Validation of the name *Heliconia ×rauliniana* (Heliconiaceae)
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Abstract

In the protologue, two specimens of *Heliconia* collected on different dates and locations were designated as a single holotype. Consequently, *Heliconia ×rauliniana* (pro sp.) must be considered an invalidly published name. This natural hybrid is widely cultivated and used in worldwide floriculture trade. The present work proposes to validate the name *H. ×rauliniana*.
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Introduction

*Heliconia ×rauliniana* (pro sp.) was first described and illustrated by Barreiros (1974: 453) as a species, not as a hybrid. In the protologue, two specimens from different gatherings were cited and designated as a single holotype and with the same RB herbarium accession number (RB 159909). The first specimen, *Burle Marx s.n.*, was collected in 1971 in the Roberto Burle Marx private garden otherwise known as “Sítio Santo Antonio da Bica” (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), although it was originally harvested in Barlovento, Venezuela. The second specimen, *H.S. Barreiros s.n.*, was collected in 1972 from the Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Thus, the plants were collected at different times and erroneously joined as a single holotype. As a result, this holotype consists of a set of specimens collected on different dates and locations. Consequently, *H. ×rauliniana* must be considered an invalidly published name, as it is in disagreement with Article 8.2 (McNeill *et al.* 2012).

This ornamental plant is widely recognized as a natural hybrid of *H. bihai* (Linnaeus 1753: 1043) Linnaeus (1771: 211) x *H. marginata* (Griggs 1915: 323) Pittier (1926: 299) (Berry & Kress 1991; Lorenzi & Mello Filho 2001; Ribeiro *et al.* 2010). In spite of its importance in the floriculture trade, the matter of plant name typification has never been raised.

Taxonomic treatment

*Heliconia ×rauliniana* Barreiros, nothosp. nov.  
Type:—VENEZUELA. Barlovento (“Barlavento”), 1971, *R. Burle Marx* (“B. Mars”) *s.n.* (holotype RB 159909!; isotype US 2853014!).

Notes:—The morphological and chromatic characteristics in *H. ×rauliniana* are visibly present in the parental taxa (*H. bihai* x *H. marginata*), except the features that express its hybrid state, such as oblique inflorescences, mostly closed flowers and no development fruits. Therefore, a new nothospecies is herein validated with direct reference to the original description and diagnosis published in Latin in the protologue of *H. rauliniana* (pro sp.) (Barreiros 1974: 453) and the knowledge of parental taxa (McNeill *et al.* 2012: Articles 39.2 and H 3.2). According to
Additional specimens examined (paratypes):
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