

Monograph



ZOOTAXA



Revision of the Neotropical subfamily Eurychoromyiinae (Diptera: Lauxaniidae)

STEPHEN D. GAIMARI¹ & VERA C. SILVA²

¹ California State Collection of Arthropods, California Department of Food & Agriculture, Plant Pest Diagnostics Branch, 3294 Meadowview Road, Sacramento, CA 95832-1448, USA. E-mail: sgaimari@cdfa.ca.gov

² Departamento de Morfologia e Fisiologia Animal, FCAV - UNESP, Via de acesso Prof. Paulo Donato Castellane, s/n, zona rural, Jaboticabal 14.884-900, São Paulo, BRAZIL. E-mail: vcsilva@fcav.unesp.br



STEPHEN D. GAIMARI & VERA C. SILVA

Revision of the Neotropical subfamily Eurychoromyiinae (Diptera: Lauxaniidae)

(Zootaxa 2342)

64 pp.; 30 cm.

22 Jan. 2010

ISBN 978-1-86977-453-0 (paperback)

ISBN 978-1-86977-454-7 (Online edition)

FIRST PUBLISHED IN 2010 BY

Magnolia Press

P.O. Box 41-383

Auckland 1346

New Zealand

e-mail: zootaxa@mapress.com

http://www.mapress.com/zootaxa/

© 2010 Magnolia Press

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted or disseminated, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from the publisher, to whom all requests to reproduce copyright material should be directed in writing.

This authorization does not extend to any other kind of copying, by any means, in any form, and for any purpose other than private research use.

ISSN 1175-5326 (Print edition)

ISSN 1175-5334 (Online edition)

Table of contents

Abstract	
Introduction	3
Materials and methods	5
Eurychoromyiinae Hendel	6
Key to the genera and species of Eurychoromyiinae	
Choryeuromyia, gen. nov	9
Eurychoromyia Hendel	
Euryhendelimyia, gen. nov.	14
Eurystratiomyia, gen. nov.	16
Physegeniopsis, gen. nov.	22
Roryeuchomyia, gen. nov.	31
Tauridion Papp & Silva	34
Acknowledgements	37
References	37

Abstract

The status of the enigmatic family Eurychoromyiidae is revised to a subfamily of Lauxaniidae, and the entire subfamily is revised with a key to genera and species, and maps for all species. Previously monotypic with only the species *Eurychoromyia mallea* Hendel, one closely related genus, *Tauridion* Papp & Silva, is moved into this subfamily, and five new genera are described: *Choryeuromyia*, *Euryhendelimyia*, *Eurystratiomyia*, *Physegeniopsis*, and *Roryeuchomyia*. Besides the type species for *Eurychoromyia* and *Tauridion*, all species herein are new, including *Choryeuromyia xenisma* (type species of genus), *Euryhendelimyia schlingeri* (type species of genus), *Eurystratiomyia epacrovitta*, *Eurystratiomyia erwini* (type species of genus), *Physegeniopsis albeto*, *Physegeniopsis ankhoidea* (type species of genus), *Physegeniopsis hadrocara*, and *Roryeuchomyia tigrina* (type species of genus). Eggs are described for *Tauridion shewelli* and *Physegeniopsis albeto*.

Key words: Diptera, Lauxaniidae, Eurychoromyiidae, *Choryeuromyia*, *Eurychoromyia*, *Euryhendelimyia*, *Eurystratiomyia*, *Physegeniopsis*, *Roryeuchomyia*, *Tauridion*, Neotropical

Introduction

The Neotropical genus *Eurychoromyia* Hendel was described for the single species *E. mallea* Hendel, based on four specimens collected at the turn of the 20th century by Carl Schnuse (for an account of his travels, see Papavero (1973)) in Sarampiuni, Bolivia (near Mapiri) in the foothills of the Central Andes north of La Paz. Stating that this species represents "ein isolierter Gruppentypus acalyptrater Musciden"—an isolated group of acalyptrate Muscidae—Hendel (1910) classified it in its own family-group (as Eurychoromyinae, the spelling of which was corrected to Eurychoromyinae by Brues & Melander (1932)) coordinate in rank with his subfamilies Lauxaniinae (=Lauxaniidae) and Sciomyzinae (=Sciomyzidae). To reach this conclusion, he went by process of elimination. First, he suggested that by first impressions, it should belong to the Ortalidinae (=Ulididae), but because it lacked the sclerotized ovipositor found in that group and the related tephritines (=Tephritidae) and lonchaeines (=Lonchaeidae), it could not be considered part of that group, and that the ovipositing apparatus is more suggestive of the sciomyzines and tetanocerines (=Sciomyzidae). However, with the lack of certain setae, and other peculiarities not found in these groups, *Eurychoromyia* could not be forced into any known group. He astutely observed that were he to assign it in an unnatural manner to an existing group, its recognition by subsequent dipterists would be endangered.

Hendel apparently later ascribed the genus to the Sepsidae, since Malloch (1925), disagreed with Hendel's "parenthetically" referring *Eurychoromyia* to the Sepsidae "in one of his papers." However, Brues &