Systematics and Phylogeny of *Leptomorphus* Curtis (Diptera: Mycetophilidae)

CHRISTOPHER J. BORKENT¹ & TERRY A. WHEELER²

¹ Plant Pest Diagnostics Center, California Department of Food and Agriculture, 3294 Meadowview Road, Sacramento, CA 95832, USA. Corresponding author, email: chris.borkent@mail.mcgill.ca

²Dept. Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Macdonald Campus, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC H9X 3V9 CANADA. email: terry.wheeler@mcgill.ca

Magnolia Press
Auckland, New Zealand

Table of contents

Abstract ................................................................. 4
Introduction ............................................................... 4
Taxonomic history ....................................................... 4
Materials and methods ................................................... 5
  Distributions ............................................................ 5
  Measurements ........................................................... 7
  Terminology ............................................................ 7
  Dissections ............................................................. 7
  Phylogeny ............................................................... 7
Systematics .............................................................. 7
Genus Leptomorphus Curtis .............................................. 7
  Diagnosis ............................................................... 7
  Description ............................................................ 8
  Biology ................................................................. 10
Key to adults of Leptomorphus species ................................ 11
Species descriptions ..................................................... 13
  1. Leptomorphus aliciae Matile ...................................... 13
  2. Leptomorphus amorni Borkent, new species ..................... 15
  3. Leptomorphus babai Sasakawa ................................... 16
  4. Leptomorphus bifasciatus (Say) .................................. 18
  5. Leptomorphus brandiae Borkent, new species ................... 20
  6. Leptomorphus carnevali Matile .................................. 21
  7. Leptomorphus chaseni Edwards ................................... 23
  8. Leptomorphus couturieri Matile .................................. 24
  9. Leptomorphus crassihipus Borkent, new species ................. 25
 10. Leptomorphus eberhardi Borkent, new species ................ 27
 11. Leptomorphus eberhardi Borkent, new species ................ 27
 12. Leptomorphus faiculatus Edwards ............................... 30
 13. Leptomorphus femoratus Edwards ............................... 31
 14. Leptomorphus forcipatus Landrock ............................. 33
 15. Leptomorphus furcatus Borkent, new species ................... 35
 16. Leptomorphus gracilis Matile ................................... 36
 17. Leptomorphus gryebinei Matile ................................ 38
 18. Leptomorphus hyalinus Coquillett .............................. 39
 19. Leptomorphus magnificus (Johannsen) .......................... 41
 20. Leptomorphus mandelai Borkent, new species ................ 43
 21. Leptomorphus medleri Matile ................................... 45
 22. Leptomorphus nebulosus (Walker) ............................... 46
 23. Leptomorphus nevai Edwards ................................... 49
 24. Leptomorphus obscurus Matile ................................... 51
 25. Leptomorphus ornatus Brunetti ................................ 52
 26. Leptomorphus panoriformis (Matsumura) ....................... 54
 27. Leptomorphus perplexus Borkent, new species ................. 55
 28. Leptomorphus quadrirunculatus (Matsumura) .................. 57
 29. Leptomorphus stigmatus Borkent, new species ................. 59
 30. Leptomorphus subcaeruleus (Coquillett) ....................... 60
 31. Leptomorphus subforcipatus Zaitzev & Ševčík ................ 62
 32. Leptomorphus tabatius Borkent, new species ................. 63
 33. Leptomorphus tagbanua Borkent, new species ................. 64
 34. Leptomorphus talshensis Zaitzev & Ševčík .................... 66
 35. Leptomorphus titiwangensis Borkent, new species ............ 66
 36. Leptomorphus walkerii Curtis .................................. 70
 37. Leptomorphus waodani Borkent, new species .................. 70
Specimens and species not included ................................ 71
Phylogenetic analysis of Leptomorphus species relationships .... 72
  Characters used ....................................................... 72
  Monophyly of Leptomorphus ........................................ 74
  Leptomorphus ornatus species group .............................. 76
  Leptomorphus gryebinei species group ........................... 76
  Leptomorphus walkerii species group ................................ 77
  Leptomorphus furcatus species group ................................ 78
  Implications of phylogeny for previous classifications .......... 79
Acknowledgements .................................................... 79
Literature Cited ....................................................... 79
List of figures ........................................................ 84
Abstract

The world fauna of the genus Leptomorphus Curtis, 1831 is revised and a phylogeny of species relationships, based on morphological characters, is presented. An updated genus diagnosis and description are given. Species descriptions, diagnoses, illustrations of general habitus, wings, male genitalia and distributions are provided for 37 valid species, along with a key to adults.

