



Revisit the taxonomy of *Ficus krishnae* (Moraceae)

RINKEY TIWARI¹, JANA V. SUDHAKAR², LAL B. CHAUDHARY^{1*}, GARIMELLA V. S. MURTHY² & ANJALA DURGAPAL³

¹ Plant Diversity, Systematics and Herbarium Division, CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow-226 001, India

² Botanical Survey of India, Southern Regional Centre, Coimbatore-641 003, India

³ M. B. (P. G.) College, Haldwani, Nainital, Uttarakhand-263 139, India

* Corresponding author: dr_lbchaudhary@rediffmail.com

Abstract

Ficus krishnae considered as native to India is very unique among all species in the genus as it has peculiar leaves generally with cone-shaped structure at base and leaflet like appendages on the petiole. These both features are tremendously variable within the species. The taxonomic status of *F. krishnae* is still uncertain as sometimes it is treated as subspecies or conspecific to its closest relative *F. benghalensis*. Many mythological stories regarding the formation of cup in the leaves are also associated in India and hence the plants of the species are considered sacred and worshiped. The merger of *F. krishnae* with *F. benghalensis* makes the latter quite heterogeneous and at the same time it may not be acceptable in the society at large as with the former the religious faith of the people is attached. Earlier it was believed that *F. krishnae* differs from *F. benghalensis* only in cup-shaped leaves. But critical examination of large number of specimens gathered from different places and available information reveal that *F. krishnae* distinctly differs from *F. benghalensis* not only in cup formation in leaves, rather also in height of the plants, aerial roots, stipules, petiole and its leafy appendages and ostiolar bracts of the receptacle, in addition to differences in chromosome, DNA contents, stomatal and parenchymatous cells and nodal anatomy. Based on morphological, anatomical and cytological evidences *F. krishnae* is again reinstated here as a correct species. The correct citation of the species has been provided and discussion has been made on the variation pattern of the leaves. The detail description of the species along with line drawing illustrations and colour photographs has been added.

Key words: Correct citation, Diversity, Krishna fig tree, Reinstatement, Relationship, Taxonomic status

Introduction

Ficus Linnaeus (1753: 1059) one of the largest genera in angiosperms with ca. 735 species is distributed throughout the world (Berg & Corner 2005). It is represented in India by 91 species and 24 infraspecific taxa (Chaudhary *et al.* 2012). The genus is divided into 6 subgenera, 19 sections and 27 subsections. *Ficus krishnae* C. De Candolle (1906: t. 8092) belongs to subsection *Conosycea* under the section *Urostigma* of the subgenus *Urostigma*. It is considered one of the unusual fig species due to its peculiar nature of leaves and thought to be native of India (Figure 1). The plants of the species are treated as sacred tree in India due to its peculiar nature of cup forming leaves. Many Indian folklores are associated with the species regarding the formation of cone shaped leaves. According to one mythological tale the plants of *F. krishnae* are *Ficus benghalensis* Linnaeus (1753: 1059) whose leaves were modified by God Rama to use them as cup or spoon in the forest during his 14 years banishment. The other story tells that God Krishna made this tree with cup shaped leaves to use them for taking makkhan (white butter). Therefore, the leaves are also called ‘Makkhan Katori’ (butter cup). God Krishna was very fond of butter in his childhood. He used to steal makkhan in his own house as well as from other houses. Once when his mother Yashodha caught him red handed stealing makkhan, he folded the leaves of this particular fig in the form of cone to hide makkhan. Then onwards, this fig tree produces cone shaped leaves.

