Copyright © 2010 • Magnolia Press

Article



Fungal symbioses in bryophytes: New insights in the Twenty First Century

SILVIA PRESSEL^{1*}, MARTIN I. BIDARTONDO², ROBERTO LIGRONE³ & JEFFREY G. DUCKETT¹

¹Botany Department, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK; emails: s.pressel@nhm.ac.uk and j.duckett@nhm.ac.uk

²Imperial College London and Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew TW9 3DS, UK; email: m.bidartondo@imperial.ac.uk

³Dipartimento di Scienze ambientali, Seconda Università di Napoli, via Vivaldi 43, 81100 Caserta, Italy;

email: roberto.ligrone@unina2.it

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Fungal symbioses are one of the key attributes of land plants. The twenty first century has witnessed the increasing use of molecular data complemented by cytological studies in understanding the nature of bryophyte-fungal associations and unravelling the early evolution of fungal symbioses at the foot of the land plant tree. Isolation and resynthesis experiments have shed considerable light on host ranges and very recently have produced an incisive insight into functional relationships. Fungi with distinctive cytology embracing short-lived intracellular fungal lumps, intercellular hyphae and thick-walled spores in *Treubia* and *Haplomitrium* are currently being identified as belonging to a more ancient group of fungi than the glomeromycetes, previously assumed to be the most primitive fungi forming symbioses with land plants. Glomeromycetes, like those in lower tracheophytes, are widespread in complex and simple thalloid liverworts. Limited molecular identification of these as belonging to the derived clade Glomus Group A has led to the suggestion of host swapping from tracheophytes. Ascomycetes, all identified to date as Rhizoscyphus ericae and having an extremely wide host range extending into the Ericales, occur in the rhizoids of a range of leafy liverwort families that are sister to the Schistochilaceae, where the fungus induces rhizoid branching and septation. Dating of the Schistochilaceae suggests that these liverwort rhizoid associations predated ericoid mycorrhizas. A more restricted distribution of basidiomycetes, all identified as members of the genus Sebacina, is interpreted as a secondary acquisition in leafy liverworts following the loss of ascomycetes. Unlike the latter and ectomycorrhizal basidiomycetes in tracheophytes, these are host specific. Whereas there is no evidence of host digestion for either the ascomycetes or basidiomycetes in leafy liverworts, basidiomycetes in the Aneuraceae display regular colonization and digestion cycles. Considerable molecular diversity in the aneuracean fungi, mainly in the genus *Tulasnella* with occasional sebacinoids, mirrors the same in the host liverworts. Nesting of the only mycoheterotrophic liverwort Cryptothallus within Aneura is congruent with the phylogeny of the endophytes. Glomeromycete fungi have been identified in Phaeoceros and Anthoceros whereas Dendroceros, Megaceros and Nothoceros lack endophytes but the distribution of fungi across hornworts has yet to be explored. In contrast to liverworts and hornworts, there is no evidence of mycorrhiza-like associations in mosses. Claims that the fossil Prototaxites was a fossil fungus-associated liverwort are discounted.

Key words: ascomycetes, basidiomycetes, co-evolution, fossils, glomeromycetes, mycorrhizas, phylogeny, *Rhizoscyphus ericae, Sebacina, Tulasnella*

Introduction

The recent discovery of mycorrhizal genes, probably homologous across all lineages of land plants (Wang *et al.* 2010, but also see the critique by Bonfante & Selosse 2010), provides a striking reaffirmation of the notion first proposed by Pirozynski & Malloch (1975) and variously elaborated subsequently with a liverwort-centred-focus (Selosse & LeTacon 1998; Nebel *et al.* 2004; Kottke & Nebel 2005) that fungal symbioses rank