Twelve new species are described: L. amorini Borkent, n. sp., L. brandiae Borkent, n. sp., L. crassipilus Borkent, n. sp., L. eberhardi Borkent, n. sp., and L. waodani Borkent, n. sp., from the Neotropical realm, L. furcatus Borkent, n. sp., and L. perplexus Borkent, n. sp., from the Nearctic realm, L. mandelai Borkent, n. sp., and L. stigmatus Borkent, n. sp., from the Afrtropical realm, and L. tabatius Borkent, n. sp., L. tagbanua Borkent, n. sp., and L. titiwangsensis Borkent, n. sp., from the Oriental realm. Type specimens were studied for all but three species (L. ornatus, L. subforcipatus and L. talyshensis). Leptomorphus elegans Matile and L. lepidus Matile are considered junior synonyms of L. gracilis Matile, n. syn., and L. ypsilon Johannsen is a junior synonym of L. hyalinus Coquillett, n. syn. Lectotypes are designated for L. magnificus (Johannsen), L. neivai Edwards, and L. walkeri Curtis and a neotype is selected for L. bifasciatus (Say). This study brings the total number of extant Leptomorphus species to 45, including eight, unique (based on figures and descriptions), recently described Oriental and northwestern Australasian species (Papp & Ševčík 2011), for which material was unavailable for this study. The phylogenetic analysis in this study supports the monophyly of Leptomorphus. The western Nearctic species, L. perplexus, is the sister group to the remaining species, which fell into four major monophyletic species groups (L. ornatus Brunetti group, L. grjebinei Matile group, L. walkeri group, L. furcatus group). The monophyletic relationships within each group are also discussed. The clades found in this study do not support the arrangement of species in to the Leptomorphus subgenera recognized by previous classifications.
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Introduction

The genus Leptomorphus Curtis, 1831 (Diptera: Mycetophilidae) is found worldwide, with the exception of Antarctica, and previous to this study, contained 36 extant and three fossil species. The extant species are distributed as follows: ten species are known in the Afrotropical (Matile 1977, 1997), seven in the Palaearctic (ík 2001), eight in the Nearctic (ík 2011), three in the Neotropical (Papavero 1978), and six in the Antarctic (Laffoon 1965).

Members of Leptomorphus are some of the largest and most robust mycetophilids, ranging in body length from 6–14mm. They also exhibit a range of colour from yellow and orange-red to dark brown or black with bright blue iridescence. They can be easily separated from other Mycetophilidae based on a number of characters (see generic diagnosis below).

Taxonomic history

Leptomorphus was described by Curtis (1831) for a single species (L. walkeri Curtis) from the United Kingdom. Shortly thereafter, Walker (1848) erected the genus Diomonus for a single new species (D. nebulosus Walker) from North America and noted that the wings of Diomonus were identical to Leptomorphus except that R₄ was present. Over the following 77 years nine species were validly assigned to, or transferred into, these two genera (see below). Though there was some further discussion of the similarity between these genera (Johannsen 1910) they remained separate, and were even placed in different subfamilies of Mycetophilidae by Johannsen (1910, 1912). Two species originally described in Leptomorphus during this time were subsequently moved to other genera; L. parvula Coquillett (1901: 597) was moved to Allocotocera Mik, and L. elongatus Walker (1848: 87) was synonymized with Neuratelia nemoralis (Meigen).

Edwards (1925) synonymized the two genera because he felt that there was no difference between them, other than the presence of R₄ in Diomonus. At the same time, Edwards (1925) placed Leptomorphus in the tribe Sciophilini where it has remained since (although some authors have ranked this tribe as the subfamily Sciophilinae s.s. (e.g. Tuomikoski 1966, Väisänen 1984, Chandler 2009)). Most authors have followed this synonymization; however, Matile (1977) gave Diomonus subgeneric status and questioned the validity of the synonymization.