The species was first brought to the notice of David Prain in 1896 (the then director of Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata) from a private garden located near the Royal Botanic Gardens, Calcutta (now known as Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden, Howrah, West Bengal). From there two cuttings of stem were obtained and

the plants growing in the Royal Botanic Gardens, Calcutta from where it is thought that the twigs of this plant were distributed to various gardens in India as well as outside the country. These leafy appendages are quite variable in number, shape, size and place of attachment on the petiole. Sometimes they are quite reduced and represented by only a rudimentary structure like an awn (Figure 6E, G, H, O). If occur in pairs or triplet, they are opposite, but may or may not be of the same size and shape. They may occur at different position on the petiole starting from just above the base of petiole (Figure 6E, G–M) to the base of lamina (Figure 6N–Q). They may be sessile (Figure 6P, Q, R) or distinctly petiolulate (Figure 6I–M). Their orientation is also not uniform in different leaves. Mostly the ventral surface faces towards axis as like apical lamina, however, the reverse condition is also seen where the ventral surface is away from axis (Figure 6J, P). Generally the cup-shaped structure is not found on lateral leaflets, but sometimes it has been observed in some leaves (Unnikrishnan & Hema 1990).

Specimens examined: INDIA. Delhi: Lodhi Garden, 13 May 1993, *B.D. Naithani* 81949 (BSD); Zoo garden Area, September 1993, *B.D. Naithani* 83592 (BSD). Karnataka: Bangalore District, Bangalore Garden, January 1951, *H. Santapau s.n.* (BLAT). Maharashtra: Mumbai District, Victoria Garden, August 1916, without collector 15295 (BLAT); Victoria Garden, 28 May 1957, *R.R. Fernandez* 4146 (BLAT). Tamilnadu: Chennai District, Teynampet Garden, 29 November 1949, *Superintendent of Garden s.n.*, acc. no. 93750 (MH); Madras, 12 January 1958, *S.K. Wagh* 7233 (BLAT); Coimbatore District, Near Valayar, Chandra Garden, 05 December 2010, *J.V. Sudhakar* 122958 (MH); Coimbatore, Avinashi Road, Srinivasa Perumal temple premises, 460 m, 14 April 2011, *J.V. Sudhakar* 122977 (MH). Tripura: Botanic Garden, M. B. B. College, Agartala, without date, *N.D.B. Das* 2428 (CAL). Uttarakhand: Botanical Garden, New Forest, 24 February 1976, *R.C. Gaur & N.K. Shukla* R.C.G. Ser II.7 (DD); Dehra Dun (Bot. Garden), 03 September 1958, without collector & number (DD); Dehra Dun, New forest, 07 June 1963, *K.M. Vaid s.n.*, acc. nos. 153262, 153263, 153264 (DD); Dehra Dun, August 1963, *K.M. Vaid s.n.* (CAL). Uttar Pradesh: Indra Gandhi Udhyaan Raebareli, 31 March 2014, *K.K. Anand* 264567 (LWG); Lucknow: N.B.G., without date, collector & number (LWG); NBRI, inside Plant Nursery, 07 October 2008, *R.K. Srivastava & U.M. Singh* 249704 (LWG); NBRI Garden, 15 April 2011, *R. Tiwari* 250386 (LWG); NBRI Garden, 26 August 2012, *R. Tiwari & L.B. Chaudhary* 264548 (LWG). West Bengal: Howrah, Sibpur, Royal Botanic Garden, 14 January 1941, *J.N. Naskar s.n.*, acc. no. 428618 (CAL); Howrah, AJCB Indian Botanic Garden, very near to Roxburgh's building, 100 m, 25 May 2012, *J.V. Sudhakar* 126320 (MH).

Acknowledgements

RT and LBC are grateful to the Director of CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow, India and JVS and GVSM to the Director of Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata, India for providing facilities. The In-charge of herbaria mentioned in the work is thankfully acknowledged for permission to consult the herbarium. Thanks are also due to Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Srivastava and Mr. Arun Kumar Kushwaha of CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow for providing some photographs of leaves. The financial assistance was received from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India under the project BSC-0106 and Uttar Pradesh State Biodiversity Board, Lucknow, India.

