; Cz: clear zone; Ol: Optical lobe; Rh: rhabdom)
FIGURE 17. CTVox’s volume rendering reconstruction of *C. simile*’s male head sections: lateral perspective (a) and frontal section (b). These were separately generated from two datasets, one containing only external tegument, and another without it. Both were merged with CTVox software to obtain the volume rendering images. Colours represent the opacity of X-ray. (Cl: corneal lens; Cn: corneal nipples; Cr: bicapitate-cylindrically shaped crystalline; Cz: clear zone; Rh: rhabdom; Te: tentorium arms –blue-).
The presence of facets of different diameters in male eyes has been studied in other swarming insects. For instance, in the blowfly species *Chrysomya megacephala*, in which males swarm during low light conditions, they have eyes divided in the equatorial zone separating the dorsal area with facets four times the diameter of the ones of the lateral part. In other dipteran families, such as Simuliidae and Bibionidae, males have greatly enlarged dorsal eyes with large facets helping them to see females flying above them and against the sky (see Hateren & Hardie 1989).

The conspicuously different diameter size between dorsal and lateral facets of the adults of mayflies is so apparent that it was explicitly mentioned by Eaton (1883). I agree with other authors that larger dorsal facets will help to see females better against the sky and to be able to accomplish their acrobatic mating (Brinck 1957). But why the smaller facet size in the lateral positions (both in non-turbinate and turbinate eyes)? I consider that this allows detection of smaller movements of objects laterally situated, as occurs in male swarms when males are competing with each other to attract females. In these cases, larger upper facets would allow them to obtain zenithal light and proper focus during flight attachment (when attacking the female from below), while at the same time guarding against movements of possible competitors thanks to the smaller faceted lateral parts of the eyes. If the diameter of facets decreases by half then the accuracy in detecting any movement at least doubles, because even half the length of a moving object would be enough to enable this image to pass from an ommatidium to the contiguous one.
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Glossary of abbreviations in figures:

- **Br**: brain
- **Cl**: corneal lenses
- **Cn**: nipples on the corneal surface
- **Cr**: crystalline cones
- **Ct**: connecting thread
- **Cz**: clear zone
- **Der**: crystalline cones of the turbinate eyes (dorsal)
- **Leo**: lower corneal lenses
- **Lcr**: crystalline cones of lateral eyes
- **Lo**: lateral ocellus/i
- **Of**: optical lobe
- **Rh**: rhabdoms
- **Te**: tentorium
- **Uco**: upper corneal lenses
- **Ucr**: upper crystalline cones