





http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.221.2.8

On Roxburgh's *Gelonium bifarium* (Euphorbiaceae) from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India

MUNIGELA VENKAT RAMANA¹, POTHARAJU VENU² & MUNIVENKATAPPA SANJAPPA³ ¹Dept. of Botany, Nizam College, Osmania University, Hyderabad 500 001, India. Email venkat.botany@gmail.com ²Botanical Survey of India, Deccan Regional Centre, Hyderabad 500 048, India ³Botanical Garden, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru 560 065 India

Abstract

The taxonomic identity and diagnosis of *Suregada bifaria* (= *Gelonium bifarium*) is reviewed in comparison with *Suregada multiflora* (= *Gelonium multiflorum*), and the synonymy of the former with the latter, as found in Indian floras and the world checklist of Euphorbiaceae, is discussed. The distinct identity of *S. bifaria* and its presence in the Andamans is ascertained, and the name lectotypified.

Key words: Lectotypification, Suregada bifaria, Suregada multiflora, taxonomic identity

Introduction

Roxburgh, in his then unpublished Flora Indica manuscript, described three species under the unpublished genus Gelonium Roxb., namely G. bifarium Roxb., G. lanceolatum Roxb. and G. fasciculatum Roxb. Prior to Roxburgh's final publication of the Flora Indica (1832), Willdenow (1806: 831-832) validated the genus Gelonium Roxb. ex Willd. as well two species names in his Species Plantarum (G. bifarium Roxb. ex Willd. and G. lanceolatum Willd.). Only G. fasciculatum Roxb. was finally published by Roxburgh himself (1832: 832). All three taxa were distinguished by the shape of the lamina, number of stamens and nature of the capsules. Both G. fasciculatum (from the Circar mountains (Eastern Ghats) and Bengal) and G. lanceolatum (from the Deccan Peninsula) were described from known localities. On the other hand, Roxburgh was not aware of the exact locality of G. bifarium and described it from an introduced specimen in the erstwhile East India Company's Botanic Garden, Calcutta (now renamed as Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden). But Hooker (1887: 458–460) stated that the original collections of G. bifarium were from Penang (Wallich), Middle Andaman Islands (Kurz) and Perak in Peninsular Malaysia (no collector's name). Gelonium multiflorum was described by Jussieu (1824: 111) based on collections of Roxburgh from the Coromandel Coast. This species is widely distributed in Bangladesh, China, India, Indonesia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam, and Malaysia (as Suregada). Baillon (1858) shifted most species of Gelonium to Suregada Roxb. ex Rottler (1803: 206), since Gelonium Roxb, ex Willd, turned out to be an illegitimate name (a later homonym; Art. 53 ICN, McNeill et al. 2012). Hooker (1887: 459) merged G. fasciculatum under G. multiflorum while keeping the others as distinct species. In a study of trees of the Malayan flora (Whitmore 1973), the World Checklist of Euphorbiacaeae (Govaerts et al. 2000) and the book on Euphorbiaceae of India (Balakrishnan & Chakrabarty 2007), Suregada bifaria (Roxb. ex Willd.) Baillon (1874: 120) also got synonymised under Suregada multiflora (A.Juss.) Baillon (1858: 396). The Plant List (2013) also accepted this synonymy with supporting references of the WCSP (World Checklist of Selected Plant Families) database. Their record (# 198579) reports it as a synonym based on Baillon (1874: 120), who referred a staminate flower of S. multiflora to S. bifaria.

Based on present collections of *Suregada* material from the North Andaman Islands and also on the review of the identities of earlier collections, the authors could re-establish *S. bifaria* and justify its distinct identity from other Indian species. *Suregada bifaria* was primarily distinguished by Roxburgh based on entire leaves, stamen number about fifteen and 2-celled capsules. Among these features, the nature of the capsule is very diagnostic, these being (usually) deeply dicoccous. Roxburgh, hence, gave names (*nomina nuda in sched.*) such as *S. dicocca* Roxb. (*Wallich*