References

- Anonymous (1956) *Wealth of India* v. 4 (F–G). Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, xxviii+287+ viii pp.
- Berg, C.C. & Corner, E.J.H. (2005) *Moraceae–Ficus*. In: Nootboom, H.P. (Ed.) *Flora Malesiana series I* (Seed Plants), v. 17 pt 2. National Herbarium Nederland, Universiteit Leiden branch, The Netherlands, pp. iv + 1–730.
- Biswas, K. (1932) Bud Mutation. *Nature* 130: 780.
- Biswas, K. (1935) Observations on the Systematic Position of *Ficus Krishnae* growing at the Royal Botanic Garden, Calcutta. *Current Science* 3(9): 424–425.
- Chattopadhyay, D.K. & Maiti, G.G. (2006) Anatomical Study on the genus *Ficus* L. (Moraceae): I. Nodal anatomy of the subgenus *Urostigma*. *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany* 30 (3): 613–620.
- Chaudhary, L.B., Sudhakar, J.V., Kumar, A., Bajpai, O., Tiwari R. & Murthy, G.V.S. (2012) Synopsis of the Genus *Ficus* L. (Moraceae) in India. *Taiwania* 57(2): 193–216.
- Corner, E.J.H. (1965) Check List of *Ficus* in Asia and Australasia with keys to identification. *Gardens Bulletin Singapore* 21(1): 1–186.

- Corner, E.J.H. (1981) Moraceae. In: Dassanayake, M.D. (Eds.) *A Revised Handbook to The Flora of Ceylon* v. 3. Oxford and IBH, New Delhi, India, pp. 230–279.
- De Candolle, C. (1901) Sur un *Ficus* a hypoascidies. [ser. 4] *Archives des Sciences Physiques et Naturelles* 12: 623–631.
- De Candolle, C. (1902) Nouvelle etude des hypoascidies de *Ficus*. [ser. 2] *Bulletin de l'Herbier Boissier* 2: 753–762.
- Dixit, P.K. & Mittal, S. (2013) A comprehensive review on anti-diabetic agents of herbal origin. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 5 (2): 29–32.
- GRIN (2014) Germplasm Resources Information Network. Available from: <http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?16784> (accessed 3 September 2014).
- Joshi, M.K., Gorwadiya, H.C. & Pandya, D.J. (2012) Phytopharmacognostical Study on 'MakkhanKatori' *Ficus* *Krishnae*. *International Journal of Biomedical Research* 3(11): 427–430.
- Joshi, S. & Raghuvanshi, S.S. (1970) Accessory chromosomes in a tree - *Ficus* *krishnae*. *Annales of Botany* 34 (5): 1037–1039.
- Linnaeus, C. (1753) *Species Plantarum* vol. 1 & 2. Stockholm, 1200 pp.
- Madhava, C.K., Sivaji, K. & Tulasi, R.K. (2008) *Flowering plants of Chittoor district*, 2nd ed. Students Offset printers, Tirupati, 600 pp.
- Molisch, H. (1930) *Als Naturforscher in Indien*. Jena: Gustav Fisher, xii+276 pp.
- Ohri, D. & Khoshoo, T.N. (1987) Nuclear DNA contents in the genus *Ficus* (Moraceae). *Plant Systematic and Evolution* 156: 1–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00937196>
- Prain, D. (1906) *Ficus* *krishnae*. *Curtis Botanical Magazine* 132: t. 8092.
- Puri, G.S. (1946) Further observations on the systematic position of *F. krishnae*. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal (Science)* 12(1): 7–12.
- Sanjappa, M. & Dasgupta, A. (1981) *Chromosome number report LXXI*. *Taxon* 30 (2): 506–517.
- IPNI (2014) The International Plant Names Index. Available from: http://www.ipni.org/ipni/simplePlantNameSearch.do?find_wholeName=Ficus+krishnae&output_format=normal&query_type=by_query&back_page=query_ipni.html (accessed 3 September 2014).
- The Plant List (2014) The Plant List. Version 1. Available from: <http://www.theplantlist.org/tpl/record/kew-2810975> (accessed 3 September 2014).
- Thiers, B. (2012) Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Gardens' Virtual Herbarium. Available from: <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih/>. (accessed 3 September 2014).
- Unnikrishnan, K. & Hema K.S. (1990) Development of back-pocketed leaf in *Ficus* *krishnae* C. DC. *Phytomorphology* 40(1–2): 151–157.
- Vaid, K.M. (1963) Trifoliation—a new freak in *Ficus* *krishnae* C. de C. *Indian Forester* 89(7): 475–476.