



## Revision of the Eurybrachidae (XIII). The new Australian genus *Chewobrachys* (Hemiptera: Fulgoromorpha)

## JEROME CONSTANT

Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Department of Entomology, Vautier street 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium. E-mail: entomo@naturalsciences.be

## **Abstract**

The new genus of Eurybrachidae *Chewobrachys* n. g. (Hemiptera, Fulgoromorpha) is described for two species from Eastern Australia, *Platybrachys sanguiflua* (Walker, 1858) and *Chewobrachys limbourgi* n. sp. The new combination *Chewobrachys sanguiflua* (Walker, 1858) n. comb. is proposed and *Platybrachys insignis* Distant, 1892 is proposed as a junior synonym of *C. sanguiflua*. The male and female genitalia are illustrated and photos of habitus, distribution maps and biological data are provided with the description of the species. A key to the species of *Chewobrachys* is given. Lectotypes are designated for *Eurybrachys sanguiflua* Walker, 1858 and *Platybrachys insignis* Distant, 1892. The new genus is provisionally placed in the tribe Platybrachyini Schmidt, 1908.

Key words: Platybrachyini, Acacia, Platybrachys

## Introduction

This paper is the thirteenth of a series intended to revise the family Eurybrachidae. This study starts with the revision and (re)definition of each genus and will result in a proposal of a more natural classification in the family. This will also allow tentative understanding of the phylogeny and zoogeography of the family.

In this paper, Chewobrachys n. g. is created in the process of dismantling the heterogeneous Australian genus Platybrachys Stål, 1859 (Constant, 2006c). Walker (1858) described Eurybrachys sanguiflua from Moreton Bay (Queensland, Australia). Stål (1862) transferred the species into his genus *Platybrachys* Stål, 1859 which was defined by the following main features (Stål, 1861, 1862): (1) no infra-ocular spine, (2) clavus closed, (3) hind tibiae with 3 lateral spines, (4) combined length of pro- and mesonotum equal to breadth of thorax, (5) antennae short. The only other reference to the species was by Hacker (1924) in a list of the common species of *Platybrachys* occurring around Brisbane. Many specimens of *Platybrachys lanifera* (Stål, 1854), the type-species of the genus, and of several closely related species have been examined within this paper. It is evident that P. sanguiflua (Walker, 1858), as well as one closely related, undescribed species, cannot be placed in the same genus as P. lanifera because (1) the first hind tarsomere bears a distinct pad of microsetae ventrally in the P. lanifera group but such a pad is obsolete in P. sanguiflua, (2) the hind wings are always dark brown and unicolorous in the P. lanifera group but are marked with red basally and with white in P. sanguiflua, (3) the male genitalia have a very homogeneous shape in the P. lanifera group which is completely different from those of *P. sanguiflua*, (4) members of the *P. lanifera* group live on trees of the genus Eucalyptus (family Myrtaceae) while P. sanguiflua lives on Acacia (family Fabaceae). For these reasons P. sanguiflua is removed from Platybrachys and placed in the new genus Chewobrachys together with the new species Chewobrachys limbourgi n. sp. Platybrachys insignis Distant, 1892 is synonymised below with P. sanguiflua. Distant (1892) described P. insignis from Peak Downs, Queensland, and stated that the species can