





http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.206.1.9

## Intraspecific variation of insertion/length of stamens in homostylous flowers of a new species and three other species of *Borreria*: an unusual case in Rubiaceae<sup>#</sup>

## SANDRA V. SOBRADO<sup>1,\*</sup> & ELSA L. CABRAL<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Botánica del Nordeste (CONICET-UNNE); Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales y Agrimensura, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste (FaCENA-UNNE), C.P. 3400, Corrientes, Argentina. \* Author for Correspondence: sobradosandra@gmail.com

#In: Delprete, P.G. & Dessein, S. (Editors), Festschrift volume dedicated to Timothy Motley (1965–2013). Phytotaxa 206: 1–132. (2015)

## Abstract

Four species of *Borreria* subsection *Latifoliae* (Rubiaceae) present intrafloral variations in the insertion/length of stamens in homostylous flowers. *Borreria heteranthera* is described and illustrated as new species from the state of Pará, Brazil. The emended descriptions of *Borreria hispida*, *B. semiamplexicaule* and *B. xanthophylla*, with details on stamens morphology and insertion, are provided. The inclusion of *B. xanthophylla* in the subsection *Latifoliae* is proposed. Staminal arrangement, pollen grains and seed morphology of the four species are compared. A comparative table with ecological, palynological, and morphological features of each species is provided. In addition, *Borreria semiamplexicaule* is mentioned as a new record for the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil, and the lectotype of *B. hispida* is here designated.

**Key words:** *Borreria* subsect. *Latifoliae*, *Borreria heteranthera*, *Borreria hispida*, *Borreria semiamplexicaule*, *Borreria xanthophylla*, Brazil, French Guiana, stamen organization, pollen grains, seed morphology, Spermacoce, Spermacoceae

## Introduction

*Borreria* Meyer (1818: 79) belongs to tribe Spermacoceae, Rubiaceae. About 100 species of this Neotropical genus are distributed in the Americas, ranging from southern United States to southern Uruguay and central Argentina. Brazil is the country with the highest diversity, where ca. 77 species are found (Bacigalupo & Cabral 2007; Cabral & Salas 2013).

In the last decades, the taxonomic delimitation of *Borreria* and its relative *Spermacoce* Linnaeus (1753: 102) has been debated. This debate is due to morphological variation, incomplete understanding of the group, and divergent taxonomic views. Some authors consider the two taxa as separate genera based on morphological characters (Steyermark 1972; Bacigalupo & Cabral 1996, 2007; Bacigalupo et al. 2010; Cabral et al. 2010, 2011, 2012a, 2012b; Miguel & Cabral 2013; Salas et al. 2011). These authors circumscribe Spermacoce s.str. by having relatively small flowers arranged in pseudoaxillary glomerules, corolla lobes internally pilose, stamens sessile, style short, all included, and indehiscent capsules or capsules with only one dehiscent valve. These authors grouped ca. 10 American species with these features (Salas et al. unpubl. data) in the genus Spermacoce. In contrast, they consider Borreria as possessing relatively large flowers [except species that belong to Borreria section Pseudodiodia Hassler (1915: 166–167), which are under study (Salas et al. unpubl. data)], arranged in axillary and spherical glomerules, corolla lobes mostly glabrous or glabrescent, stamens with well-developed filaments, style long and exserted, and dehiscent capsules with both mericarps dehiscing septicidally or with indehiscent mericarps. According to these authors, while Spermacoce s.str. has zonocolporate pollen grains with long ectocolpi surrounded by spinules and endoapertures forming an endocingulum (Type 1 sensu Pire 1996), Borreria has several pollen types and is an eurypalynous genus (Pire 1996). In contrast, other authors treated Borreria as synonymous with Spermacoce [In the Paleotropics: Verdcourt (1976), Sivarajan et al. (1987), Dessein (2003), Dessein et al. (2003a, 2003b) and Harwood & Dessein (2005); in the Neotropics: Adams in Burger & Taylor (1993), Adams & Taylor (2012) Delprete (2007, 2010), Delprete et al. (2005) and Delprete & Cortés (2006)].

Recent molecular phylogenetic studies are inconclusive with respect to well supported clade delimitations and morphology. For example, the most comprehensive studies (Kårehed *et al.* 2008, Groeninckx *et al.* 2009) found that